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March 10, 2016

Enclosed is the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund (FHCF) 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report which will be presented to the FHCF Advisory Council on March 15, 2016. The rates developed in this report assume an FHCF per event insurance industry aggregate retention of $\$ 6.966$ billion (which applies to a participating insurer's two largest events and drops to $1 / 3$ for all other events) and an FHCF limit level of $\$ 17.000$ billion.

Also included in this report are windstorm mitigation construction rating factor relativities, as well as formulas to adjust the presented rates for any additional pre-event financing or risk transfer options should they become applicable subsequent to the presentation of this report.

## Distribution and Use

The attached report was prepared for the use of the State Board of Administration of Florida for the sole purpose of developing a formula for determining the actuarially indicated premium to be paid by individual companies for the FHCF for the 2016 contract year as specified by Section 215.555, Florida Statutes. The data, assumptions, methodology and results in this report may not be appropriate for other than the intended use. We recommend that any party using this report have its own actuary review this report to ensure that the party understands the assumptions and uncertainties inherent in our estimates.

Discussion of report limitations, including scope, data sources and variability of projections, can be found in Exhibit 1, Part III of the report.

A copy of this report will be available on the web site of the FHCF.

Sincerely,


Andrew J. Rapoport, FCAS, MAAA
Managing Director and Actuary
Paragon Strategic Solutions Inc.
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## EXHIBIT

## I

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report <br> Presented to the <br> State Board of Administration of Florida

March 15, 2016

## Executive Summary

1. Rates: We recommend an average 9.07\% decrease in Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund (FHCF) rates for the 2016-2017 (2016) Contract Year, based on coverage under Section 215.555, Florida Statutes. This change does not assume the purchase of additional pre-event notes or a risk transfer product(s).
2. Premium Change: FHCF premium will decrease by $\$ 90$ million from $\$ 1.215$ billion to $\$ 1.125$ billion based on the recommended rate change.

|  | 2016 Contract Year Modeled | 2015 Contract Year Actual | 2015 Contract <br> Year Modeled Updated 04/17/2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FHCF Coverage |  |  |  |
| Industry Retention | \$6.966 billion | \$6.616 billion | \$6.898 billion |
| Limit | \$17 billion | \$17 billion | \$17 billion |
| Average Coverage | 76.309\% | 81.565\% | 89.934\% |
| FHCF Premium | \$1.125 billion | \$1.215 billion | \$1.396 billion |
| Overall Premium Change | -7.42\% | -5.42\% | 8.76\% |
| Due to Exposure Change | 1.81\% | 0.84\% | 0.94\% |
| Due to Annual Ratemaking | -9.07\% | -6.21\% | 7.75\% |
| Proj. Payout Multiple | 15.1176 | 13.9955 | 12.1745 |
| 90\% Retention Multiple | 5.2523 | 4.9364 | 4.9364 |
| Exposure Base | \$2.099 trillion | \$2.062 trillion | \$2.064 trillion |
| Overall FHCF Rate/\$1,000 Exp. | 0.5358 | 0.5892 | 0.6766 |

## Part I: The Ratemaking Process

## Overview

We recommend an average 9.07\% decrease in Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund (FHCF) rates for the 2016 Contract Year based on a $\$ 17.000$ billion coverage limit and a $\$ 6.966$ billion per event retention, which drops to $\$ 2.322$ billion for the third largest and subsequent events ( $1 / 3$ of $\$ 6.966$ billion). The rates in this report are developed for the limits and retentions, as specified by Section 215.555, Florida Statutes, for the 2016 Contract Year. No adjustments have been made to reflect any additional expenses to enhance FHCF financial capacity during and subsequent to the 2016 Contract Year, aside from the carrying cost estimates for the $\$ 2$ billion in pre-event notes obtained in April 2013 and the $\$ 1.2$ billion in pre-event notes obtained in March 2016. (Note: The 2013A pre-event notes will reduce to $\$ 1.5$ billion on July 1, 2016.)

We estimate that this rating formula will produce $\$ 1.125$ billion in total FHCF premium compared to $\$ 1.215$ billion in FHCF premium for the 2015 Contract Year. The decrease in overall premium would be $7.42 \%$ and is based on projected growth in exposure of $1.81 \%$ and a $9.07 \%$ overall rate decrease. There is no change in the statutory mandated cash build up factor of $25 \%$ from 2015 to 2016.

For 2016, FHCF coverage is a layer of $\$ 17.000$ billion xs $\$ 6.966$ billion.
There are two major factors affecting the FHCF layer of coverage for the 2016 Contract Year:

1. Pursuant to Section 215.555 , Florida Statutes, the industry retention is equal to $\$ 4.5$ billion adjusted for the increase in reported exposure from 2004 through 2014. As exposures have grown $54.8 \%$ over this period, the modeled retention for 2016 is $\$ 6.966$ billion.
2. Pursuant to Section 215.555 , Florida Statutes, the FHCF limit is equal to $\$ 17.000$ billion until there is sufficient estimated claims-paying capacity to fund $\$ 17.000$ billion of loss in subsequent Contract Years. As the State Board of Administration of Florida (SBA) has not made this determination, the FHCF limit for 2016 is $\$ 17.000$ billion.

The above changes will vary by deductible, construction, and territory. For 2016, we applied the same methodology as used in the previous nine years to develop territory relativities.

## Type of Business Allocation

Because we are projecting FHCF exposure growth, we have included columns showing indicated changes in exposure and premium as well as rate for Section I by type of business. The indications are as follows:

|  | Rate | Exposure | Premium |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | $-10.95 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $-9.17 \%$ |
| Tenants | $-14.67 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $-12.96 \%$ |
| Condominium Unit Owner | $-4.84 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $-2.94 \%$ |
| Mobile Home | $10.60 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $10.60 \%$ |
| Commercial Habitational | $-1.03 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $-1.03 \%$ |
| Total | $-9.07 \%$ | $1.81 \%$ | $-7.42 \%$ |

## Territory Changes

The 2016 recommended territories, like the 2015 FHCF territories, are based on analysis of losses in the FHCF coverage as modeled by AIR Worldwide Corporation (AIR), EQECAT (EQE), and Risk Management Solutions (RMS). The relationship between lowest rate and highest rate is approximately $1: 37$, similar to 2015. As was done last year, we adjusted this ratio to accurately reflect the indicated loss costs for territory 1. Indicated territory changes were tempered so that ZIP Codes would not shift more than one territory up or down.

## Premium Summary

We project premium, exposure, and retention changes as follows:

| Exposure Growth (2015 to 2016) | $1.81 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Retention | $\$ 6.966$ billion |
| Premium -2015 (as of 10/08/15) | $\$ 1.215$ billion |
| Premium -2016 (Projected) | $\$ 1.125$ billion |

## Use of Five Models Found Acceptable by the Florida Commission on Hurricane Loss Projection Methodology

For 2016, we used a weighting of five models found acceptable by the Florida Commission on Hurricane Loss Projection Methodology as of October 1, 2015, for aggregate results. The five models were AIR, EQE, RMS, Applied Research Associates (ARA) and the Florida Public Model (FPM). Model results were compared in detail to construct an industry distribution of losses by size. For the industry aggregate basis, we used a weighted average giving $5 \%, 20 \%, 50 \%, 20 \%$, and $5 \%$ weights to the models ranked from lowest to highest based on annual expected aggregate FHCF losses, which is consistent with the weighting methodology used in all years when the FHCF had five models.

For analysis of detailed allocation to type of business, territory, construction, and deductible, and for special coverage questions, we used three models (AIR, EQE and RMS) for all types of business. Model results were compared in detail and $1 / 3$ weight was given to each model for all types of business.

## Summary of Changes to the 2016 Ratemaking Formula

The changes that occurred in the 2016 ratemaking formula include:

1. The 2015 average coverage selection is $81.565 \%$. The projected 2016 average coverage is $76.309 \%$ based on March 1, 2016 selections. This change affects the size of the $100 \%$ FHCF layer.
2. The projected exposure trend increased from $0.94 \%$ in 2015 to $1.81 \%$ in 2016.
3. The modeling for the 2016 per company retention limit adjustment is based on the average of the AIR and RMS models. This change did not produce a material change in rate levels.
4. Expenses for 2013A and 2016A pre event notes decrease from $\$ 69.9$ million in 2015 to $\$ 54.2$ million in 2016.
5. Reinsurance premium and ceded losses were removed from this year's rate indication presentation. A table to adjust premium, ceded losses, payout and retention multiples, and the indicated rate change is included in Exhibit XVII to accommodate any reinsurance purchases subsequent to the presentation of the 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report.
6. Reinsurance premium is treated as a variable expense in 2016 rather than a fixed expense as in 2015.

Details of the overall changes can be found in Exhibit II, which contains the following exhibits:

1. Summary of 2016 Rate Calculation;
2. Adjustment to Exposure Base and Summary of Rate Change;
3. Summary of Results; and
4. Historical Comparison of Exposures, Premiums, and Rates.

## Details of the Ratemaking Process

This ratemaking formula for the FHCF is based on Section 215.555, Florida Statutes. We have followed the same basic process used since 1995. Legislation enacted in 2005 (Chapter 2005-111, Laws of Florida, CS/SBN 1486) addressed retention in multiple-event seasons by creating a per event retention that applies to a participating insurer's two largest events and drops to $1 / 3$ for all other events. This drop down coverage has again been incorporated into the 2016 rates.

## A. Trend

For 2016 ratemaking, we reviewed the actual exposures by coverage reported to the FHCF from 1995 to 2015. Based on actual reported exposures through 10/24/2015, we used a trend of $2.0 \%$ for residential, tenants, and condominium unit owners; and $0.0 \%$ for commercial habitational and mobile home coverage. Unit counts for tenants were trended at $5.0 \%$ and unit counts for all other coverages were trended at $0.0 \%$.

The Marshall \& Swift construction indices for the Southeast were up 1.2\% in 2015 compared to up $2.7 \%$ in 2014 as of October. Countrywide indices were up $1.1 \%$ compared to up $2.2 \%$ the prior year.

Our selection of exposure and risk count trends for 2016 was based predominantly on the last three years of historical FHCF data. The table below displays the last several years of annual growth in exposure and risks. In making selections, the FHCF trend data was benchmarked against the indications generated from the Marshall \& Swift construction indices.

Historical FHCF exposure and risk counts can be found in Exhibit III. Note that the trended exposure data in Exhibit III is based on exposure reported to the FHCF as of 10/08/2015. This data was used in the catastrophe modeling process.

Annual Growth in Exposure and Risk Counts Reported by FHCF Participating Insurers as of 10/08/2015

|  | Residential |  | Tenants |  | Condominiums |  | Mobile Homes |  | Commercial |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposure | Risk <br> Count | Exposure | Risk <br> Count | Exposure | Risk <br> Count | Exposure | Risk <br> Count | Risk <br> Exposure | Count |
| $2010-2011$ | $-2.2 \%$ | $-0.5 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $-0.5 \%$ | $-4.8 \%$ | $-4.1 \%$ | $-3.2 \%$ | $-0.6 \%$ |
| $2011-2012$ | $-2.0 \%$ | $-1.2 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $-0.4 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $-6.7 \%$ | $-7.3 \%$ | $-2.0 \%$ | $-1.5 \%$ |
| $2012-2013$ | $-2.9 \%$ | $-1.3 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $-10.0 \%$ | $-7.3 \%$ | $-0.9 \%$ | $-1.4 \%$ |
| $2013-2014$ | $1.7 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $-3.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $-4.4 \%$ | $-5.2 \%$ |
| $2014-2015$ | $2.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $-5.8 \%$ | $-8.0 \%$ | $-10.0 \%$ | $-6.8 \%$ |

B. Insurance Industry Aggregate Retention for Ratemaking Purposes (Exhibit IV)

For development of this premium formula, it is necessary to assume a projected aggregate insurance industry retention to estimate losses in the aggregate layer of coverage.

Section 215.555, Florida Statutes, specifies the calculation of the retention multiple for each participating insurer. The numerator of the retention multiple is $\$ 4.5$ billion adjusted by the percentage growth in FHCF covered exposure from 2004 to the Contract Year two years prior to the current year. The historical exposure for 2014 is $\$ 2,044.4$ billion (as of 10/08/2015) as compared to $\$ 1,320.6$ billion in 2004. The percent adjustment is $54.8 \%$, so the numerator of the retention multiple is $\$ 6.966$ billion (rounded to the nearest million).

The denominator of the retention multiple is the projected total FHCF reimbursement premium assuming all participating insurers have selected the 90\% coverage option. The 2016 90\% retention multiple of 5.2523 is shown in Exhibit II, line 76. The 45\% retention multiple of 10.5046 is $200 \% \times$ the $90 \%$ multiple and is shown on Exhibit II, line 78. Each participating insurer's provisional retention is
the retention multiple (adjusted for coverage selection) times its provisional premium. An insurer's actual retention is the retention multiple times its actual premium.

Based on the above calculation, the retention multiple numerator of $\$ 6.966$ billion is used as the insurance industry aggregate retention for simulating losses in the aggregate layer of coverage. This value is equivalent to the sum of retentions for all insurers.

Since 2003, 100\% of all FHCF premiums are calculated based on the premium formula rates applied to individual company exposures. This is called Section I premium. Section II premium refers to a premium calculated from exposure under covered polices that would require individual ratemaking, with each policy risk modeled and rated individually by company. There is currently no Section II exposure and therefore no Section II premium. The Section I insurance industry aggregate retention is $\$ 6.966$ billion (based on $100 \%$ of projected premium) and the Section II aggregate retention is $\$ 0$ (based on 0\% of projected premium.)

## C. Industry Excess Layer (Exhibit IV)

Under Section 215.555(4)(c)1, Florida Statutes, "The contract shall also provide that the obligation of the board with respect to all contracts covering a particular contract year shall not exceed the actual claims-paying capacity of the fund up to a limit of $\$ 17$ billion for that contract year, unless the board determines that there is sufficient estimated claims-paying capacity to provide $\$ 17$ billion of capacity for the current contract year and an additional $\$ 17$ billion of capacity for subsequent contract years."

As no such determination regarding capacity in excess of $\$ 17$ billion has been made, the limit for the 2016 Contract Year is $\$ 17$ billion. This $\$ 17$ billion represents the total capacity at selected coverage levels for loss and loss adjustment expense. Loss adjustment expense is statutorily set at $5 \%$ of losses recoverable from the FHCF. Participating insurers report only losses and do not report loss adjustment expenses.

We first reduce the loss and loss expense limit of $\$ 17$ billion by dividing by 1.05 to produce a loss only limit of $\$ 16,190,476,190$. We then split this limit between Sections I and II based on trended actual premium at current selected coverage levels. We view this as the best indicator of expected losses in the layer. Based on this split, $100 \%$ of the $\$ 16,190,476,190$ limit is in Section I. This value is now the Section I loss only limit.

The next step is to gross up the limit for coverage level. The 2015 average coverage level is $81.565 \%$ which produced the actual $2015100 \%$ loss limit of $\$ 19,849,891,152$. Final 2016 company coverage selections as of March 1, 2016 produced an average coverage level of $76.345 \%$ based on 2015 company market shares and rating group definitions. When we adjust 2015 market shares to 2016 rating group definitions, the 2016 projected coverage level is 76.309\%.

Finally, we gross the FHCF limit up for the 2016 projected average coverage level of $76.309 \%$ to get the $100 \%$ loss limit of $\$ 21,217,067,050$. The top end of the loss only layer is then an estimated projected aggregate retention of $\$ 6,966,000,000$ for ratemaking purposes plus this limit, which equals \$28,183,067,050.

In summary, for Section I and II loss only modeling purposes we use the following layer:
$76.309 \%$ of $\$ 21,217,067,050$ xs $\$ 6,966,000,000$
For publication purposes, the Sections I and II loss and loss adjustment expense layer is: $76.309 \%$ of $\$ 22,277,920,403$ xs $\$ 6,966,000,000$

The simulations produced by the modelers are for producing manual rates per $\$ 1,000$ of exposure under covered policies. The rates resulting from such simulations are referred to as Section I rates.

## D. Industry Detail Exposure Data

Actual 2015 industry FHCF exposures for buildings, contents, and appurtenant structures were summarized by:

1. Type of Business (residential, tenants, condominium unit owners, mobile home, commercial habitational);
2. ZIP Code;
3. Construction/Tie-Down Type; and
4. Deductible.

For modeling, we used data as of 6/30/2015 as reported through 10/23/2015 by 154 of 158 companies reporting FHCF Section I exposure for the 2015 year. This data was trended one year as described in Section A. Exhibit III contains trended control totals of the FHCF exposures used in the modeling process.
E. Modeling Assumption and Data Changes: Combining Five Models - AIR, EQE, RMS, ARA \& FPM

Table of Models Used to Calculate Overall Industry Losses

| Model | 2006-2007 | 2008-2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AIR | $X$ | $X$ |
| ARA | $X$ | $X$ |
| EQE | $X$ | $X$ |
| RMS | $X$ | $X$ |
| FPM |  | $X$ |

The table above lists the models that were used to calculate the overall FHCF losses by year. Only models that had been found acceptable by the Florida Commission on Hurricane Loss Projection Methodology as of October 1 of the prior year were used in that year's ratemaking session.

All five of the modelers produce a distribution of industry-wide losses based on trended reported exposures by type of business, deductible, construction, and ZIP Code. The AIR model produces a listing of losses for 50,000 simulated years while the FPM model losses are based on 57,000 simulated years. The ARA model produced a listing of losses for 300,000 simulated years. The other models produce a listing of losses by size with assigned annual frequencies. Since 2008, demand surge has been modeled directly by each of the accepted modelers. Adjustments to these loss distributions are described in the next section.

Exposure data for invalid ZIP Codes was provided to the modelers who then modeled such exposure at the county level. Less than 0.01\% of total reported exposure comes from invalid ZIP Codes, which are either ZIP Codes that are located outside of the state of Florida, or are ZIP Codes that the U.S. Postal Service does not recognize or has decommissioned. In the latter case, the FHCF continues to produce rates for such codes for several years in order to give companies time to update their data.

Paragon used the results from each modeler to produce industry-wide gross (that is, net of policy deductibles and after application of policy limits) annual expected losses by type of business and to produce industry-wide FHCF excess losses for all coverages combined. Data from the modelers was combined by giving weights of $5 \%, 20 \%, 50 \%, 20 \%$, and $5 \%$ to the model results from lowest to highest. A weighted loss distribution is included in Exhibit V.

The FHCF weighted loss curve in Exhibit V is developed solely for estimating excess hurricane losses within the FHCF layer. We do not take into consideration estimates of losses above the FHCF layer in developing the curve. Shifts in modeler weights within the FHCF loss layer may have an amplified impact on loss estimates above the FHCF layer.

Although it is not used for ratemaking purposes, we have included an additional loss distribution based on uniform modeler weights ( $20 \% / 20 \% / 20 \% / 20 \% / 20 \%$ ) in Exhibit V. Over time this curve may show greater stability for losses above the FHCF layer. As repeated in our disclaimer in Part III herein, we recommend that any party using this report have its own actuary review this report to ensure that the party understands the assumptions and uncertainties inherent in our estimates.

Table of Models Used for Classifications

| Model | 2006-2008 | 2009-2012 | 2013-2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AIR | X | X | X |
| EQE | X | X | X |
| RMS | X | X | X |
| FPM |  | $X$ |  |

Three of the modelers ran our 2015 Contract Year trended exposures through their models and provided more detailed outputs (i.e., losses by ZIP Code by construction and deductible codes for each type of business) that we used to update the class plan relativities. We used a straight average of the indicated loss costs for each rating cell as a basis in order to populate our class plan with rates. Details of the allocation of rates to type of business, deductible, construction, and territory are described in Part III.

Exhibit V contains tables and graphs of modeled loss severity distributions:

1. Gross Loss per Event;
2. Excess Retention Aggregate;
3. Single Event FHCF Liabilities; and
4. FHCF Layer Aggregate.

## F. Losses in the Layer at Coverage Percent

The limit for the 2016 Contract Year is $\$ 17$ billion. Because the size of the excess layer is dependent on the average coverage selections of all the FHCF participating insurers, we must model losses after coverage selection. We have documented that coverage percentage varies by type of business, so modeled losses need to also reflect this variation. As a result, we continue to use the method we began in 2001 in which we start with the allocation to type of business and apply the coverage percentages to the layered loss. We calculate the overall rates and premiums at the different coverage percentages at the end of the calculations.

We allocate excess losses to type of business based on their adjusted gross losses. We adjust the allocations so that no type of business has an overall rate change exceeding 15\% in any one year, prior to legislated rate changes. This allocation appears in line 9 of the summary in Exhibit II. See Exhibit VI for additional details.

## G. Adjustments to Modeled Losses

- Law and Ordinance Coverage


## - Aggregate Wind Deductible Adjustment

These adjustments are similar to the adjustments made in the 2015 ratemaking formula.
We applied the projected industry retention to the adjusted modeled losses to estimate the FHCF excess losses. Details on the Law and Ordinance adjustments discussed here are presented in Exhibit VII. The overall increase in modeled gross losses due to these adjustments is $4.18 \%$, compared to an increase of $4.13 \%$ in 2015.

## Law and Ordinance Coverage

Law and ordinance coverage provides extra limit for Coverage A (building) in the case where additional rebuilding costs are incurred in order to comply with local laws and ordinances.

We again recommend the FHCF continue to use the last year's factor of $4.86 \%$ of residential modeled losses. We assume most companies charge approximately 3\% of premium for law and ordinance coverage. We assume approximately $45 \%$ of the losses that would generate law and ordinance losses would be FHCF hurricane losses and $25 \%$ of the base premium is FHCF premium. Then $3 \% x$ $(45 \%) /(25 \%)=5.4 \%$. We also assume that only $90 \%$ of all residential policies will have this coverage in place at the time of a hurricane loss. Then the loading to FHCF residential modeled losses would be $5.4 \% \times 90 \%=4.86 \%$. See Exhibit VII for additional details.

## Aggregate Wind Deductible Adjustment

Under Section 627.701, Florida Statutes, residential property insurance policies issued on or after May 1, 2005 must have hurricane deductibles that apply on an annual, rather than a per-event, basis. Insurers may apply the "other perils" deductible or any amount remaining from the hurricane deductible, whichever is greater, to a loss for a second hurricane and each subsequent hurricane that year.

The loss events were adjusted to account for this change in loss exposure. Adjustment factors by type of business were developed. Exhibit VII details the derivation of these factors. The take-up ratio only impacts the commercial type of business as only these policyholders have the option of having an annual hurricane deductible. The adjusted load was then weighted with the adjusted load from 2015 giving $33 \%$ weight and $67 \%$ weight to 2016 . The selected adjustment factor is the rounded value of the weighted load after the "take-up" modification.

## H. Adjustments for Per Company Limits and Retentions

In this year's ratemaking report we have updated the adjustment to expected losses for individual company limits, retentions and coverage based on information from a new analysis based on detailed loss projections run by Paragon from the RMS and AIR model runs used for 2016 ratemaking. The average of the results from the two separate analyses is $0.03 \%$. Weighting this result against the prior adjustment factor of $-0.0371 \%$ ( $2 / 3$ current indication, $1 / 3$ prior selection), we recommend a factor of 0.0075\%.

To summarize the approach, using the same exposure inputs and assumptions used by AIR and RMS, Paragon generated files of simulated Florida statewide gross hurricane losses. Paragon first adjusted average gross losses by type of business for AIR and RMS to match the average gross loss generated by the 5 models used in FHCF ratemaking. Paragon allocated each simulated gross loss to ZIP Code and type of business. Paragon then applied FHCF market shares by ZIP Code and company (based on 2015 FHCF premium) to allocate each simulated gross loss to all the FHCF companies. Paragon then summed the simulated gross losses for each individual company, applied the companies' projected retention, limit and coverage percentage (based on 2015 FHCF premium market shares and 2016 selected coverages) to generate company FHCF losses. These were summed by simulated event to get FHCF total loss by event. Paragon summed losses by simulated year applying aggregate limits and impact of retention drop downs. Separately for the AIR and RMS runs, the average annual FHCF loss based individual company losses was compared to the average annual FHCF loss based on industry total losses, retention, limit and coverage percentage. The average of the resulting adjustment factors was $0.03 \%$ indicating, on an average basis, the two approaches generate almost identical results.

As we stated in last year's Report:
Using this more detailed approach, we also observed that there is actually significant variability between industry gross losses and FHCF layer losses. This variability cannot be determined when using industry gross losses, limits, and retentions to calculate FHCF layered losses. One observation is that the return time for the FHCF to exhaust its total capacity is actually longer than the value based on industry gross losses. Another observation is that due to increased market
share of a single FHCF participating insurer in specific parts of the state, losses in areas where that insurer has very limited market share cannot generate full capacity FHCF layer losses. On the other hand, in parts of the state where one member company has significant market share, that company's retention becomes the effective retention for the industry on storm tracks in that area.

The current and prior special analyses indications can be found in Exhibit VIII.
The shape of the exceedance curves presented in Exhibits V and VIII are different, but the overall expected values of the FHCF loss layers are very similar. The Exhibit VIII curve is the more appropriate curve to use for analysis of interval FHCF losses within the FHCF layer because it more realistically recognizes the impact of company exposure distributions, retentions, and limits. Therefore Exhibit VIII is used for analysis of expected FHCF losses offset by potential risk transfer options in section $P$ below.

## I. Other Post-Model Adjustments: (5\%)

There are a few coverages that may appear on some FHCF covered policies that are not explicitly modeled in the FHCF's requested simulation. These coverages include guaranteed replacement cost, inflation guard, and reimbursable amounts paid as fees on behalf of or inuring to the benefit of a policyholder. We do not believe there is sufficient FHCF exposure from these coverages to justify additional administrative reporting and modeling at this time, but we do believe it is appropriate to load for these coverages in the post model adjustment.

Consistent with prior years, we recommend judgmentally increasing the modeled excess loss costs by $5 \%$ for all types of business to account for these coverages and other factors that are not directly included in the modeled loss results.

## J. Investment Income Credit - Eliminated in 2012

Since 2012, the FHCF has not used investment income in current year rates. Exhibit IX contains several tables:

1. FHCF rate of return history;
2. Graph of Interest Rate Assumption; and
3. FHCF Financial Statement Investment Income.

## K. Operating Expenses and Mitigation Funding

Operating expenses of $\$ 7,600,000$ are based on an estimate of 2016 fiscal year operating expenses provided by the SBA. This value is an increase of $\$ 190,000$ from the 2015 Contract Year projected expense of $\$ 7,410,000$.

Per section J, the estimated mitigation funding target underlying the rates is set at zero since no investment income will be used to reduce 2016 rates. Pursuant to Section 215.555 , Florida Statutes, the minimum appropriation is $\$ 10$ million and the maximum appropriation is $35 \%$ of the prior fiscal year's investment income. In 2016, the calculated maximum amount subject to mitigation appropriation will be $35 \%$ of $\$ 29,152,000$ which equals $\$ 10,203,200$ slightly larger than the minimum. Appropriation of mitigation funding will not affect the FHCF rates in 2016.

## L. Pre-Event Notes Expense

This year's estimate of $\$ 54,223,288$ is the sum of the projected cost estimates for 2013A and 2016A pre-event notes. 2016 carrying cost estimates are provided by the FHCF's Financial Advisor, Raymond James \& Associates. Raymond James' cost estimate is the projected difference between the interest payments to note holders and the investment income on the note proceeds during the 2016 Contract Year (see Exhibit X). For 2016, there is $\$ 29.5$ million for the 2013A notes and $\$ 16.5$ million for the 2016A notes summing to $\$ 46.0$ million.

Added to the carrying cost is a $0.3 \%$ judgmental loading (based on historical FHCF information) for potential asset loss during the Contract Year. The sum of this loading is $\$ 8,223,288$. The value for
the 2013A notes is $\$ 4,623,288$ ( $\$ 2.0$ billion market value for one month and $\$ 1.5$ billion for 11 months during the Contract Year). The value for the 2016A notes is $\$ 3,600,000(0.3 \%$ of $\$ 1.2$ billion).

Should the SBA authorize additional expenditure for pre-event notes during the 2016 Contract Year, the rates, retention multiples, and payout multiple should be modified using the factors provided in Exhibit XI.
M. Premium Credits (Windstorm Mitigation Construction Credits)

We are using the same approach to windstorm mitigation construction factors as we used in the 2015 Ratemaking Formula Report, including the incorporation of factors for the following mitigation features recognized since 2012:

| Type of Business | Year Built | Structure <br> Opening <br> Protection | Roof Shape |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial Residential | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ |
| Residential | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ |
| Mobile Home | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ |
| Tenants | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ |
| Condominium Owners |  |  |  |

For the 2015 ratemaking process, the FHCF contracted with two modeling firms (AIR and RMS) to provide additional catastrophe modeling analyses to aid in the review the current factors and potentially to expand the rating classifications used in FHCF rates. Additionally, actual factors and offsets change slightly each year based on changes in mix of business. While we have made some modifications to the current factors, no additional mitigation features were added for 2016. The 2016 factor changes will not affect the total industry premium for the FHCF but will impact individual companies depending on the mitigation features of their reported exposures.

The proposed rate factors associated with each variable are shown in Exhibit XIV. We propose that these be applied to calculate the final rate for any covered policy subject to the following:

- Year built, structure opening protection, and roof shape factors be applied multiplicatively;
- The combined factor for any risk will not be capped;
- Every risk will be evaluated for its rating factor; and
- A final factor will be applied by type of business so that the indicated premium levels for each type of business are achieved.

Exhibit XII includes:

1. Calculation of actual 2015 premium credits/surcharges;
2. 2015 distribution of credits/surcharges; and
3. 2015 distribution of exposure and counts by rating region and type of business.

## N. Section II (Excess) Adjustment

We included \$0 of Section II premium, based on the fact that there was no Section II exposure reported in 2015. Section II premium covers policies that require individual rating procedures. These exposures would be modeled and rated individually by company.

## O. Adjustment for Updated Exposures

In the past, we have included an adjustment for change in premiums and exposures between November of the prior year and February of the current year. This change does not affect rate changes, but should improve the accuracy of projected premium. For this year, there was no material change to FHCF exposure, so an adjustment was not included.

## P. Risk Transfer Options

The rates presented in this report do not include a loading for the cost of risk transfer. Should the FHCF enter into a risk transfer arrangement, the impact of the cost shall be determined, and the 2016 FHCF premium rates and factors would be accordingly adjusted, by the formula specified in Exhibit XVII.

The estimates for FHCF loss credits are based on the average of 2016 AIR and RMS data distributions in Exhibit VIII. Exhibit XVII is based on the same loss severity distribution and displays probability of exceedance for specific FHCF layers with the adjustments to the FHCF loss layer level prior to fixed expenses. These values are used to illustrate a range of potential risk transfer structures and costs in Exhibit XVII. The details of the formula calculation, along with potential revised factors, are provided in Exhibit XVII.

In the 2015 FHCF Ratemaking Formula Report, the expected reinsurance cost was presented as a fixed expense. In this year's Report, reinsurance costs are more appropriately presented as variable expenses since they are charged based on a rate applied to the total FHCF premium, net of reinsurance.

The Net Risk Transfer Cost Premium in Exhibit XVII and the Estimated Additional Annual Cost of PreEvent Notes in Exhibit XI are additive in their impact on FHCF premium and rates. Retention and Projected Payout Multiples can be adjusted with interpolation based on the sum of the combined impact on FHCF premiums.

## Part II: Allocation of Premium

Within a type of business, premium is allocated to territory, construction, and deductible based on a set of relativities. This is the same process that has been used since the creation of the 2001 rates. In all cases, the relativities recommended for 2016 have been adjusted so that none of them has changed by more than $15 \%$.

There were no significant changes in the allocation process for 2016. Following is an overview of the FHCF rating classifications and the entire allocation process.

## Overview of the Rating Classifications

## 1. Type of Business

The actuarially indicated FHCF premium is allocated first among the five types of business: commercial, residential, mobile home, tenants, and condominium unit owners. This allocation is based on the hurricane catastrophe modeling. For each modeled event, the proportion of FHCF layer losses allocated to each type of business is identical to the allocation of gross losses from that event. This process incorporates the varying weighted average coverage selection of each type of business. This approach produces indicated allocations, which are then adjusted so that no type of business has an indicated rate change of more than 15\%. Actual allocations can be found in Exhibit VI.

## 2. Territorial Definitions

For 2001, the FHCF revised rating territories to incorporate information from three hurricane models: AIR, EQE, and RMS. Furthermore, territory definitions shifted from applying gross loss costs to excess layer loss costs, the latter being more indicative of what insurers might recover from the FHCF. Actual changes to territories were tempered each year since 2001, to minimize the magnitude of rate changes. For 2016, we have recalculated indicated territories for each ZIP Code using the latest data from these models. We recommend changing territories from 2015 definitions towards what is indicated for 2016, but we recommend moving a ZIP Code no more than plus or minus one region from 2015 values. We calculated revised relativities between territories, which were implemented this year.

## 3. Construction

In 2015, FHCF data was collected for four residential, seven commercial, and three mobile home construction types. Tenants and condominium unit owners exposures have the same construction classes as commercial.

In 2011, two new construction types were added to the FHCF Data Call for commercial, tenants, and condominium types of business: masonry with reinforced concrete roof and superior with reinforced concrete roof. The purpose of these new construction types was to replace the roof deck mitigation credit by incorporating this "mitigation" feature into an actual construction classification.

The mobile home codes relate to the extent of their tie downs and their compliance with Federal Housing and Urban Development building codes that went into effect in July 1994.

## 4. Deductibles

The rates proposed are for the same sets of deductibles as for 2015.
Relativities for each deductible vary by type of business. As with construction relativities, changes in deductible relativities were limited to changing no more than $15 \%$.

## General Overview of the Rate Allocation Process

## Construction Classes

Relativities between the most common construction within a type of business and the other construction types were calculated using AIR, EQE, and RMS generated ZIP Code level loss costs. The indicated relativities were selected, except that they were limited to changing from the 2015 relativities by no more than 15\%.

Rates for unknown construction are calculated using the same method as other construction types, not to exceed the highest rate for all known constructions in the same type of business.

## Rating Region (Territory) Definition

To begin the process this year, we identified the 1,461 ZIP Codes for which rates would be produced. These are the currently valid U.S. Postal Service ZIP Codes in Florida, plus some recently deactivated ZIP Codes for which we continue to produce rates. We identified 926 of the ZIP Codes that had at least $\$ 30$ million of total exposure. The remaining 535 ZIP Codes were mapped to these 926 ZIP Codes by location. Most of these 535 ZIP Codes were exclusively post office boxes. They inherited their territory from the territory of the ZIP Code to which they were mapped. The purpose of this step was to avoid trying to assign ZIP Codes to territories if they had very little exposure. When a ZIP Code has no frame exposure, for example, the models produce a 0.00 loss cost. To avoid these problems and to increase the reliability of the modeled losses, this mapping technique was employed.

In order to define territories, residential base deductible ZIP Code level loss costs to the FHCF layer were used. The excess loss costs from three models (AIR, EQE, and RMS) were averaged and then weighted by the amount of construction in the three classes: frame, masonry, and masonry veneer. Together, these constructions account for over 99\% of residential exposure. The result was a weighted average loss cost for each ZIP Code.

The ZIP Codes were ranked by weighted average loss cost and partitioned into 25 territories, or rating regions. We set the relativities between rating regions ahead of time, and then fit the ZIP Codes to these values. This enabled a more consistent spread of values between the highest and lowest rates. In keeping with past rates, the ratio of the rates in the highest and lowest regions was set at 35:1. Subject to these guidelines, statistical methods were used to maximize the differences between regions and minimize the variation within a region. This same procedure was performed for this year's rates. Subsequently, we judgmentally adjusted the territory 1 loss cost down to better reflect actual indications for territory 1 . This adjustment had the effect of changing the ratio to approximately 37:1.

We tempered the change in territory from 2015 to 2016 by limiting the territory movement to no more than one from its 2015 territory assignment.

The proposed (tempered) territories, or rating groups, are presented in Exhibit XIII. Exhibit XV shows exposure and counts by territory. Exhibit XIX displays the proposed territories as maps.

## Production of Rates

The total FHCF losses have been allocated to five types of business (Exhibit VI). Within each, construction and deductible relativities have been calculated. In this process, ZIP Code level modeled loss costs were combined using a straight average. Relativities between territories were determined in the territorial definition process.

An overall premium adjustment factor was calculated for each type of business, so that the modeled exposure, when rated using 90\% coverage rates, produced the desired total premium for each type of business. In this last step, the premium required was adjusted to the $90 \%$ coverage level.

Rates for 75\% and 45\% coverage level were calculated as 75/90ths and 45/90ths, respectively, of the 90\% coverage rates.

The proposed rates produced for the base set of deductibles are found in Exhibit XIV.
Exhibit XV shows exposure and counts by territory.
Exhibit XVI compares rate changes for Residential 2\% Masonry by rating region across the state before application of windstorm mitigation credits.

The rates that are published in these exhibits are base rates. To calculate the final rate for an insured risk, one must take into consideration the relativities applicable for the three construction characteristics:

Preliminary factor $=($ year built factor $) \times($ roof shape factor $) \times($ opening protection factor $)$
2016 mitigation factors do not have a cap. Prior to 2014 the preliminary factor was tempered by minimum and maximum caps. In 2014 we removed the cap of plus or minus $30 \%$ to unlimited due to increased credibility in reported company data.

Actual factor $=$ Preliminary Factor
A small on balance factor is applied so that the final rates will produce the indicated FHCF reimbursement premium levels by type of business.

Final rate $=($ Base rate $) \times($ actual factor $) \times($ on balance factor $)$
All rate factors for the windstorm mitigation construction rating classifications and the on balance factor are shown in Exhibit XIV.

## Part III: Limitations

## Scope

This report was prepared for the use of the State Board of Administration of Florida (SBA) for the sole purpose of developing a formula for determining the actuarially indicated premium to be paid by individual companies for the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund (FHCF) for the 2016 Contract Year as specified by Section 215.555 , Florida Statutes. The formula must be approved by unanimous vote of the SBA Trustees and they may, at any time, revise the formula pursuant to the procedure provided in Section 215.555(5)(b), Florida Statutes.

The rates in this report are developed for the limits and retentions specified by Section 215.555, Florida Statutes, for the 2016 Contract Year. No adjustments have been made to reflect availability of FHCF financial capacity during and subsequent to the 2016 Contract Year.

Actual coverage provided by the FHCF for the 2016 Contract Year is subject to modification due to legislative, judicial, or regulatory actions. Except where explicitly noted, such modifications are not considered in this report.

## Data Sources

In developing the 2016 FHCF ratemaking formula, we have relied on the following data from various sources:

1. FHCF exposure data as of $6 / 30 / 2015$ as reported by 154 FHCF companies and compiled by Paragon. This data has not been fully audited yet and could be subject to variability in terms of amounts and classifications of exposure data.
2. Historical FHCF exposure data from prior years, subject to audit by FHCF auditors and compiled by Paragon.
3. Projections of 2016 season hurricane losses prepared by AIR, ARA, EQE, FPM, and RMS for use in determining overall expected industry losses. All loss projections are based on catastrophe models that have been accepted by the Florida Commission on Hurricane Loss Projection Methodology as of October 1, 2015.
4. Allocations of projected 2016 season hurricane losses prepared by AIR, EQE, and RMS for use in developing various rating classifications.
5. Special analyses of mitigation rating factors prepared by AIR, ARA, and RMS.
6. Special analyses of projected hurricane losses by county by ARA, EQE and RMS.
7. Special analyses of projected hurricane losses by ZIP Code by Paragon using AIR and RMS models.
8. Historical FHCF investment returns as reported by the SBA.
9. Industry residential construction cost trends for Florida and the United States as developed by Marshall \& Swift.
10. Estimates of projected FHCF operating expenses by FHCF staff.
11. Estimates of projected net expenses for 2013A and 2016A Pre-Event Notes by Raymond James and Associates.

We have not audited or verified the sources of the data and information. If the underlying data or information is inaccurate or incomplete, the results of our formula report may be impacted.

## Variability of Results

Ratemaking is the projection of future losses and expenses and their relationship to future exposures. The projected rates contained in the attached report represent our best professional judgment. In property catastrophe reinsurance, actual losses are likely to vary from expected losses. The degree of variation could be substantial and could be in either direction from estimates. There is also significant potential for future variability in projections of expenses and exposures.

## Distribution and Use

This report was prepared for the use of the SBA for the sole purpose of developing a formula for determining the actuarially indicated premium to be paid by individual companies for the FHCF for the 2016 Contract Year as specified by Section 215.555, Florida Statutes. The data, assumptions, methodology, and results in this report may not be appropriate for other than the intended use. We recommend that any party using this report have its own actuary review this report to ensure that the party understands the assumptions and uncertainties inherent in our estimates.

A copy of this report will be available on the web site of the FHCF.

## EXHIBIT

## II

| Section I: Retention, Attachment and Coverage |  | Residential | Tenants | Condos | Mobile Home | Commercial | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coverage Avg. \% as of 10-24-2014 |  | 82.697\% | 81.108\% | 83.952\% | 89.655\% | 71.396\% | 81.565\% |  |  |
| Coverage Avg. \% as of 03-01-2016 |  | 76.948\% | 78.344\% | 81.024\% | 89.003\% | 67.380\% | 76.309\% | (1) |  |
| Retention 6,966,00 | 6,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | (2) |  |
| Loss Only Limit 21,217 | 7,067,050 |  |  |  |  |  |  | (3) |  |
| Retention + Limit 28,183, | 3,067,050 |  |  |  |  |  |  | (4) | (2) + (3) |
| Loss and LAE at Coverage Limit 17,000 | ,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | (5) | (3)*total(1)*1.05 |
| Section I |  | Residential | Tenants | Condos | Mobile Home | Commercial | Total |  |  |
| Gross Losses at 100\% Unadjusted |  | 2,291,690,920 | 17,180,930 | 170,606,751 | 103,695,790 | 397,871,818 | 2,981,046,209 | (6) |  |
| Gross Losses at 100\% Adjusted* |  | 2,414,525,554 | 17,191,239 | 170,879,722 | 104,131,312 | 398,826,710 | 3,105,554,537 | (7) |  |
| \% Adjustment |  | 5.36\% | 0.06\% | 0.16\% | 0.42\% | 0.24\% | 4.18\% | (8) | (7)/(6) - 1 |
| * Adjustment includes factors for law and ordinance coverage and annual aggregate deductibles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allocation of Excess Loss to Type of Business at Coverage Level |  | 78.702\% | 0.718\% | 5.839\% | 3.408\% | 11.333\% | 100.000\% | (9) | [Alloc of Excess Losses] (7) |
| Excess Losses and LAE at Coverage |  | 627,911,646 | 5,728,265 | 46,584,049 | 27,193,792 | 90,416,771 | 797,834,523 | (10) | (9)*total(10) |
| Per Company Analysis Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retention Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (11) | (11 Factor)*(10) |
| Limit Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (14) | (14 Factor)*(10) |
| Combined Retention and Limit Adjustment | 0.0075\% | 47,117 | 430 | 3,496 | 2,041 | 6,785 | 59,868 | (15) | (15 Factor)*(10) |
| Total Loss After Per Company Analysis Factors |  | 627,958,763 | 5,728,694 | 46,587,544 | 27,195,833 | 90,423,555 | 797,894,390 | (16) | $(10)+(15)$ |
| Post Model Adjustment Factors |  | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | (17) |  |
|  |  | 31,397,938 | 286,435 | 2,329,377 | 1,359,792 | 4,521,178 | 39,894,720 | (18) | $(17)^{*}(16)$ |
| Total Gross Excess Loss and LAE |  | 659,356,701 | 6,015,129 | 48,916,922 | 28,555,625 | 94,944,733 | 837,789,110 | (19) | $(18)+(16)$ |
| Special Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment Income | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (20) | (20 Factor)*(19) |
| Ceded Loss \& LAE (Layer Description) | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (21) | Estimated Ceded Losses from Exhibit XVII |
| Total Special Adjustment | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (22) | $(20)+(21)$ |
| Net Loss \& LAE Prior to Expense Loadings and Credits (Base Prem) |  | 659,356,701 | 6,015,129 | 48,916,922 | 28,555,625 | 94,944,733 | 837,789,110 | (23) | $(19)+(22)$ |
| Fixed Expense Loadings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expense | 0.907\% | 5,981,351 | 54,566 | 443,750 | 259,042 | 861,291 | 7,600,000 | (24a) | SBA Operating Expenses |
| 2016A Note Expense | 2.399\% | 15,819,100 | 144,313 | 1,173,601 | 685,098 | 2,277,887 | 20,100,000 | (24b) | Debt Service Payment \& Held Asset Risk Charge |
| 2013A Note Expense | 4.073\% | 26,855,706 | 244,997 | 1,992,394 | 1,163,075 | 3,867,115 | 34,123,288 | (24c) | Debt Service Payment \& Held Asset Risk Charge |
| Mitigation Funding | 0.000\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (25) | Paid from Investment Income (not from premium) |
| Offset for Premium Credits and Adjustments |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (26) | $\left.-((1+(33)) *(1+(37))-1)^{*}(24 \mathrm{a}+24 \mathrm{~b}+24 \mathrm{c}+24 \mathrm{~d})+(25)\right) /\left((1+(33))^{*}(1+(37))\right.$ |
| Total Fixed Expense Loadings | 7.379\% | 48,656,158 | 443,877 | 3,609,745 | 2,107,216 | 7,006,292 | 61,823,288 | (27) | $(24 \mathrm{a})+(24 \mathrm{~b})+(24 \mathrm{c})+(24 \mathrm{~d})+(25)+(26)$ |
| 2016 Section I Base Premium at Coverage Level prior to Cash Build | Up | 708,012,859 | 6,459,006 | 52,526,666 | 30,662,841 | 101,951,026 | 899,612,398 | (34) |  |

Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund
2016 Ratemaking Formula Report
Section I: Adjustment to 01/05/2016 Exposure Base And Summary of Rate Change

## Adjustment for Change in Reportings 01/05/2016 to 01/05/2016

2015 Section I Base Premium \begin{tabular}{ll}

(Net of Credits) \& | as of 01/05/2016 |
| :--- |
| as of 01/05/2016 |
| Change |

\end{tabular}

2015 Section I Exposure $\quad$ (All ZIP Codes) | as of 01/05/2016 |
| :--- |
| as of 01/05/2016 |
| Change |

Exposure Trend (2015 to 2016)
2016 Section I Exposure
016 Section I Actuarially Indicated Base Premium at Coverage Leve
2016 Section I Actuarially Indicated Base Premium at Cove. Level Adj For Reporting Change

## Cash Build-up Factor

2016 Adjusted Sect. I Base Premium at Coverage \& 2013-16 Cash Build Up Level $25 \%$
Variable Expense Loading Factor
Reinsurance

| 1,755,398,679,440 | 22,469,777,767 | 88,118,573,834 | 25,888,470,026 | 169,751,066,958 | 2,061,626,568,025 | (38) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,755,398,679,440 | 22,469,777,767 | 88,118,573,834 | 25,888,470,026 | 169,751,066,958 | 2,061,626,568,025 | (39) |  |
| 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | (40) | (39)/(38) - 1 |
| 2.00\% | 2.00\% | 2.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.81\% | (41) |  |
| 1,790,506,653,029 | 22,919,173,322 | 89,880,945,311 | 25,888,470,026 | 169,751,066,958 | 2,098,946,308,646 | (42) | $(1+(41)) *(39)$ |
| 708,012,859 | 6,459,006 | 52,526,666 | 30,662,841 | 101,951,026 | 899,612,398 | (43) | (34) |
| 708,012,859 | 6,459,006 | 52,526,666 | 30,662,841 | 101,951,026 | 899,612,398 | (43.01) | $(1+(37))^{\star}(43)$ |
| 885,016,074 | 8,073,757 | 65,658,333 | 38,328,551 | 127,438,782 | 1,124,515,497 | (45) | $(43.01) * 1.25$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (45a) | (45)*(1/(1-Reins \%) |
| 885,016,074 | 8,073,757 | 65,658,333 | 38,328,551 | 127,438,782 | 1,124,515,497 | (45b) | $(45)+(45 a)$ |

Summary of Section I, Premium, Exposure and Rate Change

| Base Premium ( $25 \% \mathrm{CB}$ ) | 2015 | as of 01/05/2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 |  |
|  | Change |  |
| Exposure | 2015 | as of 01/05/2016 |
|  | 2016 |  |
|  | Change |  |
| Rate (at 25\% CB) | 2015 | as of 01/05/2016 |
|  | 2016 |  |
|  | Change |  |
| Rate at 25\% CB | 2016 |  |

Rate Change

| Residential | Tenants | Condos | Mobile Home | Commercial | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 974,328,431 | 9,276,110 | 67,646,357 | 34,653,568 | 128,769,725 | 1,214,674,191 | (46) | (36) |
| 885,016,074 | 8,073,757 | 65,658,333 | 38,328,551 | 127,438,782 | 1,124,515,497 | (47) | (45b) |
| -9.17\% | -12.96\% | -2.94\% | 10.60\% | -1.03\% | -7.42\% | (48) | ((47)/(46))-1 |
| 1,755,398,679,440 | 22,469,777,767 | 88,118,573,834 | 25,888,470,026 | 169,751,066,958 | 2,061,626,568,025 | (49) | (39) |
| 1,790,506,653,029 | 22,919,173,322 | 89,880,945,311 | 25,888,470,026 | 169,751,066,958 | 2,098,946,308,646 | (50) | (42) |
| 2.00\% | 2.00\% | 2.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.81\% | (51) | ((50)/(49))-1 |
| 0.5550 | 0.4128 | 0.7677 | 1.3386 | 0.7586 | 0.5892 | (52) | $1000 *(46) /(49)$ |
| 0.4943 | 0.3523 | 0.7305 | 1.4805 | 0.7507 | 0.5358 | (53) | $1000 *(47) /(50)$ |
| -10.95\% | -14.67\% | -4.84\% | 10.60\% | -1.03\% | -9.07\% | (54) | ((52)/(53))-1 |
| 0.4943 | 0.3523 | 0.7305 | 1.4805 | 0.7507 | 0.5358 | (54.01) |  |


| $-10.95 \%$ | $-14.67 \%$ | $-4.84 \%$ | $10.60 \%$ | $-1.03 \%$ | $-9.07 \%$ | $(55)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$((1000 *(45 b) /(50)) /(53))-1$

## Forida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund

2016 Ratemaking Formula Report Summary of Results

|  | Retention | Limit | Residential | Tenants | Condos | Mobile Home | Commercial | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Premium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sect. I: Basic Cov. |  |  | 885,016,074 | 8,073,757 | 65,658,333 | 38,328,551 | 127,438,782 | 1,124,515,497 | (69) | (45b) |
| Sect I: Extended Cov. |  |  | - | - | - |  | - - | ,12,515, | (70) | There is no Extended Coverage Charge for Citizens |
| Section I : Subtotal | 6,966,000,000 | 17,000,000,000 | 885,016,074 | 8,073,757 | 65,658,333 | 38,328,551 | 127,438,782 | 1,124,515,497 | (71) | (70)+(69) |
| Section II | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | , | , | 0 | (72) | There is no Section II exposure |
| Total | 6,966,000,000 | 17,000,000,000 | 885,016,074 | 8,073,757 | 65,658,333 | 38,328,551 | 127,438,782 | 1,124,515,497 | (73) | $(71)+(72)$ |
| Coverage \% |  |  | 76.948\% | 78.344\% | 81.024\% | 89.003\% | 67.380\% | 76.309\% | (74) | (1) |
| Projected Payout Multiple 15.1176 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (73Limit)/(73total prem) |
| Retention Multiples | 100\% | 4.7271 | 1,150,143,408 | 10,305,559 | 81,035,448 | 43,064,382 | 189,133,623 | 1,473,639,220 | (75) | (73ret)/(73 tot prem)**(74tot)/100\%) |
|  | 90\% | 5.2523 | 1,035,129,067 | 9,275,003 | 72,931,903 | 38,757,944 | 170,220,261 | 1,326,275,298 | (76) | (73ret)/(73 tot prem)*(74tot)/90\%) |
|  | 75\% | 6.3028 | 862,607,556 | 7,729,169 | 60,776,586 | 32,298,286 | 141,850,217 | 1,105,229,415 | (77) | (73ret)/(73 tot prem)*(74tot)/75\%) |
|  | 45\% | 10.5046 | 517,564,533 | 4,637,501 | 36,465,951 | 19,378,972 | 85,110,130 | 663,137,649 | (78) | (73ret)/(73 tot prem)*(74tot)/45\%) |
| Sec I Projected Exposure |  | 2016 | 1,790,506,653,029 | 22,919,173,322 | 89,880,945,311 | 25,888,470,026 | 169,751,066,958 | 2,098,946,308,646 | (79) | (49) |
| Sec I Avg Basic Rates | 100\% |  | 0.6424 | 0.4496 | 0.9016 | 1.6635 | 1.1142 | 0.7021 | (80) | $1000 *(69) /(79) *((100 \% /(74))$ |
|  | 90\% |  | 0.5781 | 0.4047 | 0.8114 | 1.4971 | 1.0028 | 0.6319 | (81) | $1000 *(69) /(79) *((90 \% /(74))$ |
|  | 75\% |  | 0.4818 | 0.3372 | 0.6762 | 1.2476 | 0.8356 | 0.5266 | (82) | $1000 *(69) /(79) *((75 \% /(74))$ |
|  | 45\% |  | 0.2891 | 0.2023 | 0.4057 | 0.7486 | 0.5014 | 0.3159 | (83) | $1000 *(69) /(79) *((45 \% /(74))$ |
|  | Average Coverage |  | 0.4943 | 0.3523 | 0.7305 | 1.4805 | 0.7507 | 0.5358 | (84) | $1000 *(69) /(79)$ or (52) |
| Overall Section I Rate Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Premium |  | 2015 | 974,328,431 | 9,276,110 | 67,646,357 | 34,653,568 | 128,769,725 | 1,214,674,191 | (85) | (45) |
|  |  | 2016 | 885,016,074 | 8,073,757 | 65,658,333 | 38,328,551 | 127,438,782 | 1,124,515,497 | (86) | (73) |
| Total Exposure |  | 2015 | 1,755,398,679,440 | 22,469,777,767 | 88,118,573,834 | 25,888,470,026 | 169,751,066,958 | 2,061,626,568,025 | (87) | (48) |
|  |  | 2016 | 1,790,506,653,029 | 22,919,173,322 | 89,880,945,311 | 25,888,470,026 | 169,751,066,958 | 2,098,946,308,646 | (88) | (49) |
| Average Rate (000s) |  | 2015 | 0.5550 | 0.4128 | 0.7677 | 1.3386 | 0.7586 | 0.5892 | (89) | $1000 *(85) /(87)$ |
|  |  | Overall Rate Change 2016 |  |  | 0.4943 | 0.3523 | 0.7305 | 1.4805 | 0.7507 | 0.5358 | (90) | $1000 *(86) /(88)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | -10.95\% | -14.67\% | -4.84\% | 10.60\% | -1.03\% | -9.07\% | (91) | (90)/(89) - 1 |

Forida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund
2016 Ratemaking Formula Report
Section I: Historical Exposures and Premiums

|  |  | Residential | Tenants* | Condo-Owners | Mobile Home | Commercial | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section I Exposures (as of 10/23/2015) |  |  | 7 | 6 | 3 | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52008 |  | \$1,783,139,166,905 |  | \$17,697,307,503 | \$79,407,858,258 | \$37,368,104,549 | \$197,900,227,178 | \$2,115,512,664,393 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}4 & 2009 \\ 3 & 2010\end{array}$ |  | \$1,851,472,17, ,828 | \$17,345,852,866 | \$84,198,948,574 | \$36,761,961,986 | \$212,460,681,802 | \$2,166,239,62, 056 |
|  |  | \$1,817,662,481,519 | \$17,569,203,805 | \$83,886,023,190 | \$35,542,039,480 | \$209,853,976,263 | \$2,164,51,724,257 |
| 20112012201320142015 |  | \$1,777,677,567,02 | \$18,329,345,968 | \$84,448,798,032 | \$33,837,366,975 | \$203,072,396,562 | \$2,117,365,474,539 |
|  |  | \$1,742,100,37, ,356 | \$19,311,739,294 | \$84,152,011,133 | \$31,569,203,791 | \$199,066,488,510 | \$2,076,19, 740,084 |
|  |  | \$1,691,386,269,257 | \$20,700,774,905 | \$84,904,347,917 | \$28,398,015,505 | \$197,362,838,239 | \$2,022,752,24, ,23 |
|  |  | \$1,71, ,564,357,374 | \$22,100,453,398 | \$86,683,426,785 | \$27,473,061,310 | \$188,611,021,575 | \$2,04, ,432,320,442 |
|  |  | \$1,755,398,679,440 | \$22,469,777,767 | \$88,118,577,834 | \$25,888,470,026 | \$169,751,066,958 | \$2,061,626,568,025 |
| 2016 | (Proi.) | \$1,790,506,653,029 | \$22,919,173,322 | ${ }_{\text {\$89,880,945,311 }}$ | \$25,888,470,026 | \$169,751,066,958 | \$2,098,946,308,646 |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Section I Premiums (as of 1012312015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  | \$751,531,398 | \$7,069,055 | \$48,188,923 | \$35,517,945 | \$149,973,088 | \$992,280,410 |
| 2009 |  | \$821,700,186 | \$6,499,295 | \$52,307,156 | \$39,714,714 | \$155,523,244 | \$1,075,744,595 |
| 2010 |  | \$859,864,344 | \$6,502,492 | \$51,872,015 | \$43,539,127 | \$153,444,469 | \$1,115,22, ,446 |
| 2011 |  | \$880,754,111 | \$6,505,495 | \$53,683,414 | \$45,968,427 | \$150,384,875 | \$1,137,296,322 |
| 2012 |  | \$981,901,376 | \$8,032,833 | \$60,505,531 | \$43,863,584 | \$167,495,637 | \$1,261,798,961 |
| 2013 |  | \$977,906,580 | \$9,143,951 | \$64,528,991 | \$37,315,378 | \$175,438,169 | \$1,264,33, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2014 |  | \$986,443,870 | \$10,082,997 | \$66,820,443 | \$35,207,760 | \$185,763,377 | \$1,284,318,147 |
| 2015 |  | \$974,328,431 | \$9,276,110 | \$67,646,357 | \$34,653,568 | \$128,769,725 | \$1,214,674,191 |
| $\square$ | (Proj.) | \$885,016,074 | \$8,073,757 | \$65,658,333 | ¢38,328,551 | \$127,438,782 | \$1,124,515,497 |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Section I Average Rates (per si000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  | 0.4215 | 0.3994 | 0.6069 | 0.9505 | 0.7578 | 0.4690 |
| 2009 |  | 0.4526 | 0.3747 | 0.6212 | 1.0803 | 0.7320 | 0.4966 |
| 2010 |  | ${ }^{0.4731}$ | ${ }^{0.3701}$ | 0.6184 | 1.2250 | ${ }^{0.7312}$ | ${ }^{0.5152}$ |
| 2011 |  | 0.4955 | 0.3549 | 0.6357 | 1.3585 | 0.7405 | 0.5371 |
| 2012 |  | 0.5636 | 0.4160 | 0.7190 | 1.3894 | 0.8414 | 0.6077 |
| 2013 |  | 0.5782 | 0.4417 | 0.7600 | ${ }_{1.3140}$ | 0.8889 | 0.6251 |
| 2014 |  | ${ }^{0.5737}$ | ${ }^{0.4562}$ | 0.7709 | 1.2815 | 0.9849 | ${ }^{0.6282}$ |
| 2015 |  | 0.5550 | ${ }^{0.4128}$ | 0.7677 | 1.3386 | 0.7586 | 0.5892 |
| 20162017 | (Proj) | ${ }^{0.4943}$ | ${ }^{0.3523}$ | 0.7305 | 1.4805 | 0.7507 | 0.5358 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Change in Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008-09 |  | 7.39\% | -6.20\% | 2.37\% | 13.66\% | -3.41\% | 5.87\% |
| 2009-10 |  | 4.52\% | -1.22\% | -0.46\% | 13.39\% | -0.11\% | 3.75\% |
| 2010-11 |  | 4.73\% | -4.10\% | 2.80\% | 10.90\% | 1.28\% | 4.25\% |
| 2011-12 |  | 13.76\% | 17.20\% | 13.11\% | 2.28\% | 13.62\% | 13.15\% |
| 2012-13 |  | 2.55\% | 6.19\% | 5.70\% | -5.43\% | 5.65\% | 2.85\% |
| 2013-14 |  | -0.78\% | 3.28\% | 1.43\% | -2.47\% | 10.80\% | 0.50\% |
| 2014-15 |  | $-3.24 \%$ | -9.51\% | -0.41\% | 4.45\% | -22.98\% | -6.21\% |
| 2016-17 |  | -10.95\% | -14.67\% | $-4.84 \%$ | 10.60\% | -1.03\% | -9.07\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Historical Rates as Percent of 2016 Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  | 85\% | 113\% | 83\% | 64\% | 101\% | 88\% |
| 2009 |  | 92\% | 106\% | 85\% | 73\% | 98\% | 93\% |
| 2010 |  | 96\% | 105\% | 85\% | 83\% | 97\% | 96\% |
| 2011 |  | 100\% | 101\% | 87\% | 92\% | 99\% | 100\% |
| 2012 |  | 114\% | 118\% | 98\% | 94\% | 112\% | 113\% |
| 2013 |  | 117\% | 125\% | 104\% | 89\% | 118\% | 117\% |
| 2014 |  | 116\% | 130\% | 106\% | 87\% | 131\% | 117\% |
| 2015 |  | 112\% | 117\% | 105\% | 90\% | 101\% | 110\% |
| 2016 |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Historical Rate on Line (Mandatory Coverage only] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {cose }}$ Limit(\$3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20082009 | 16.530 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{6.0 \%}$ |
|  | 17.175 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.3\% |
| 2010 | 17.000 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.6\% |
|  | 17.000 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.7\% |
| 2012 | 17.000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.4\% |
| 20132014 | 17.000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.4\% |
|  | 17.000 17.000 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.6\% |
| ${ }_{20}{ }^{2017}{ }^{\text {ncludes Inland Marine/Stand Alone \& Other Contents Type Policies }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## EXHIBIT

## III

> Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2015 Reported Exposures as of 10/8/15 (Trended to 6/30/16)

## Trended Control Totals By Type

| Type | Units | Percent of <br> Units | Primary <br> Exposure | Average <br> Exposure | Percent of <br> Exposure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | 165,655 | $2.53 \%$ | $\$ 169,751,066,958$ | $\$ 1,024,726$ | $8.09 \%$ |
| Residential | $4,321,484$ | $65.99 \%$ | $\$ 1,790,506,653,029$ | $\$ 414,327$ | $85.31 \%$ |
| Mobile Home | 344,255 | $5.26 \%$ | $\$ 25,888,470,026$ | $\$ 75,201$ | $1.23 \%$ |
| Tenants | 908,334 | $13.87 \%$ | $\$ 22,919,173,322$ | $\$ 25,232$ | $1.09 \%$ |
| Condominium Unit Owners | 809,428 | $12.36 \%$ | $\$ 89,880,945,311$ | $\$ 111,043$ | $4.28 \%$ |
| Total | $6,549,156$ | $100.00 \%$ | $\$ 2,098,946,308,646$ | $\$ 320,491$ | $100.00 \%$ |

> Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2015 Reported Exposures as of 10/8/15 (Trended to 6/30/16)

## Trended Commercial Control Totals By Construction

| Construction | Units | Percent of <br> Units | Primary <br> Exposure | Average <br> Exposure | Percent of <br> Exposure |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frame | 32,252 | $19.47 \%$ | $\$ 19,770,121,850$ | $\$ 612,989$ | $11.65 \%$ |  |
| Masonry | 114,623 | $69.19 \%$ | $\$ 74,324,893,082$ | $\$ 648,429$ | $43.78 \%$ |  |
| Masonry with Reinforced Concrete Roof | 10,387 | $6.27 \%$ | $\$ 24,331,674,716$ | $\$ 2,342,512$ | $14.33 \%$ |  |
| Superior | 1,052 | $0.64 \%$ | $\$ 7,408,378,600$ | $\$ 7,042,185$ | $4.36 \%$ |  |
| Superior with Reinforced Concrete Roof | 3,380 | $2.04 \%$ | $\$ 43,685,042,930$ | $\$ 12,924,569$ | $25.73 \%$ |  |
| Masonry Veneer |  | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |  | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |

> Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2015 Reported Exposures as of 10/8/15 (Trended to 6/30/16)

Trended Residential Control Totals By Construction

|  | Units | Percent of <br> Units | Primary <br> Exposure | Average <br> Exposure | Percent of <br> Exposure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction | 893,819 | $20.68 \%$ | $\$ 346,807,342,080$ | $\$ 388,006$ | $19.37 \%$ |
| Masonry | $3,118,045$ | $72.15 \%$ | $\$ 1,304,265,293,267$ | $\$ 418,296$ | $72.84 \%$ |
| Masonry Veneer | 263,286 | $6.09 \%$ | $\$ 128,320,475,179$ | $\$ 487,381$ | $7.17 \%$ |
| Unknown/Non Mobile Home Default | 46,334 | $1.07 \%$ | $\$ 11,113,542,503$ | $\$ 239,857$ | $0.62 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $4,321,484$ | $100.00 \%$ | $\$ 1,790,506,653,029$ | $\$ 414,327$ | $100.00 \%$ |

# Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report <br> 2015 Reported Exposures as of 10/8/15 (Trended to 6/30/16) 

Trended Mobile Home Control Totals By Construction

| Construction | Units | Percent of <br> Units | Primary <br> Exposure | Average <br> Exposure | Percent of <br> Exposure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobile Home - Fully Tied Down, Mfg before $7 / 13 / 94$ | 190,741 | $55.41 \%$ | $\$ 10,606,870,361$ | $\$ 55,609$ | $40.97 \%$ |

# Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2015 Reported Exposures as of 10/8/15 (Trended to 6/30/16) 

Trended Tenants Control Totals By Construction

| Construction | Units | Percent of Units | Primary <br> Exposure | Average Exposure | Percent of Exposure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frame | 99,297 | 10.93\% | \$2,837,878,473 | \$28,580 | 12.38\% |
| Masonry | 171,018 | 18.83\% | \$6,186,771,546 | \$36,176 | 26.99\% |
| Masonry with Reinforced Concrete Roof | 503 | 0.06\% | \$48,705,365 | \$96,830 | 0.21\% |
| Superior | 7,463 | 0.82\% | \$337,873,782 | \$45,273 | 1.47\% |
| Superior with Reinforced Concrete Roof | 957 | 0.11\% | \$109,792,851 | \$114,726 | 0.48\% |
| Masonry Veneer | 15,045 | 1.66\% | \$570,102,381 | \$37,893 | 2.49\% |
| Unknown/Non Mobile Home Default | 614,051 | 67.60\% | \$12,828,048,924 | \$20,891 | 55.97\% |
| Total | 908,334 | 100.00\% | \$22,919,173,322 | \$25,232 | 100.00\% |

# Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2015 Reported Exposures as of 10/8/15 (Trended to 6/30/16) 

Trended Condominium Unit Owners Control Totals By Construction

| Construction | Units | Percent of <br> Units | Primary <br> Exposure | Average <br> Exposure | Percent of <br> Exposure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frame | 80,024 | $9.89 \%$ | $\$ 7,679,321,375$ | $\$ 95,963$ | $8.54 \%$ |
| Masonry | 490,230 | $60.56 \%$ | $\$ 47,199,668,933$ | $\$ 96,281$ | $52.51 \%$ |
| Masonry with Reinforced Concrete Roof | 71,358 | $8.82 \%$ | $\$ 8,149,886,499$ | $\$ 114,211$ | $9.07 \%$ |
| Superior | 72,330 | $8.94 \%$ | $\$ 9,447,134,106$ | $\$ 130,612$ | $10.51 \%$ |
| Superior with Reinforced Concrete Roof | 87,074 | $10.76 \%$ | $\$ 16,305,486,735$ | $\$ 187,260$ | $18.14 \%$ |
| Masonry Veneer | 6,820 | $0.84 \%$ | $\$ 728,113,359$ | $\$ 106,761$ | $0.81 \%$ |
| Unknown/Non Mobile Home Default | 1,592 | $0.20 \%$ | $\$ 371,334,305$ | $\$ 233,250$ | $0.41 \%$ |

# Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2015 Reported Exposures as of 10/8/15 (Trended to 6/30/16) 

Trended Commercial Control Totals By Deductible Code

| Deductible Code | Units | Percent of <br> Units | Primary <br> Exposure | Average <br> Exposure | Percent of <br> Exposure |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CA (\$0 to $\$ 2,500)$ | 165 | $0.10 \%$ | $\$ 45,454,009$ | $\$ 275,479$ | $0.03 \%$ |
| CB (\$2,501 to \$7,500) | 50 | $0.03 \%$ | $\$ 11,802,650$ | $\$ 236,053$ | $0.01 \%$ |
| CC (\$7,501 to \$15,000) | 101 | $0.06 \%$ | $\$ 91,079,185$ | $\$ 901,774$ | $0.05 \%$ |
| CD (\$15,001 to \$50,000) | 23 | $0.01 \%$ | $\$ 7,273,538$ | $\$ 316,241$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| C1 (1\%) | 42 | $0.03 \%$ | $\$ 82,842,962$ | $\$ 1,972,451$ | $0.05 \%$ |
| C2 (2\%) | 4,234 | $2.56 \%$ | $\$ 4,664,741,233$ | $\$ 1,101,734$ | $2.75 \%$ |
| C3 (3\%) | 91,557 | $55.27 \%$ | $\$ 94,065,439,432$ | $\$ 1,027,398$ | $55.41 \%$ |
| C4 (4\%) | 44 | $0.03 \%$ | $\$ 1,625,948,369$ | $\$ 36,953,372$ | $0.96 \%$ |
| C5 (5\%) | 68,801 | $41.53 \%$ | $\$ 68,186,245,240$ | $\$ 991,065$ | $40.17 \%$ |
| C6 (6\%) | 12 | $0.01 \%$ | $\$ 430,643,377$ | $\$ 35,886,948$ | $0.25 \%$ |
| C7 (7\%) | 2 | $0.00 \%$ | $\$ 4,694,500$ | $\$ 2,347,250$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| C8 (8\%) | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |  | $\$ 0$ |  |
| C9 (9\%) | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |  | $\$ 0$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| C0 (10\%) | 624 | $0.38 \%$ | $\$ 534,902,463$ | $\$ 857,215$ | $0.32 \%$ |
| Total | 165,655 | $100.00 \%$ | $\$ 169,751,066,958$ | $\$ 1,024,726$ | $100.00 \%$ |

# Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2015 Reported Exposures as of 10/8/15 (Trended to 6/30/16) 

Trended Residential Control Totals By Deductible Code

|  | Deductible Code | Units | Percent of Units | Primary Exposure | Average Exposure | Percent of Exposure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RM | (\$0) | 27,197 | 0.63\% | \$1,357,573,414 | \$49,916 | 0.08\% |
| RA | (\$1 to \$500) | 133,718 | 3.09\% | \$43,472,354,565 | \$325,105 | 2.43\% |
| RB | (\$501 to \$1,500) | 8,118 | 0.19\% | \$3,431,397,178 | \$422,690 | 0.19\% |
| RC | (\$1,501 to \$2,500) | 2,676 | 0.06\% | \$409,184,971 | \$152,909 | 0.02\% |
| RD | (Greater Than \$2,500) | 1,842 | 0.04\% | \$1,069,469,491 | \$580,602 | 0.06\% |
| R1 | (1\%) | 9,821 | 0.23\% | \$5,891,967,922 | \$599,936 | 0.33\% |
| R2 | (2\%) | 3,746,675 | 86.70\% | \$1,552,053,025,574 | \$414,248 | 86.68\% |
| R3 | (3\%) | 38,024 | 0.88\% | \$12,677,352,255 | \$333,404 | 0.71\% |
| R4 | (4\%) | 4,418 | 0.10\% | \$2,530,379,832 | \$572,743 | 0.14\% |
| R5 | (5\%) | 305,243 | 7.06\% | \$139,294,619,049 | \$456,340 | 7.78\% |
| R6 | (6\%) | 104 | 0.00\% | \$35,930,065 | \$345,481 | 0.00\% |
| R7 | (7\%) | 96 | 0.00\% | \$29,140,929 | \$303,551 | 0.00\% |
| R8 | (8\%) | 49 | 0.00\% | \$20,234,026 | \$412,939 | 0.00\% |
| R9 | (9\%) | 8 | 0.00\% | \$3,601,082 | \$450,135 | 0.00\% |
| R0 ( | (10\% to 14\%) | 41,922 | 0.97\% | \$25,012,938,781 | \$596,654 | 1.40\% |
| RZ | (15\% or Greater) | 1,573 | 0.04\% | \$3,217,483,896 | \$2,045,444 | 0.18\% |
| Total |  | 4,321,484 | 100.00\% | \$1,790,506,653,029 | \$414,327 | 100.00\% |

> Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2015 Reported Exposures as of 10/8/15 (Trended to $6 / 30 / 16$ )

Trended Mobile Home Control Totals By Deductible Code

|  | Deductible Code | Units | Percent of Units | Primary <br> Exposure | Average Exposure | Percent of Exposure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MM | (\$0) | 204 | 0.06\% | \$1,467,767 | \$7,195 | 0.01\% |
| MA | (\$1 to \$250) | 1,157 | 0.34\% | \$11,422,326 | \$9,872 | 0.04\% |
| MB | (\$251 to \$500) | 151,282 | 43.94\% | \$9,274,187,405 | \$61,304 | 35.82\% |
| MC | (Greater Than \$500) | 6,488 | 1.88\% | \$546,596,643 | \$84,247 | 2.11\% |
| M1 | (1\%) | 358 | 0.10\% | \$51,629,809 | \$144,217 | 0.20\% |
| M2 | (2\%) | 102,283 | 29.71\% | \$10,553,256,129 | \$103,177 | 40.76\% |
| M3 | (3\%) | 172 | 0.05\% | \$11,714,724 | \$68,109 | 0.05\% |
| M4 | (4\%) | 20 | 0.01\% | \$860,530 | \$43,027 | 0.00\% |
| M5 | (5\%) | 70,358 | 20.44\% | \$4,824,822,088 | \$68,575 | 18.64\% |
| M6 | (6\%) | 5 | 0.00\% | \$549,430 | \$109,886 | 0.00\% |
| M7 | (7\%) | 0 | 0.00\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| M8 | (8\%) | 0 | 0.00\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| M9 | (9\%) | 5 | 0.00\% | \$513,900 | \$102,780 | 0.00\% |
| M0 | (10\% or Greater) | 11,923 | 3.46\% | \$611,449,275 | \$51,283 | 2.36\% |
| Total |  | 344,255 | 100.00\% | \$25,888,470,026 | \$75,201 | 100.00\% |

# Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2015 Reported Exposures as of 10/8/15 (Trended to 6/30/16) 

Trended Tenants Control Totals By Deductible Code

|  | Deductible Code | Units | Percent of Units | Primary Exposure | Average Exposure | Percent of Exposure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RM | (\$0) | 304,556 | 33.53\% | \$5,616,162,537 | \$18,440 | 24.50\% |
| RA | (\$1 to \$500) | 368,801 | 40.60\% | \$9,765,142,789 | \$26,478 | 42.61\% |
| RB | (\$501 to \$1,500) | 175,389 | 19.31\% | \$4,372,666,215 | \$24,931 | 19.08\% |
| RC | (\$1,501 to \$2,500) | 1,633 | 0.18\% | \$72,206,514 | \$44,217 | 0.32\% |
| RD | (Greater Than \$2,500) | 1,069 | 0.12\% | \$76,140,667 | \$71,226 | 0.33\% |
| R1 | (1\%) | 167 | 0.02\% | \$25,548,615 | \$152,986 | 0.11\% |
| R2 | (2\%) | 51,113 | 5.63\% | \$2,745,659,675 | \$53,717 | 11.98\% |
| R3 | (3\%) | 10 | 0.00\% | \$1,238,873 | \$123,887 | 0.01\% |
| R4 | (4\%) | 1 | 0.00\% | \$26,520 | \$26,520 | 0.00\% |
| R5 | (5\%) | 4,209 | 0.46\% | \$188,479,022 | \$44,780 | 0.82\% |
| R6 | (6\%) | 0 | 0.00\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| R7 | (7\%) | 0 | 0.00\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| R8 | (8\%) | 0 | 0.00\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| R9 | (9\%) | 6 | 0.00\% | \$364,236 | \$60,706 | 0.00\% |
| R0 | (10\% to 14\%) | 1,319 | 0.15\% | \$36,265,922 | \$27,495 | 0.16\% |
| RZ | (15\% or Greater) | 61 | 0.01\% | \$19,271,737 | \$315,930 | 0.08\% |
| Total |  | 908,334 | 100.00\% | \$22,919,173,322 | \$25,232 | 100.00\% |

# Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2015 Reported Exposures as of 10/8/15 (Trended to 6/30/16) 

Trended Condominium Unit Owners Control Totals By Deductible Code

|  | Deductible Code | Units | Percent of Units | Primary Exposure | Average Exposure | Percent of Exposure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RM | (\$0) | 4,777 | 0.59\% | \$260,895,769 | \$54,615 | 0.29\% |
| RA | (\$1 to \$500) | 268,022 | 33.11\% | \$18,031,204,273 | \$67,275 | 20.06\% |
| RB | (\$501 to \$1,500) | 65,331 | 8.07\% | \$7,660,221,277 | \$117,252 | 8.52\% |
| RC | (\$1,501 to \$2,500) | 7,905 | 0.98\% | \$1,170,115,334 | \$148,022 | 1.30\% |
| RD | (Greater Than \$2,500) | 1,525 | 0.19\% | \$304,423,746 | \$199,622 | 0.34\% |
| R1 | (1\%) | 101 | 0.01\% | \$62,536,703 | \$619,175 | 0.07\% |
| R2 | (2\%) | 408,320 | 50.45\% | \$53,495,148,530 | \$131,013 | 59.52\% |
| R3 | (3\%) | 772 | 0.10\% | \$183,936,762 | \$238,260 | 0.20\% |
| R4 | (4\%) | 198 | 0.02\% | \$37,113,251 | \$187,441 | 0.04\% |
| R5 | (5\%) | 41,766 | 5.16\% | \$6,669,573,338 | \$159,689 | 7.42\% |
| R6 | (6\%) | 13 | 0.00\% | \$1,597,863 | \$122,913 | 0.00\% |
| R7 | (7\%) | 0 | 0.00\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| R8 | (8\%) | 14 | 0.00\% | \$726,183 | \$51,870 | 0.00\% |
| R9 | (9\%) | 1 | 0.00\% | \$260,100 | \$260,100 | 0.00\% |
| R0 | (10\% to 14\%) | 9,612 | 1.19\% | \$1,214,623,593 | \$126,365 | 1.35\% |
| RZ | (15\% or Greater) | 1,071 | 0.13\% | \$788,568,589 | \$736,292 | 0.88\% |
| Total |  | 809,428 | 100.00\% | \$89,880,945,311 | \$111,043 | 100.00\% |

# Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2015 Reported Exposures as of 10/8/15 (Trended to 6/30/16) 

Trended Exposures and Risks from Invalid ZIP Codes

| Type | Invalid ZIP Code Data |  |  | Valid Zip Code Data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Units | Exposure | Average | Units | Exposure | Average |
| Commercial | 5 | \$8,240,500 | \$1,648,100 | 165,650 | 169,742,826,458 | \$1,024,708 |
| Residential | 42 | \$11,625,938 | \$276,808 | 4,321,442 | 1,790,495,027,091 | \$414,328 |
| Mobile Home | 129 | \$6,241,625 | \$48,385 | 344,126 | 25,882,228,401 | \$75,211 |
| Tenants | 19 | \$549,661 | \$28,930 | 908,315 | 22,918,623,662 | \$25,232 |
| Condo Owners | 7 | \$557,818 | \$79,688 | 809,421 | 89,880,387,493 | \$111,043 |
| Total | 202 | \$27,215,541 | \$134,730 | 6,548,954 | \$2,098,919,093,105 | \$320,497 |


|  | All Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Units | Exposure | Average |  | Units | Exposure |
| Commercial | 165,655 | $\$ 169,751,066,958$ | $\$ 1,024,726$ |  | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Residential | $4,321,484$ | $\$ 1,790,506,653,029$ | $\$ 414,327$ |  | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Mobile Home | 344,255 | $\$ 25,888,470,026$ | $\$ 75,201$ |  | $0.04 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ |
| Tenants/Other | 908,334 | $\$ 22,919,173,322$ | $\$ 25,232$ |  | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Condo Owners | 809,428 | $\$ 89,880,945,311$ | $\$ 111,043$ |  | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Total | $6,549,156$ | $\$ 2,098,946,308,646$ | $\$ 320,491$ |  | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |

Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund
2016 Ratemaking Formula Report
Exposures, Unit Counts and Averages As of 10/23/15

|  | Exposures (\$) |  |  |  |  | Annual Change (\%)** Exposures |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial | Residential* | Mobile Home | Stand Alone I.M.** | Total |  | Commercial | Residential* | Mobile Home | Stand Alone I.M.** | Total |
| 1994 | 250,798,066,574 | 573,595,663,128 | 27,708,002,887 | N/A | 852,101,732,589 | 1994-1995 | NA | 12.9 | (0.9) | N/A | N/A |
| 1995 | 72,259,223,184 | 647,611,806,441 | 27,471,321,323 | N/A | 747,342,350,948 | 1995-1996 | (0.3) | 1.3 | (3.0) | N/A | 0.9 |
| 1996 | 72,045,415,920 | 655,747,424,327 | 26,641,265,399 | N/A | 754,434,105,646 | 1996-1997 | (6.9) | 1.5 | 3.6 | N/A | 0.8 |
| 1997 | 67,060,941,081 | 665,706,907,693 | 27,603,802,377 | N/A | 760,371,651,151 | 1997-1998 | (6.9) | 2.1 | 3.2 | N/A | 1.3 |
| 1998 | 62,406,306,257 | 679,581,831,252 | 28,500,346,256 | N/A | 770,488,483,765 | 1998-1999 | (0.2) | 4.1 | 2.9 | N/A | 3.7 |
| 1999 | 62,310,422,803 | 707,168,630,617 | 29,321,225,365 | N/A | 798,800,278,785 | 1999-2000 | 28.9 | 9.0 | 1.7 | N/A | 10.3 |
| 2000 | 80,327,371,492 | 771,151,251,493 | 29,805,027,583 | N/A | 881,283,650,568 | 2000-2001 | 19.4 | 3.2 | 1.8 | N/A | 4.6 |
| 2001 | 95,903,685,545 | 795,830,648,826 | 30,336,699,432 | N/A | 922,071,033,803 | 2001-2002 | 17.9 | 19.2 | 12.6 | N/A | 19.3 |
| 2002 | 113,055,152,173 | 948,240,567,004 | 34,158,045,008 | 4,649,506,167 | 1,100,103,270,352 | 2002-2003 | 8.5 | 8.3 | (0.1) | 78.7 | 8.4 |
| 2003 | 122,711,546,221 | 1,027,400,432,961 | 34,109,501,584 | 8,307,577,221 | 1,192,529,057,987 | 2003-2004 | (1.7) | 12.5 | 2.7 | 9.4 | 10.7 |
| 2004 | 120,567,809,498 | 1,155,969,925,095 | 35,014,550,966 | 9,090,209,248 | 1,320,642,494,807 | 2004-2005 | 4.1 | 17.2 | 3.7 | 16.6 | 15.6 |
| 2005 | 125,518,806,067 | 1,354,455,492,240 | 36,309,216,467 | 10,602,304,913 | 1,526,885,819,687 | 2005-2006 | 8.6 | 19.4 | 4.8 | N/A | 17.3 |
| 2006 | 136,340,614,829 | 1,617,264,717,950 | 38,069,099,793 | N/A | 1,791,674,432,572 | 2006-2007 | 37.0 | 11.2 | (1.5) | N/A | 12.9 |
| 2007 | 186,827,864,101 | 1,798,433,070,223 | 37,500,069,047 | N/A | 2,022,761,003,371 | 2007-2008 | 5.9 | 4.5 | (0.4) | N/A | 4.6 |
| 2008 | 197,900,227,178 | 1,880,244,332,666 | 37,368,104,549 | N/A | 2,115,512,664,393 | 2008-2009 | 7.4 | 2.0 | (1.6) | N/A | 2.4 |
| 2009 | 212,460,681,802 | 1,917,016,979,268 | 36,761,961,986 | N/A | 2,166,239,623,056 | 2009-2010 | (1.2) | 0.1 | (3.3) | N/A | (0.1) |
| 2010 | 209,853,976,263 | 1,919,117,708,514 | 35,542,039,480 | N/A | 2,164,513,724,257 | 2010-2011 | (3.2) | (2.0) | (4.8) | N/A | (2.2) |
| 2011 | 203,072,396,562 | 1,880,455,711,002 | 33,837,366,975 | N/A | 2,117,365,474,539 | 2011-2012 | (2.0) | (1.9) | (6.7) | N/A | (1.9) |
| 2012 | 199,066,408,510 | 1,845,564,127,783 | 31,569,203,791 | N/A | 2,076,199,740,084 | 2012-2013 | (0.9) | (2.6) | (10.0) | N/A | (2.6) |
| 2013 | 197,362,838,239 | 1,796,991,392,079 | 28,398,015,505 | N/A | 2,022,752,245,823 | 2013-2014 | (4.4) | 1.7 | (3.3) | N/A | 1.1 |
| 2014 | 188,611,021,575 | 1,828,348,237,557 | 27,473,061,310 | N/A | 2,044,432,320,442 | 2014-2015 | (10.0) | 2.1 | (5.8) | N/A | 0.8 |
| 2015 | 169,751,066,958 | 1,865,987,031,041 | 25,888,470,026 | N/A | 2,061,626,568,025 | Avg. 95-15 | 5.0 | 5.6 | (0.2) | N/A | 5.4 |
|  |  |  | Unit Counts |  |  |  |  |  | Unit Counts |  |  |
|  | Commercial | Residential* | Mobile Home | Stand Alone I.M.** | Total |  | Commercial | Residential* | Mobile Home | Stand Alone I.M. ${ }^{* *}$ | Total |
| 1994 | 667,009 | 4,523,478 | 630,092 | N/A | 5,820,579 | 1994-1995 | NA | 3.1 | (0.1) | N/A | N/A |
| 1995 | 217,433 | 4,662,527 | 629,593 | N/A | 5,509,553 | 1995-1996 | 7.1 | (1.6) | (6.1) | N/A | (1.8) |
| 1996 | 232,810 | 4,589,144 | 590,981 | N/A | 5,412,935 | 1996-1997 | (14.4) | 2.9 | 1.7 | N/A | 2.0 |
| 1997 | 199,267 | 4,722,716 | 601,167 | N/A | 5,523,150 | 1997-1998 | (13.8) | (0.6) | (0.5) | N/A | (1.0) |
| 1998 | 171,866 | 4,695,966 | 598,446 | N/A | 5,466,278 | 1998-1999 | (23.1) | (1.4) | 1.5 | N/A | (1.8) |
| 1999 | 132,195 | 4,627,958 | 607,162 | N/A | 5,367,315 | 1999-2000 | (8.9) | 4.2 | (0.2) | N/A | 3.4 |
| 2000 | 120,422 | 4,820,714 | 606,046 | N/A | 5,547,182 | 2000-2001 | 39.5 | 1.2 | (2.1) | N/A | 1.6 |
| 2001 | 167,961 | 4,877,216 | 593,148 | N/A | 5,638,325 | 2001-2002 | 13.2 | 0.3 | (0.3) | N/A | 3.7 |
| 2002 | 190,197 | 4,889,766 | 591,094 | 174,492 | 5,845,549 | 2002-2003 | (5.4) | (0.1) | (2.3) | 99.5 | 2.5 |
| 2003 | 179,954 | 4,885,715 | 577,547 | 348,037 | 5,991,253 | 2003-2004 | (15.1) | 2.3 | (2.5) | (5.9) | 0.8 |
| 2004 | 152,720 | 4,998,614 | 562,979 | 327,482 | 6,041,795 | 2004-2005 | (4.6) | 4.6 | (3.3) | 2.9 | 3.6 |
| 2005 | 145,657 | 5,229,215 | 544,433 | 336,976 | 6,256,281 | 2005-2006 | (2.7) | 9.8 | (4.1) | N/A | 2.4 |
| 2006 | 141,782 | 5,742,372 | 522,009 | N/A | 6,406,163 | 2006-2007 | 36.7 | 0.5 | (6.0) | N/A | 0.7 |
| 2007 | 193,852 | 5,768,968 | 490,926 | N/A | 6,453,746 | 2007-2008 | (3.6) | (0.6) | (1.9) | N/A | (0.8) |
| 2008 | 186,851 | 5,736,170 | 481,647 | N/A | 6,404,668 | 2008-2009 | 4.8 | 0.4 | (2.7) | N/A | 0.3 |
| 2009 | 195,884 | 5,757,481 | 468,744 | N/A | 6,422,109 | 2009-2010 | (0.8) | 0.2 | (3.4) | N/A | (0.1) |
| 2010 | 194,310 | 5,767,950 | 452,889 | N/A | 6,415,149 | 2010-2011 | (0.6) | 0.3 | (4.1) | N/A | (0.0) |
| 2011 | 193,114 | 5,784,513 | 434,355 | N/A | 6,411,982 | 2011-2012 | (1.5) | (0.1) | (7.3) | N/A | (0.7) |
| 2012 | 190,179 | 5,776,727 | 402,738 | N/A | 6,369,644 | 2012-2013 | (1.4) | 0.2 | (7.3) | N/A | (0.3) |
| 2013 | 187,504 | 5,788,988 | 373,278 | N/A | 6,349,770 | 2013-2014 | (5.2) | 1.8 | 0.2 | N/A | 1.5 |
| 2014 | 177,824 | 5,893,330 | 374,055 | N/A | 6,445,209 | 2014-2015 | (6.8) | 1.7 | (8.0) | N/A | 0.9 |
| 2015 | 165,655 | 5,995,991 | 344,255 | N/A | 6,505,901 | Avg. 95-15 | (0.3) | 1.3 | (2.9) | N/A | 0.8 |
|  |  |  | Averages (\$) |  |  |  |  |  | Averages |  |  |
|  | Commercial | Residentia\|* | Mobile Home | Stand Alone I.M.** | Total |  | Commercial | Residential* | Mobile Home | Stand Alone I.M.** | Total |
| 1994 | 376,004 | 126,804 | 43,975 | N/A | 146,395 | 1994-1995 | NA | 9.5 | (0.8) | N/A | N/A |
| 1995 | 332,329 | 138,897 | 43,633 | N/A | 135,645 | 1995-1996 | (6.9) | 2.9 | 3.3 | N/A | 2.8 |
| 1996 | 309,460 | 142,891 | 45,080 | N/A | 139,376 | 1996-1997 | 8.8 | (1.4) | 1.9 | N/A | (1.2) |
| 1997 | 336,538 | 140,958 | 45,917 | N/A | 137,670 | 1997-1998 | 7.9 | 2.7 | 3.7 | N/A | 2.4 |
| 1998 | 363,110 | 144,716 | 47,624 | N/A | 140,953 | 1998-1999 | 29.8 | 5.6 | 1.4 | N/A | 5.6 |
| 1999 | 471,352 | 152,804 | 48,292 | N/A | 148,827 | 1999-2000 | 41.5 | 4.7 | 1.8 | N/A | 6.7 |
| 2000 | 667,049 | 159,966 | 49,179 | N/A | 158,871 | 2000-2001 | (14.4) | 2.0 | 4.0 | N/A | 2.9 |
| 2001 | 570,988 | 163,173 | 51,145 | N/A | 163,536 | 2001-2002 | 4.1 | 18.8 | 13.0 | N/A | 15.1 |
| 2002 | 594,411 | 193,924 | 57,788 | 26,646 | 188,195 | 2002-2003 | 14.7 | 8.4 | 2.2 | (10.4) | 5.8 |
| 2003 | 681,905 | 210,287 | 59,059 | 23,870 | 199,045 | 2003-2004 | 15.8 | 10.0 | 5.3 | 16.3 | 9.8 |
| 2004 | 789,470 | 231,258 | 62,195 | 27,758 | 218,584 | 2004-2005 | 9.2 | 12.0 | 7.2 | 13.3 | 11.7 |
| 2005 | 861,742 | 259,017 | 66,692 | 31,463 | 244,056 | 2005-2006 | 11.6 | 8.7 | 9.4 | N/A | 14.6 |
| 2006 | 961,621 | 281,637 | 72,928 | N/A | 279,680 | 2006-2007 | 0.2 | 10.7 | 4.7 | N/A | 12.1 |
| 2007 | 963,765 | 311,743 | 76,386 | N/A | 313,424 | 2007-2008 | 9.9 | 5.1 | 1.6 | N/A | 5.4 |
| 2008 | 1,059,134 | 327,787 | 77,584 | N/A | 330,308 | 2008-2009 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.1 | N/A | 2.1 |
| 2009 | 1,084,625 | 332,961 | 78,427 | N/A | 337,310 | 2009-2010 | (0.4) | (0.1) | 0.1 | N/A | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 1,079,996 | 332,721 | 78,478 | N/A | 337,407 | 2010-2011 | (2.6) | (2.3) | (0.7) | N/A | (2.1) |
| 2011 | 1,051,567 | 325,085 | 77,903 | N/A | 330,220 | 2011-2012 | (0.5) | (1.7) | 0.6 | N/A | (1.3) |
| 2012 | 1,046,732 | 319,483 | 78,386 | N/A | 325,952 | 2012-2013 | 0.6 | (2.8) | (2.9) | N/A | (2.3) |
| 2013 | 1,052,579 | 310,415 | 76,077 | N/A | 318,555 | 2013-2014 | 0.8 | (0.1) | (3.5) | N/A | (0.4) |
| 2014 | 1,060,661 | 310,240 | 73,447 | N/A | 317,202 | 2014-2015 | (3.4) | 0.3 | 2.4 | N/A | (0.1) |
| 2015 | 1,024,726 | 311,206 | 75,201 | N/A | 316,886 | Avg. 95-15 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 2.8 | N/A | 4.5 |

* Includes Residential, Tenants, and Condominium Unit Owner policies
**2002 was the first year Stand Alone Inland Marine data was reported. Stand Alone Inland Marine was defined as inland marine policies not associated with the policy that covers the main building/structure.
in 2003, it was referred to as "Stand Alone/Contents Type Policies" and also included scheduled personal property written under attachments, endorsements, and riders
In 2004, it was referred to as "Other Contents Policies or Endorsements."
In 2006, it was removed


## 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report

## 2015 Reported Exposures as of 2/1/16

Commercial Totals By Mitigation Features

| Mitigation Feature | Units | Percent of Units | Primary <br> Exposure | Average Exposure | Percent of Exposure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR BUILT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown | 1,667 | 1.01\% | \$489,139,040 | \$293,425 | 0.29\% |
| 1994 or Earlier | 127,044 | 76.69\% | \$114,118,456,070 | \$898,259 | 67.23\% |
| 1995-2001 | 12,941 | 7.81\% | \$15,773,140,658 | \$1,218,850 | 9.29\% |
| 2002 or Later | 24,003 | 14.49\% | \$39,370,331,190 | \$1,640,225 | 23.19\% |
| TOTAL | 165,655 | 100.00\% | \$169,751,066,958 | \$1,024,726 | 100.00\% |
| STRUCTURE OPENING PROTECTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Credit is Given to Policyholder | 151,602 | 91.52\% | \$132,857,798,240 | \$876,359 | 78.27\% |
| Credit is Given to Policyholder | 14,053 | 8.48\% | \$36,893,268,718 | \$2,625,295 | 21.73\% |
| TOTAL | 165,655 | 100.00\% | \$169,751,066,958 | \$1,024,726 | 100.00\% |
| ROOF SHAPE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hip, Mansard, or Pyramid | 38,235 | 23.08\% | \$29,189,899,572 | \$763,434 | 17.20\% |
| Gable, Other, or Unknown | 127,420 | 76.92\% | \$140,561,167,386 | \$1,103,133 | 82.80\% |
| TOTAL | 165,655 | 100.00\% | \$169,751,066,958 | \$1,024,726 | 100.00\% |

## 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2015 Reported Exposures as of 2/1/16

Residential Totals By Mitigation Features

| Mitigation Feature | Units | Percent of <br> Units | Primary <br> Exposure | Average <br> Exposure | Percent of <br> Exposure |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| YEAR BUILT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown | 28,796 | $0.67 \%$ | $\$ 6,393,094,558$ | $\$ 222,013$ | $0.36 \%$ |
| 1994 or Earlier | $2,577,617$ | $59.65 \%$ | $\$ 887,686,815,803$ | $\$ 344,383$ | $50.57 \%$ |
| $1995-2001$ | 626,022 | $14.49 \%$ | $\$ 305,259,946,530$ | $\$ 487,619$ | $17.39 \%$ |
| 2002 or Later | $1,089,049$ | $25.20 \%$ | $\$ 556,058,822,549$ | $\$ 510,591$ | $31.68 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $4,321,484$ | $100.00 \%$ | $\$ 1,755,398,679,440$ | $\$ 406,203$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STRUCTURE OPENING PROTECTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Credit is Given to Policyholder | $3,707,434$ | $85.79 \%$ | $\$ 1,400,249,494,378$ | $\$ 377,687$ | $79.77 \%$ |
| Credit is Given to Policyholder | 614,050 | $14.21 \%$ | $\$ 355,149,185,062$ | $\$ 578,372$ | $20.23 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $4,321,484$ | $100.00 \%$ | $\$ 1,755,398,679,440$ | $\$ 406,203$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROOF SHAPE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hip, Mansard, or Pyramid | $1,253,417$ | $29.00 \%$ | $\$ 658,275,196,801$ | $\$ 525,185$ | $37.50 \%$ |
| Gable, Other, or Unknown | $3,068,067$ | $71.00 \%$ | $\$ 1,097,123,482,639$ | $\$ 357,594$ | $62.50 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $4,321,484$ | $100.00 \%$ | $\$ 1,755,398,679,440$ | $\$ 406,203$ | $100.00 \%$ |

## 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report

 2015 Reported Exposures as of 2/1/16Mobile Home Totals By Mitigation Features

| Mitigation Feature | Units | Percent of Units | Primary Exposure | Average Exposure | Percent of Exposure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR BUILT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown or Mobile Home | 344,255 | 100.00\% | \$25,888,470,026 | \$75,201 | 100.00\% |
| 1994 or Earlier | 0 | 0.00\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| 1995-2001 | 0 | 0.00\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| 2002 or Later | 0 | 0.00\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| TOTAL | 344,255 | 100.00\% | \$25,888,470,026 | \$75,201 | 100.00\% |
| STRUCTURE OPENING PROTECTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Credit is Given to Policyholder | 344,254 | 100.00\% | \$25,888,298,776 | \$75,201 | 100.00\% |
| Credit is Given to Policyholder | 1 | 0.00\% | \$171,250 | \$171,250 | 0.00\% |
| TOTAL | 344,255 | 100.00\% | \$25,888,470,026 | \$75,201 | 100.00\% |
| ROOF SHAPE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hip, Mansard, or Pyramid | 42 | 0.01\% | \$398,000 | \$9,476 | 0.00\% |
| Gable, Other, or Unknown | 344,213 | 99.99\% | \$25,888,072,026 | \$75,209 | 100.00\% |
| TOTAL | 344,255 | 100.00\% | \$25,888,470,026 | \$75,201 | 100.00\% |

## 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2015 Reported Exposures as of 2/1/16

Tenants Totals By Mitigation Features

| Mitigation Feature | Units | Percent of <br> Units | Primary <br> Exposure | Average <br> Exposure | Percent of <br> Exposure |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| YEAR BUILT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown | 508,360 | $58.53 \%$ | $\$ 9,215,672,767$ | $\$ 18,128$ | $40.94 \%$ |
| 1994 or Earlier | 172,769 | $19.89 \%$ | $\$ 5,939,564,746$ | $\$ 34,379$ | $26.39 \%$ |
| $1995-2001$ | 61,518 | $7.08 \%$ | $\$ 2,341,647,401$ | $\$ 38,064$ | $10.40 \%$ |
| 2002 or Later | 125,939 | $14.50 \%$ | $\$ 5,011,322,623$ | $\$ 39,792$ | $22.26 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 868,586 | $100.00 \%$ | $\$ 22,508,207,537$ | $\$ 25,914$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STRUCTURE OPENING PROTECTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Credit is Given to Policyholder | 863,552 | $99.42 \%$ | $\$ 21,937,692,564$ | $\$ 25,404$ | $97.47 \%$ |
| Credit is Given to Policyholder | 5,034 | $0.58 \%$ | $\$ 570,514,973$ | $\$ 113,332$ | $2.53 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 868,586 | $100.00 \%$ | $\$ 22,508,207,537$ | $\$ 25,914$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROOF SHAPE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hip, Mansard, or Pyramid | 19,123 | $2,20 \%$ | $\$ 1,042,259,582$ | $\$ 54,503$ | $4.63 \%$ |
| Gable, Other, or Unknown | 849,463 | $97.80 \%$ | $\$ 21,465,947,955$ | $\$ 25,270$ | $95.37 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 868,586 | $100.00 \%$ | $\$ 22,508,207,537$ | $\$ 25,914$ | $100.00 \%$ |

## 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report

## 2015 Reported Exposures as of 2/1/16

## Condominium Unit Owners Totals By Mitigation Features

| Mitigation Feature | Units | Percent of Units | Primary Exposure | Average Exposure | Percent of Exposure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR BUILT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown | 5,920 | 0.73\% | \$577,378,489 | \$97,530 | 0.66\% |
| 1994 or Earlier | 551,446 | 68.13\% | \$49,291,338,107 | \$89,386 | 55.94\% |
| 1995-2001 | 86,452 | 10.68\% | \$12,738,410,968 | \$147,347 | 14.46\% |
| 2002 or Later | 165,610 | 20.46\% | \$25,511,446,270 | \$154,045 | 28.95\% |
| TOTAL | 809,428 | 100.00\% | \$88,118,573,834 | \$108,865 | 100.00\% |
| STRUCTURE OPENING PROTECTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Credit is Given to Policyholder | 698,020 | 86.24\% | \$64,727,414,795 | \$92,730 | 73.45\% |
| Credit is Given to Policyholder | 111,408 | 13.76\% | \$23,391,159,039 | \$209,959 | 26.55\% |
| TOTAL | 809,428 | 100.00\% | \$88,118,573,834 | \$108,865 | 100.00\% |
| ROOF SHAPE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hip, Mansard, or Pyramid | 102,655 | 12.68\% | \$12,980,224,192 | \$126,445 | 14.73\% |
| Gable, Other, or Unknown | 706,773 | 87.32\% | \$75,138,349,642 | \$106,312 | 85.27\% |
| TOTAL | 809,428 | 100.00\% | \$88,118,573,834 | \$108,865 | 100.00\% |

## EXHIBIT

IV

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Calculation of Layer of Coverage Using 6/30/2015 FHCF Premium and Exposure Data as of 10/08/2015

| Type of Business | Coverage Option | Total Insured Risks | Total Exposure | Gross FHCF Premium | Net FHCF Premium | Net FHCF Prem at $100 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 45\% | 71,666 | 56,897,946,065 | 27,486,490 | 29,605,547 | 65,790,105 |
| 1 | 75\% | 14,211 | 29,516,634,941 | 22,851,917 | 19,739,376 | 26,319,167 |
| 1 | 90\% | 79,778 | 83,336,485,952 | 81,997,080 | 79,424,802 | 88,249,780 |
| 2 | 45\% | 285,022 | 183,541,461,363 | 79,220,107 | 62,143,563 | 138,096,806 |
| 2 | 75\% | 614,215 | 272,407,183,097 | 118,247,592 | 119,507,488 | 159,343,318 |
| 2 | 90\% | 3,422,247 | 1,299,450,034,980 | 782,343,605 | 792,677,380 | 880,752,644 |
| 3 | 45\% | 282 | 18,978,504 | 8,995 | 8,995 | 19,989 |
| 3 | 75\% | 8,342 | 358,751,218 | 622,262 | 622,262 | 829,683 |
| 3 | 90\% | 335,631 | 25,510,740,304 | 34,022,311 | 34,022,311 | 37,802,567 |
| 4 | 45\% | 137,815 | 2,149,107,067 | 687,383 | 733,517 | 1,630,037 |
| 4 | 75\% | 132,930 | 4,540,216,522 | 1,322,284 | 1,408,192 | 1,877,589 |
| 4 | 90\% | 594,334 | 15,780,454,178 | 6,873,229 | 7,117,880 | 7,908,755 |
| 6 | 45\% | 37,994 | 9,907,625,763 | 4,972,434 | 3,578,798 | 7,952,884 |
| 6 | 75\% | 96,574 | 8,764,291,087 | 5,689,415 | 6,472,613 | 8,630,151 |
| 6 | 90\% | 674,860 | 69,446,656,984 | 56,733,006 | 57,594,946 | 63,994,384 |
| Section II |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 45\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 75\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 90\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Section I Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | xx | 165,655 | 169,751,066,958 | 132,335,487 | 128,769,725 | 180,359,053 |
| 2 | xx | 4,321,484 | 1,755,398,679,440 | 979,811,305 | 974,328,431 | 1,178,192,769 |
| 3 | xx | 344,255 | 25,888,470,026 | 34,653,568 | 34,653,568 | 38,652,240 |
| 4 | xx | 865,079 | 22,469,777,767 | 8,882,896 | 9,259,588 | 11,416,382 |
| 6 | xx | 809,428 | 88,118,573,834 | 67,394,855 | 67,646,357 | 80,577,419 |
| xx | 45\% | 532,779 | 252,515,118,762 | 112,375,410 | 96,070,420 | 213,489,822 |
| xx | 75\% | 866,272 | 315,587,076,865 | 148,733,470 | 147,749,932 | 196,999,909 |
| xx | 90\% | 5,106,850 | 1,493,524,372,398 | 961,969,232 | 970,837,318 | 1,078,708,131 |
| Section I To |  | 6,505,901 | 2,061,626,568,025 | 1,223,078,111 | 1,214,657,670 | 1,489,197,862 |
| Section II T | otal* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total |  | 6,505,901 | 2,061,626,568,025 | 1,223,078,111 | 1,214,657,670 | 1,489,197,862 |
| *We had a very small amount of Section II exposure in 2002. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted Average Coverage Multiples - Section I Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Risks | Exposure |  |  | Premium |
| 1 | Commercial | 0.69245 | 0.72308 |  |  | 0.71396 |
| 2 | Residential | 0.84900 | 0.82967 |  | To be used for | 0.82697 |
| 3 | Mobile Home | 0.89600 | 0.89759 |  | Section I | 0.89655 |
| 4 | Tenants | 0.80526 | 0.82665 |  | ratemaking: | 0.81108 |
| 6 | Condos | 0.86098 | 0.83449 |  |  | 0.83952 |
|  | Total | 0.84318 | 0.82192 |  |  | 0.81565 |
| Weighted Average Coverage Multiple - Sections I and II |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 0.84318 | 0.82192 |  |  | 0.81565 |

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Calculation of Layer of Coverage Using 6/30/2015 FHCF Premium and Exposure Data as of 10/08/2015

## 1. Calculate Section I and II Retention

## Historical Exposur

|  |  | Estimate of Missing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Data as 10/08/2015 | Data | Total |
| 2004 | Total | 1,320,642,494,807 | - | 1,320,642,494,807 |
| 2014 | Total | 2,044,432,320,442 | - | 2,044,432,320,442 |


| Growth in exposure, 2004 to 2014 | $54.806 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Base FHCF Retention | $4,500,000,000$ |  |  |
| 2015 Retention (Actual, based on premiums paid) | $6,616,089,414$ |  |  |
| 2016 Target Retention | $6,966,264,889$ | Change 2015 to 2016 |  |
| 2016 Selected Retention | $6,966,000,000$ |  | $5.29 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |

## 2. Allocate Retention to Sections I and II

2015 Net Full Coverage FHCF Premium (ie at 100\%)

| Section I | $1,489,197,862$ | $100.000 \%$ | [2a] |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- |
| Section II | - | $0.000 \%$ | $[2 \mathrm{~b}]$ |
| Total | $1,489,197,862$ | $100.000 \%$ | $[2 \mathrm{c}]=[2 \mathrm{a}]+[2 \mathrm{~b}]$ |

Note: Allocate Retention based on full coverage premium, which is the best indicator of expected ground-up losses
2016 Selected Retention (using full coverage FHCF premium for weighting)

| Section I | $6,966,000,000$ | $100.000 \%$ | $[2 d]$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Section II | - | $0.000 \%$ | $[2 e]$ |
| Total | $6,966,000,000$ | $100.000 \%$ | $[2 f]=[2 d]+[2 e]$ |

## 3. Calculate FHCF Limit

Estimated Claims Paying Capacity Average
\$23,300,000,000
Source: Raymond James: FHCF Estimated Claims Paying Capacity, Oct. 15, 2015 Page 13
Dollar growth in cash balance over prior calendar year
Cash Balance @12/31/2014
Est Cash Balance @ 12/31/2015
Change in Cash Balance

| \$ | $10,963,066,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| \$ | $12,728,397,784$ |
|  |  |
| \$ | $1,765,331,784$ |

[3b]
\$
1,765,331,784
[3d]=[3c]-[3b]
2015 Statutory Maximum Coverage Limit

| 2016 Statutory Coverage Limit Prior to Change in Cash Balance Limit | $17,000,000,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2016 Statutory Coverage Limit | $17,000,000,000$ |

[3e]=[3g prior year]
2016 Statutory Coverage Limit Prior to Change in Cash Balance Limit $\quad 17,000,000,000$
$[3 f]=17$ Billion $+.5^{*}(\max \times 3 a-\$ 34$ billior
0.00\%

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Calculation of Layer of Coverage Using 6/30/2015 FHCF Premium and Exposure Data as of 10/08/2015




## EXHIBIT

V

# Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report Modeled Adjusted Loss Severity Distributions 

## Summary

|  |  | Return Time (Years) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size of Event(s) | Probability | (Years) | 5 Year Prob | 10 Year Prob |

Single Event
Attach industry retention
Exhaust FHCF Projected Cash Balance
Exhaust Estimated Claims Paying Capacity
Exhaust FHCF limit
$10.75 \%$

$2.44 \%$
$1.90 \%$
$1.90 \%$
9.3
43.38\%
67.94\%
\$13,799,794,066
\$17,000,000,000
1.90\%
1.90\%
$\square$
. 14
21
\$17,000,000,000
52.7
9.14\%
17.44\%
17.44\%

12.05\%
22.64\%
17.95\%
17.95\%

Expected Annual Losses

| Adjusted Gross losses at 100\% coverage | $\$ 3,105,554,537$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Loss to Mandatory FHCF layer, at actual coverage |  |
| Loss only | $\$ 759,842,403$ |
| Loss + LAE | $\$ 797,834,523$ |

Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund
2016 Ratemaking Formula Report
Modeled Adjusted Loss Severity Distributions

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Return } \\ & \text { Time } \end{aligned}$ | Probability of Exceedance | Traditional FHCF Only Layer |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Uniform Weighted Section I Gross Per Event (100\% Coverage, no LAE) | FHCF Layer Weighted Section I Gross Per Event (100\% Coverage, no LAE) | Section I Excess Retention Aggregate (100\% Coverage, no <br> LAE) | Single Event Actual Liabilities | Aggregate Actual Liabilities |
| 1000 | 0.0010 | \$108,536,412,812 | \$113,298,070,872 | \$108,983,634,489 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 900 | 0.0011 | \$105,555,714,664 | \$109,008,948,709 | \$104,697,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 800 | 0.0013 | \$101,239,785,030 | \$105,016,000,000 | \$99,960,376,123 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 700 | 0.0014 | \$96,674,225,870 | \$100,346,086,738 | \$95,275,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 600 | 0.0017 | \$91,852,496,016 | \$94,689,151,592 | \$89,113,775,341 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 500 | 0.0020 | \$86,437,742,039 | \$88,507,275,217 | \$82,893,963,408 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 400 | 0.0025 | \$79,287,450,354 | \$79,287,450,354 | \$74,389,418,135 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 300 | 0.0033 | \$70,933,478,915 | \$69,688,744,751 | \$64,127,464,798 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 250 | 0.0040 | \$66,212,354,955 | \$64,195,514,384 | \$58,705,297,243 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 200 | 0.0050 | \$60,481,508,009 | \$57,729,546,521 | \$52,130,661,616 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 150 | 0.0067 | \$54,542,952,437 | \$51,068,013,849 | \$45,356,090,050 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 100 | 0.0100 | \$44,812,748,293 | \$41,308,231,522 | \$35,487,479,621 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 90 | 0.0111 | \$42,651,896,559 | \$38,754,549,816 | \$32,844,180,739 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 80 | 0.0125 | \$39,651,244,494 | \$36,372,487,901 | \$30,452,321,594 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 70 | 0.0143 | \$36,976,431,111 | \$33,647,347,290 | \$27,568,770,708 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 65 | 0.0154 | \$35,274,724,852 | \$32,011,559,091 | \$25,998,973,595 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 60 | 0.0167 | \$33,667,165,791 | \$30,332,218,515 | \$24,234,456,258 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 55 | 0.0182 | \$31,609,078,627 | \$28,829,663,725 | \$22,541,759,853 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 |
| 50 | 0.0200 | \$29,952,005,501 | \$27,364,777,861 | \$20,830,161,905 | \$16,344,352,535 | \$16,689,995,443 |
| 45 | 0.0222 | \$27,955,053,526 | \$25,650,424,727 | \$19,271,481,629 | \$14,970,741,225 | \$15,441,115,726 |
| 40 | 0.0250 | \$25,843,953,401 | \$23,816,429,106 | \$17,442,739,286 | \$13,501,267,358 | \$13,975,851,005 |
| 35 | 0.0286 | \$23,612,438,069 | \$21,746,069,615 | \$15,175,472,068 | \$11,842,408,890 | \$12,159,221,845 |
| 30 | 0.0333 | \$21,177,842,497 | \$19,688,075,936 | \$13,119,342,093 | \$10,193,458,426 | \$10,511,764,659 |
| 25 | 0.0400 | \$18,385,936,876 | \$17,269,984,379 | \$10,567,498,480 | \$8,255,982,508 | \$8,467,121,009 |
| 20 | 0.0500 | \$15,390,819,080 | \$14,711,070,307 | \$7,939,825,822 | \$6,205,673,711 | \$6,361,719,961 |
| 19 | 0.0526 | \$14,703,396,414 | \$14,200,813,690 | \$7,405,295,893 | \$5,796,834,805 | \$5,933,432,264 |
| 18 | 0.0556 | \$14,005,499,951 | \$13,488,160,027 | \$6,672,312,433 | \$5,225,826,934 | \$5,346,135,311 |
| 17 | 0.0588 | \$13,265,472,254 | \$12,809,407,139 | \$5,936,939,367 | \$4,681,981,780 | \$4,756,923,707 |
| 16 | 0.0625 | \$12,506,635,299 | \$12,189,702,026 | \$5,322,192,190 | \$4,185,448,169 | \$4,264,362,601 |
| 15 | 0.0667 | \$11,782,558,813 | \$11,546,935,619 | \$4,667,490,814 | \$3,670,436,886 | \$3,739,788,522 |
| 14 | 0.0714 | \$10,950,678,059 | \$10,636,159,832 | \$3,732,493,116 | \$2,940,685,298 | \$2,990,629,328 |
| 13 | 0.0769 | \$10,045,910,576 | \$9,799,910,821 | \$2,874,322,517 | \$2,270,647,674 | \$2,303,027,213 |
| 12 | 0.0833 | \$9,166,471,083 | \$9,040,667,768 | \$2,115,574,339 | \$1,662,310,440 | \$1,695,086,492 |
| 11 | 0.0909 | \$8,323,884,243 | \$8,321,639,836 | \$1,385,289,448 | \$1,086,195,239 | \$1,109,951,746 |
| 10 | 0.1000 | \$7,487,096,604 | \$7,659,397,382 | \$708,150,810 | \$555,578,934 | \$567,399,996 |
| 9 | 0.1111 | \$6,480,180,796 | \$6,634,652,801 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 8 | 0.1250 | \$5,543,238,371 | \$5,881,333,364 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 7 | 0.1429 | \$4,559,877,923 | \$5,104,010,702 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 6 | 0.1667 | \$3,412,962,698 | \$3,934,170,830 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 5 | 0.2000 | \$2,300,848,780 | \$2,680,774,336 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4 | 0.2500 | \$1,304,303,116 | \$1,586,638,815 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 3 | 0.3333 | \$478,357,190 | \$611,210,145 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Notes:
Aggregate FHCF Liabilities include Sections I, II and LAE, and are at weighted average coverage.
2016 severity distributions based on AIR, EQE, RMS, ARA and FPM models.

2016 Actual FHCF Liabilities


2016 Actual FHCF Liabilities


## EXHIBIT

VI

Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund
2016 Ratemaking Formula Report
Allocation of Excess Losses to Type of Business at Coverage Level


## EXHIBIT

 VII
# Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report Law and Ordinance Adjustment Factors 

FHCF Premium as a Percentage of Base Premium

| Distribution of Premium | Expenses | Liability | Non-hurr. Property | Hurricane Outside <br> FHCF Layer | Hurricane |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Within FHCF |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Layer [*] | Total |
| Commercial Habitational | 30\% | 10\% | 10\% | 33\% | 17\% | 100\% |
| Residential | 30\% | 10\% | 10\% | 33\% | 17\% | 100\% |
| Mobile Home | 30\% | 10\% | 10\% | 33\% | 17\% | 100\% |
| Tenants | 30\% | 10\% | 10\% | 33\% | 17\% | 100\% |
| Condo-Owners | 30\% | 10\% | 10\% | 33\% | 17\% | 100\% |

\% of Law and Ordinance Premium Applicable to FHCF Layer

Commercial Habitational
Residential
Mobile Home
Tenants
Condo-Owners

| Expenses | Liability |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| Non-hurr. | Hurricane Outside <br> Property <br> FHCF Layer | Within FHCF <br> Layer [**] | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $10 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $10 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $10 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $10 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Selections for 2016 Ratemaking

Type of Business

Commercial Habitational
Residential
Mobile Hom
enants
Condo-Owners

| \% of Base | \% of Law and <br> Ordinance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Premium for Law <br> and Ordinance | Premium <br> Coverage | Lplicable to FHCF |
| [1] | Layer | of |
| Insurer Survey | $=[2]$ |  |
|  | $[* *]$ |  |
| $6.50 \%$ | $30.00 \%$ |  |
| $3.00 \%$ | $30.00 \%$ |  |
| $0.00 \%$ | $30.00 \%$ |  |
| $0.00 \%$ | $30.00 \%$ |  |
| $0.00 \%$ | $30.00 \%$ |  |

Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund
2016 Ratemaking Formula Report Wind Deductible Adjustment Factor

Calculation of Loading Factor to Adjust Modeled Losses for the Impact of Aggregate Wind Deductibles

| (1) |  | (2) | (3) (4) |  | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Business | Per Event Deductibles | Annual Wind Deductible + AOP Deductible | Ratio | Implied Load | Take-up Rate | 2016 Adjusted Load | 2015 Adjusted Load | 2015/2016 <br> Weighted Load | 2016 Selected Load |
| Commercial Residential | 424,222,811 | 426,285,289 | 1.00486 | 0.486\% | 50\% | 0.243\% | 0.244\% | 0.244\% | 0.240\% |
| Residential | 2,595,461,147 | 2,607,919,373 | 1.00480 | 0.480\% | 100\% | 0.480\% | 0.485\% | 0.482\% | 0.480\% |
| Mobile Home | 156,529,339 | 157,203,529 | 1.00431 | 0.431\% | 100\% | 0.431\% | 0.387\% | 0.416\% | 0.420\% |
| Tenants | 28,304,309 | 28,323,543 | 1.00068 | 0.068\% | 100\% | 0.068\% | 0.051\% | 0.062\% | 0.060\% |
| Condo | 201,551,439 | 201,879,783 | 1.00163 | 0.163\% | 100\% | 0.163\% | 0.167\% | 0.164\% | 0.160\% |
| Total | 3,406,069,043 | 3,421,611,518 | 1.00456 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{+}$Commercial Residential Business has the option to keep their per event wind deductibles rather than pay additional premium.

## Notes:

AIR Deliverable 2 is per event, AIR Deliverable 5 is aggregate
(1) Based on AIR study (Deliverable 2) A1completed in 2016
(2) Based on AIR study (Deliverable 5) completed in 2016
(3) $=(2) /(1)$
$(4)=(3)-1$
(5) Judgementally Selected
(6) $=(4)$ * $(5)$
(7) Indication in 2015
(8) $=(6) * 2 / 3+(7) * 1 / 3$

## EXHIBIT

## VIII

| Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report <br> RMS 2016 Retention Limit Study: Adjustment to Expected FHCF Layer Losses AIR 2016 Retention Limit Study: Adjustment to Expected FHCF Layer Losses Coverage Selections as of 3/01/2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 (WTD) | RMS Adjusted Aggregate Run | AIR Adjusted Aggregate Run | Average RMS AIR Adjusted Aggregate Runs | RMS/WTD | AIR/WTD | AVE/WTD |  |
| 1 Wtd Ave. Expected Gross Loss Adjusted | 3,105,554,537 | 3,084,228,538 | 3,105,549,783 | 3,094,889,160 | 99.313\% | 100.000\% | 99.657\% | 2014 Ex. 2 (7) |
| 2 Expected FHCF Wtd Ave.Aggregate Layer Loss and LAE at Coverage Level | 797,834,523 | 763,862,028 | 772,097,001 | 767,979,515 | 95.742\% | 96.774\% | 96.258\% | 2014 Ex. 2 (10) |
| 2a Expected FHCF RMS Aggregate Layer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loss and LAE at Coverage Level Using |  | 771,239,432 | 765,177,722 | 768,208,577 |  |  |  |  |
| Company Limits, Retentions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (2a)/(2) |
| 3 Model Adjustment Factor |  | 1.009658033 | 0.991038329 | 1.000298267 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Factors |  |  |  |  |  | Weights |
| 4 Indicated Adjustment Factor |  | 0.966\% | -0.896\% | 0.030\% | (3)-1.00 |  |  | 67\% |
| 5 Prior Selected Factor (2013) |  | -0.0371\% | -0.0371\% | -0.0371\% |  |  |  | 33\% |
| 6 Current Year Selected Factor Weighted (2/3 Indicated, 1/3 Prior) |  | 0.6315\% | -0.6098\% | 0.0075\% |  |  |  | Ex. 2 (15) |


|  | 2000 Study | 2001 Study | 2004 Study | $\begin{gathered} \text { Selected } \\ 2007-2012 \end{gathered}$ | 2013 Study | Selected <br> 2013 | 2014 Study | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Selected } \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ | 2016 Study | Selected 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Retention Adjustment Factor | 11.0561\% | 10.3404\% | 4.8103\% | 8.7356\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | from analysis |
| 2 Implied Limit Factor (additive) | -6.4396\% | -9.1060\% | -8.5325\% | -8.0260\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | (3) - (1) |
| 3 Retention and Limit Combined Factor | 4.6166\% | 1.2344\% | -3.7222\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | from analysis |
| A) Straight Average |  |  |  | 0.7096\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B) Weighting Scheme \#1 | 30\% | 50\% | 20\% | 1.2577\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C) Weighting Scheme \#2 | 40\% | 40\% | 20\% | 1.5959\% | -1.9000\% | -0.7347\% | 0.3103\% | -0.0371\% | 0.0298\% | 0.0075\% |  |
| Final Weighting (Study vs Prior selection) |  |  |  | 33.3\% | 66.7\% | 33.3\% | 66.7\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2001-2003 S | Selection | 2.9255\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2004-2006 S | Selection | 1.5882\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2007-2012 S | Selection | 1.5959\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2013 Selec | ction | -0.7347\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2014-2015 Se | elections | -0.0371\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2016 Sele | ected | 0.0075\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes:

* Version 1 factors were calculated without taking into account the iterative relationship between Citizens' extended coverage premium and their layer of coverage. Version 2 explicitly takes this relationship into account. In the 2007 FHCF law changes, Citizens no longer has extended coverage and thus the 2007 and subsequent selections are based on the Version 1 factors.

| Return Time | Probability of Exceedance | Uniform Weighted Section I Gross Per Event (100\% Coverage, no LAE) | FHCF Layer Gross Per Event ( $100 \%$ Coverage, no LAE) | Aggregatetion Coverage, no LAE) | Traditional FHCF Only Layer |  | FHCF Layer adj Average (AIR,RMS) Section I Gross Annual ( $100 \%$ Coverage, no LAE) | Average(AIR,RMS) Industry Aggregate | Average(AIR,RMS) Company Retention Limit Aggregate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Single Event Actual Liabilities | Aggregate Actual Liabilities |  |  |  |
| 1000 | 0.0010 | \$108,536,412,812 | \$113,298,070,872 | \$108,983,634,489 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | 125,453,194,259 | 17,000,000,000 | 16,995,161,974 |
| 900 | 0.0011 | \$105,555,714,664 | \$109,008,948,709 | \$104,697,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | 118,547,579,544 | 17,000,000,000 | 16,992,375,085 |
| 800 | 0.0013 | \$101,239,785,030 | \$105,016,000,000 | \$99,960,376,123 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | 111,363,718,406 | 17,000,000,000 | 16,990,367,442 |
| 700 | 0.0014 | \$96,674,225,870 | \$100,346,086,738 | \$95,275,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | 107,452,860,443 | 17,000,000,000 | 16,986,985,329 |
| 600 | 0.0017 | \$91,852,496,016 | \$94,689,151,592 | \$89,113,775,341 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | 101,493,624,742 | 17,000,000,000 | 16,981,967,408 |
| 500 | 0.0020 | \$86,437,742,039 | \$88,507,275,217 | \$82,893,963,408 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | 95,792,260,365 | 17,000,000,000 | 16,969,053,902 |
| 400 | 0.0025 | \$79,287,450,354 | \$79,287,450,354 | \$74,389,418,135 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | 86,347,423,798 | 17,000,000,000 | 16,940,257,106 |
| 300 | 0.0033 | \$70,933,478,915 | \$69,688,744,751 | \$64,127,464,798 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | 77,900,650,417 | 17,000,000,000 | 16,900,700,112 |
| 250 | 0.0040 | \$66,212,354,955 | \$64,195,514,384 | \$58,705,297,243 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | 72,277,559,062 | 17,000,000,000 | 16,859,405,699 |
| 200 | 0.0050 | \$60,481,508,009 | \$57,729,546,521 | \$52,130,661,616 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | 66,195,896,991 | 17,000,000,000 | 16,777,824,217 |
| 150 | 0.0067 | \$54,542,952,437 | \$51,068,013,849 | \$45,356,090,050 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | 56,952,658,686 | 17,000,000,000 | 16,458,964,229 |
| 100 | 0.0100 | \$44,812,748,293 | \$41,308,231,522 | \$35,487,479,621 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | 46,205,126,903 | 17,000,000,000 | 15,644,685,128 |
| 90 | 0.0111 | \$42,651,896,559 | \$38,754,549,816 | \$32,844,180,739 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | 43,896,786,120 | 17,000,000,000 | 15,342,731,662 |
| 80 | 0.0125 | \$39,651,244,494 | \$36,372,487,901 | \$30,452,321,594 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | 40,837,587,151 | 17,000,000,000 | 14,888,929,094 |
| 70 | 0.0143 | \$36,976,431,111 | \$33,647,347,290 | \$27,568,770,708 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,00 | 37,374,864,877 | 17,000,000,000 | 14,430,787,142 |
| 65 | 0.0154 | \$35,274,724,852 | \$32,011,559,091 | \$25,998,973,595 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | 35,683,875,826 | 17,000,000,000 | 14,176,705,186 |
| 60 | 0.0167 | \$33,667,165,791 | \$30,332,218,515 | \$24,234,456,258 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | 33,969,172,612 | 17,000,000,000 | 13,752,624,071 |
| 55 | 0.0182 | \$31,609,078,627 | \$28,829,663,725 | \$22,541,759,853 | \$17,000,000,000 | \$17,000,000,000 | 32,282,921,170 | 16,810,373,942 | 13,274,176,037 |
| 50 | 0.0200 | \$29,952,005,501 | \$27,364,777,861 | \$20,830,161,905 | \$16,344,352,535 | \$16,689,995,443 | 30,604,825,729 | 16,295,275,287 | 12,724,716,559 |
| 45 | 0.0222 | \$27,955,053,526 | \$25,650,424,727 | \$19,271,481,629 | \$14,970,741,225 | \$15,441,115,726 | 28,591,004,451 | 15,731,961,239 | 12,155,560,733 |
| 40 | 0.0250 | \$25,843,953,401 | \$23,816,429,106 | \$17,442,739,286 | \$13,501,267,358 | \$13,975,851,005 | 26,279,655,047 | 14,328,618,595 | 11,372,704,751 |
| 35 | 0.0286 | \$23,612,438,069 | \$21,746,069,615 | \$15,175,472,068 | \$11,842,408,890 | \$12,159,221,845 | 23,842,119,945 | 12,332,582,786 | 10,320,548,473 |
| 30 | 0.0333 | \$21,177,842,497 | \$19,688,075,936 | \$13,119,342,093 | \$10,193,458,426 | \$10,511,764,659 | 21,315,144,647 | 10,299,566,256 | 9,077,921,003 |
| 25 | 0.0400 | \$18,385,936,876 | \$17,269,984,379 | \$10,567,498,480 | \$8,255,982,508 | \$8,467,121,009 | 18,673,381,872 | 8,292,383,260 | 7,633,339,334 |
| 20 | 0.0 | \$15,390,819,080 | \$14,711,070,307 | \$7,939,825,822 | \$6,205,673,711 | \$6,361,719,961 | 15,639,053,602 | 5,896,735,081 | 5,759,510,682 |
| 19 | 0.0526 | 4,703,396,41 | ,200,813,600 | \$7,405,295,89 | , 796,834,80 | \$5,933,432,264 | 14,926,907,370 | 5,411,927,168 | 5,417,019,786 |
| 18 | 0.0556 | 4,005,499,951 | \$13,488,160,027 | \$6,672,312,433 | 225,826,93 | \$5,346,135,31 | 14,292,881,562 | 4,839,327,299 | 5,023,069,884 |
| 17 | 0.0588 | 3,265,472,25 | \$12,809,407,13 | \$5,936,939,367 | 1,981,78 | \$4,756,923,70 | 13,596,714,101 | 4,259,911,848 | 4,516,266,405 |
| 16 | 0.0625 | 12,506,635,299 | \$12,189,702,02 | \$5,322,192,19 | 5,448,16 | \$4,264,362,601 | 12,731,251,201 | 3,708,137,337 | 4,014,428,438 |
| 15 | 0.06 | \$11,782,558,813 | \$11,546,935,61 | \$4,667,490,814 | \$3,670,436,886 | \$3,739,788,52 | 11,961,886,052 | 3,170,648,048 | 3,535,795,453 |
| 14 | 0.071 | \$10,950,678,05 | \$10,636,159,832 | \$3,732,493,116 | \$2,940,685, 298 | \$2,990,629,328 | 11,172,866,873 | 2,477,218,432 | 3,159,447,270 |
| 13 | 0.0769 | \$10,045,910,576 | ,799,910,82 | \$2,874,322,517 | ,270,647,674 | \$2,303,027,21 | 10,368,781,116 | 1,800,314,656 | 2,632,401,245 |
| 12 | 0.0833 | \$9,166,471,083 | \$9,040,667,768 | 574 | \$1,662,310,440 | \$1,695,086,492 | 9,435,771,830 | 1,170,674,008 | 2,215,240,804 |
| 11 | 0.0909 | \$8,323,884,243 | \$8,321,639,836 | \$1,385,289,448 | \$1, | \$1,109,951,746 | 8,585,928,552 | 610,514,167 | 1,727,500,886 |
| 10 | 0.1000 | \$7,487,096,604 | \$7,659,397,382 | \$708,150,810 | \$555,578,934 | \$567,399,996 | 7,730,941,360 | 214,524,418 | 1,350,622,941 |
| 9 | 0.1111 | 6,480,180,796 | \$6,634,652,801 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 6,905,048,497 | - | 992,906,557 |
| 8 | 0.1250 | \$5,543,238,371 | \$5,881,333,364 | so | \$0 | \$0 | 5,925,472,146 | - | 628,879,696 |
| 7 | 0.1429 | \$4,559,877,923 | \$5,104,0010,702 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 5,037,700,430 | - | 358,540,226 |
| 6 | 0.1667 | \$3,412,962,698 | \$3,934,170,830 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 4,042,397,139 |  | 128,089,962 |
| 5 | 0.2000 | \$2,300,848,780 | \$2,680,774,336 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2,829,391,247 | - | 20,517,466 |
| 4 | 0.2500 | \$1,304,303,116 | \$1,586,638,815 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 1,717,204,138 | - | 885,851 |
| 3 | 0.3333 | \$478,357,190 | \$611,210,145 | so | \$0 | \$0 | 712,984,312 | - | - |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate FHCF Liabilities include Sections I, II and LAE, and are at weighted a2016 severity distributions based on AIR, EQE, RMS, ARA and FPM models. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## FHCF: Adjusted Curve for Company Retentions and Limits

Based on Average (AIR, RMS) 2016 Analysis



## EXHIBIT

IX


Monthly FHCF Investment Returns


## Monthly FHCF Investment Returns



## FHCF INVESTMENT INCOME*

(Excludes Finance Corporation)

| Year | Investment Income | $35 \%$ of Investment Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 June 30, 1995 | 20,183,000 | 7,064,050 |
| 2 June 30, 1996 | 46,379,000 | 16,232,650 |
| 3 June 30, 1997 | 74,425,000 | 26,048,750 |
| 4 June 30, 1998 | 109,979,000 | 38,492,650 |
| 5 June 30, 1999 | 132,516,000 | 46,380,600 |
| 6 June 30, 2000 | 173,839,000 | 60,843,650 |
| 7 June 30, 2001 | 220,915,000 | 77,320,250 |
| 8 June 30, 2002 | 122,535,000 | 42,887,250 |
| 9 June 30, 2003 | 104,939,000 | 36,728,650 |
| 10 June 30, 2004 | 58,127,000 | 20,344,450 |
| 11 June 30, 2005 | 108,672,000 | 38,035,200 |
| 12 June 30, 2006 | 103,175,000 | 36,111,250 |
| 13 June 30, 2007 | 36,065,000 | 12,622,750 |
| 14 June 30, 2008 | 46,816,000 | 16,385,600 |
| 15 June 30, 2009 | 7,803,000 | 2,731,050 |
| 16 June 30, 2010 | 54,298,000 | 19,004,300 |
| 17 June 30, 2011 | 29,983,000 | 10,494,050 |
| 18 June 30, 2012 | 26,634,000 | 9,321,900 |
| 19 June 30, 2013 | 34,638,000 | 12,123,300 |
| 20 June 30, 2014 | 19,174,000 | 6,710,900 |
| 21 June 30, 2015 | 29,152,000 | 10,203,200 |
|  | \$1,560,247,000 | \$546,086,450 |

*Source: FHCF Audited Financial Statements

Note: 1997 was the first year of mitigation funding.
F.S. 215.555(7)(c) Each fiscal year, the Legislature shall appropriate from the investment income of the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund an amount no less than $\$ 10$ million and no more than 35 percent of the investment income based upon the most recent fiscal year-end audited financial statements for the purpose of providing funding for local governments, state agencies, public and private educational institutions, and nonprofit organizations to support programs intended to improve hurricane preparedness, reduce potential losses in the event of a hurricane, provide research into means to reduce such losses, educate or inform the public as to means to reduce hurricane losses, assist the public in determining the appropriateness of particular upgrades to structures or in the financing of such upgrades, or protect local infrastructure from potential damage from a hurricane. Moneys shall first be available for appropriation under this paragraph in fiscal year 1997-1998. Moneys in excess of the $\$ 10$ million specified in this paragraph shall not be available for appropriation under this paragraph if the State Board of Administration finds that an appropriation of investment income from the fund would jeopardize the actuarial soundness of the fund.

## EXHIBIT

X

Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund
Addendum to the 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report
Pre-Event Note Expense Loading
Contract Term : 6/01/2016 to 5/31/2017

|  |  | 2013A Projected Debt Service | 2016A Projected Debt Service | Total Debt Service Net Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reimbursement Deposit |  |  |  |
| 1 | Premium | 29,500,000 | 16,500,000 | 46,000,000 |
| 2 | Average Market Value | 1,541,095,890 | 1,200,000,000 | 2,741,095,890 |
| 3 | Exp. Default Loading \% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |  |
| 4 | Exp. Default Cost (2)*(3) | 4,623,288 | 3,600,000 | 8,223,288 |
|  | Total Projected Liquidity |  |  |  |
| 5 | Facility Cost (1)+(4) | 34,123,288 | 20,100,000 | 54,223,288 |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |
| - This method uses values projected by the FHCF's Financial Advisor, Raymond James (6/05/2013 for 2013A); (2/29/2016 for 2016A); plus a judgemental loading for potential asset loss. <br> - $\$ 500$ million of $\$ 2$ billion 2013A Bonds come due on 7/1/2016. |  |  |  |  |

## EXHIBIT

XI

# Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report <br> Additional Pre-Event Note Options 



## EXHIBIT

## XII

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report <br> 2015 FHCF Reimbursement Premium Credits as of 2/1/16

|  | 2015 FHCF Premium (Base Premium) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial | Residential | Mobile Home | Tenants | Condo-Owners | Total |
| Total Gross FHCF Premium | \$132,335,487 | \$979,811,305 | \$34,653,568 | \$8,896,169 | \$67,394,855 | \$1,223,091,384 |
| FHCF Premium Credits/Debits | -\$3,565,762 | -\$5,482,873 | \$0 | \$379,941 | \$251,502 | -\$8,417,193 |
| Net FHCF Premium | \$128,769,725 | \$974,328,431 | \$34,653,568 | \$9,276,110 | \$67,646,357 | \$1,214,674,191 |

Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund
2016 Ratemaking Formula Report
2015 FHCF Reimbursement Premium Credits as of 2/1/16

|  | Percent of Gross Premium |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial | Residential | Mobile Home | Tenants | Condo-Owners | Total |
| Total Gross FHCF Premium | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |
| FHCF Premium Credits/Debits | -2.69\% | -0.56\% | 0.00\% | 4.27\% | 0.37\% | -0.69\% |
| Net FHCF Premium | 97.31\% | 99.44\% | 100.00\% | 104.27\% | 100.37\% | 99.31\% |

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report <br> 2015 FHCF Reimbursement Premium Credits as of 2/1/16

|  | 2015 FHCF Exposure |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial | Residential | Mobile Home | Tenants | Condo-Owners | Total |
| Total Exposure | \$169,751,066,958 | \$1,755,398,679,440 | \$25,888,470,026 | \$22,508,207,537 | \$88,118,573,834 | \$2,061,664,997,795 |
| Debit $=30 \%$ or greater | \$0 | \$606,161,180,654 | \$0 | \$5,539,382,416 | \$35,382,923,931 | \$647,083,487,001 |
| 20\%<Debit<30\% | \$87,287,040,897 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$87,287,040,897 |
| 15\%<Debit<20\% | \$0 | \$99,089,273,124 | \$0 | \$2 | \$450,202,137 | \$99,539,475,263 |
| 10\%<Debit<15\% | \$0 | \$144,990,405,862 | \$0 | \$9,326,456,997 | \$3,896,881,610 | \$158,213,744,469 |
| $5 \%<$ Debit <10\% | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$119,856,997 | \$0 | \$119,856,997 |
| 0\%<Debit<=5\% | \$14,973,699,423 | \$0 | \$2,904,461,559 | \$0 | \$8,759,568,439 | \$26,637,729,421 |
| No Credit/Debit | \$0 | \$0 | \$22,984,008,467 | \$5 | \$0 | \$22,984,008,472 |
| 0\%<Credit<=5\% | \$11,389,942,320 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$11,389,942,320 |
| 5\%<Credit<10\% | \$0 | \$305,008,430 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$305,008,430 |
| 10\%<Credit<15\% | \$0 | \$43,517,500,191 | \$0 | \$105,232,870 | \$64,130,753 | \$43,686,863,814 |
| 15\%<Credit<20\% | \$426,502 | \$120,762,575,468 | \$0 | \$64,130,426 | \$29,104,463 | \$120,856,236,859 |
| 20\%<Credit<30\% | \$956,485,968 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,095,715,309 | \$7,624,269,323 | \$10,676,470,600 |
| Credit $=30 \%$ or greater | \$55,143,471,848 | \$740,572,735,711 | \$0 | \$5,257,432,515 | \$31,911,493,178 | \$832,885,133,252 |

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report <br> 2015 FHCF Reimbursement Premium Credits as of 2/1/16

|  | Percent of Total Exposure |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial | Residential | Mobile Home | Tenants | Condo-Owners | Total |
| Total Exposure | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Debit $=30 \%$ or greater | 0.00\% | 34.53\% | 0.00\% | 24.61\% | 40.15\% | 31.39\% |
| 20\%<Debit<30\% | 51.42\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 4.23\% |
| 15\%<Debit<20\% | 0.00\% | 5.64\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.51\% | 4.83\% |
| 10\%<Debit<15\% | 0.00\% | 8.26\% | 0.00\% | 41.44\% | 4.42\% | 7.67\% |
| 5\%<Debit <10\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.53\% | 0.00\% | 0.01\% |
| 0\%<Debit<=5\% | 8.82\% | 0.00\% | 11.22\% | 0.00\% | 9.94\% | 1.29\% |
| No Credit/Debit | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 88.78\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.11\% |
| 0\%<Credit<=5\% | 6.71\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.55\% |
| $5 \%<$ Credit $<10 \%$ | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.01\% |
| 10\%<Credit<15\% | 0.00\% | 2.48\% | 0.00\% | 0.47\% | 0.07\% | 2.12\% |
| 15\%<Credit<20\% | 0.00\% | 6.88\% | 0.00\% | 0.28\% | 0.03\% | 5.86\% |
| 20\%<Credit<30\% | 0.56\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 9.31\% | 8.65\% | 0.52\% |
| Credit $=30 \%$ or greater | 32.48\% | 42.19\% | 0.00\% | 23.36\% | 36.21\% | 40.40\% |

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report <br> 2015 FHCF Reimbursement Premium Credits as of 2/1/16

|  | 2015 FHCF Risk Counts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial | Residential | Mobile Home | Tenants | Condo-Owners | Total |
| Total Risk Counts | 165,655 | 4,321,484 | 344,255 | 868,586 | 809,428 | 6,509,408 |
| Debit $=30 \%$ or greater | 0 | 1,929,699 | 0 | 167,355 | 445,890 | 2,542,944 |
| 20\%<Debit<30\% | 97,654 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97,654 |
| 15\%<Debit<20\% | 0 | 259,179 | 0 | 2 | 4,968 | 264,149 |
| 10\%<Debit<15\% | 0 | 352,292 | 0 | 508,897 | 42,668 | 903,857 |
| 5\%<Debit <10\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,130 | 0 | 1,130 |
| 0\%<Debit<=5\% | 25,494 | 0 | 31,957 | 0 | 55,958 | 113,409 |
| No Credit/Debit | 0 | 0 | 312,298 | 5 | 0 | 312,303 |
| 0\%<Credit<=5\% | 4,076 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,076 |
| 5\%<Credit<10\% | 0 | 624 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 624 |
| 10\%<Credit<15\% | 0 | 64,603 | 0 | 3,270 | 580 | 68,453 |
| 15\%<Credit<20\% | 17 | 288,306 | 0 | 469 | 193 | 288,985 |
| 20\%<Credit<30\% | 1,470 | 0 | 0 | 58,220 | 63,201 | 122,891 |
| Credit $=30 \%$ or greater | 36,944 | 1,426,781 | 0 | 129,238 | 195,970 | 1,788,933 |

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report <br> 2015 FHCF Reimbursement Premium Credits as of 2/1/16

|  | Percent of All Risks |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial | Residential | Mobile Home | Tenants | Condo-Owners | Total |
| Total Risk Counts | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Debit $=30 \%$ or greater | 0.00\% | 44.65\% | 0.00\% | 19.27\% | 55.09\% | 39.07\% |
| 20\%<Debit<30\% | 58.95\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.50\% |
| 15\%<Debit<20\% | 0.00\% | 6.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.61\% | 4.06\% |
| 10\%<Debit<15\% | 0.00\% | 8.15\% | 0.00\% | 58.59\% | 5.27\% | 13.89\% |
| 5\%<Debit <10\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.13\% | 0.00\% | 0.02\% |
| 0\%<Debit<=5\% | 15.39\% | 0.00\% | 9.28\% | 0.00\% | 6.91\% | 1.74\% |
| No Credit/Debit | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 90.72\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 4.80\% |
| 0\%<Credit<=5\% | 2.46\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.06\% |
| 5\%<Credit<10\% | 0.00\% | 0.01\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.01\% |
| 10\%<Credit<15\% | 0.00\% | 1.49\% | 0.00\% | 0.38\% | 0.07\% | 1.05\% |
| 15\%<Credit<20\% | 0.01\% | 6.67\% | 0.00\% | 0.05\% | 0.02\% | 4.44\% |
| 20\%<Credit<30\% | 0.89\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 6.70\% | 7.81\% | 1.89\% |
| Credit $=30 \%$ or greater | 22.30\% | 33.02\% | 0.00\% | 14.88\% | 24.21\% | 27.48\% |

## EXHIBIT

## XIII

Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report

2016 County Rating Groups

| County | Dominant <br> Group | Other Groups | County | Dominant <br> Group | Other Groups |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |

# Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 

2016 Ratemaking Formula Report
County Rating Regions

| County Number | County Name | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Region } \end{gathered}$ | County Number | County Name | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Region } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ALACHUA | 1 | 71 | LEE | 9 |
| 3 | BAKER | 1 | 73 | LEON | 1 |
| 5 | BAY | 5 | 75 | LEVY | 2 |
| 7 | BRADFORD | 1 | 77 | LIBERTY | 1 |
| 9 | BREVARD | 8 | 79 | MADISON | 1 |
| 11 | BROWARD | 13 | 81 | MANATEE | 7 |
| 13 | CALHOUN | 1 | 83 | MARION | 2 |
| 15 | CHARLOTTE | 7 | 85 | MARTIN | 14 |
| 17 | CITRUS | 3 | 86 | MIAMI-DADE | 16 |
| 19 | CLAY | 1 | 87 | MONROE | 22 |
| 21 | COLLIER | 11 | 89 | NASSAU | 2 |
| 23 | COLUMBIA | 1 | 91 | OKALOOSA | 6 |
| 27 | DE SOTO | 6 | 93 | OKEECHOBEE | 10 |
| 29 | DIXIE | 2 | 95 | ORANGE | 3 |
| 31 | DUVAL | 1 | 97 | OSCEOLA | 4 |
| 33 | ESCAMBIA | 6 | 99 | PALM BEACH | 14 |
| 35 | FLAGLER | 3 | 101 | PASCO | 5 |
| 37 | FRANKLIN | 6 | 103 | PINELLAS | 8 |
| 39 | GADSDEN | 1 | 105 | POLK | 4 |
| 41 | GILCHRIST | 1 | 107 | PUTNAM | 1 |
| 43 | GLADES | 8 | 109 | SAINT JOHNS | 2 |
| 45 | GULF | 5 | 111 | SAINT LUCIE | 10 |
| 47 | HAMILTON | 1 | 113 | SANTA ROSA | 7 |
| 49 | HARDEE | 5 | 115 | SARASOTA | 8 |
| 51 | HENDRY | 8 | 117 | SEMINOLE | 3 |
| 53 | HERNANDO | 4 | 119 | SUMTER | 3 |
| 55 | HIGHLANDS | 6 | 121 | SUWANNEE | 1 |
| 57 | HILLSBOROUGH | 5 | 123 | TAYLOR | 1 |
| 59 | HOLMES | 1 | 125 | UNION | 1 |
| 61 | INDIAN RIVER | 12 | 127 | VOLUSIA | 4 |
| 63 | JACKSON | 1 | 129 | WAKULLA | 1 |
| 65 | JEFFERSON | 1 | 131 | WALTON | 7 |
| 67 | LAFAYETTE | 1 | 133 | WASHINGTON | 1 |
| 69 | LAKE | 4 |  |  |  |

Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund
2016 Ratemaking Formula Report
2016 Rating Group Definitions by Group

| Group 1 | 32003 | 32066 | 32203 | 32246 | 32330 | 32424 | 32607 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 224 Zips | 32006 | 32067 | 32204 | 32247 | 32331 | 32425 | 32608 |
|  | 32008 | 32068 | 32205 | 32254 | 32332 | 32426 | 32609 |
|  | 32009 | 32071 | 32207 | 32255 | 32333 | 32427 | 32610 |
|  | 32011 | 32072 | 32208 | 32256 | 32334 | 32428 | 32611 |
|  | 32013 | 32073 | 32209 | 32257 | 32336 | 32430 | 32612 |
|  | 32024 | 32079 | 32210 | 32258 | 32337 | 32431 | 32614 |
| 32025 | 32081 | 32211 | 32259 | 32340 | 32432 | 32615 |  |
|  | 32026 | 32083 | 32212 | 32260 | 32341 | 32438 | 32616 |
|  | 32030 | 32087 | 32214 | 32277 | 32343 | 32440 | 32618 |
|  | 32033 | 32091 | 32216 | 32301 | 32344 | 32442 | 32619 |
|  | 32038 | 32092 | 32217 | 32302 | 32345 | 32443 | 32622 |
|  | 32040 | 32094 | 32218 | 32303 | 32347 | 32445 | 32627 |
|  | 32041 | 32096 | 32219 | 32304 | 32348 | 32446 | 32628 |
| 32042 | 32097 | 32220 | 32305 | 32350 | 32447 | 32631 |  |
|  | 32043 | 32099 | 32221 | 32306 | 32351 | 32448 | 32635 |
|  | 32044 | 32113 | 32222 | 32307 | 32352 | 32449 | 32640 |
|  | 32046 | 32134 | 32223 | 32308 | 32353 | 32452 | 32641 |
|  | 32050 | 32138 | 32224 | 32309 | 32355 | 32455 | 32643 |
| 32052 | 32140 | 32225 | 32310 | 32356 | 32460 | 32653 |  |
|  | 32053 | 32145 | 32226 | 32311 | 32357 | 32463 | 32654 |
|  | 32054 | 32147 | 32229 | 32312 | 32358 | 32464 | 32655 |
|  | 32055 | 32148 | 32231 | 32313 | 32359 | 32465 | 32656 |
|  | 32056 | 32160 | 32232 | 32314 | 32360 | 32535 | 32658 |
|  | 32058 | 32177 | 32234 | 32315 | 32361 | 32538 | 32662 |
|  | 32059 | 32178 | 32236 | 32316 | 32362 | 32567 | 32666 |
| 32060 | 32182 | 32237 | 32317 | 32395 | 32601 | 32667 |  |
| 32061 | 32185 | 32238 | 32318 | 32399 | 32602 | 32669 |  |
| 32062 | 32187 | 32239 | 32321 | 32420 | 32603 | 32680 |  |
|  | 32063 | 32189 | 32241 | 32324 | 32421 | 32604 | 32693 |
| 32064 | 32201 | 32244 | 32326 | 32422 | 32605 | 32694 |  |
|  | 32065 | 32202 | 32245 | 32327 | 32423 | 32606 | 32697 |

Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund
2016 Ratemaking Formula Report
2016 Rating Group Definitions by Group

| Group 2 | 32007 | 32157 | 32435 | 32633 | 32720 | 34430 | 34476 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 Zips | 32034 | 32164 | 32462 | 32634 | 32721 | 34431 | 34477 |
|  | 32035 | 32179 | 32466 | 32639 | 32722 | 34432 | 34478 |
|  | 32095 | 32180 | 32531 | 32644 | 32723 | 34433 | 34479 |
|  | 32102 | 32181 | 32536 | 32663 | 32724 | 34434 | 34480 |
|  | 32105 | 32183 | 32537 | 32664 | 32736 | 34445 | 34481 |
|  | 32110 | 32190 | 32539 | 32668 | 32744 | 34449 | 34482 |
|  | 32111 | 32192 | 32564 | 32681 | 32752 | 34470 | 34483 |
|  | 32112 | 32193 | 32565 | 32683 | 32763 | 34471 | 34484 |
|  | 32124 | 32206 | 32568 | 32686 | 32767 | 34472 | 34488 |
|  | 32130 | 32235 | 32617 | 32696 | 32774 | 34473 | 34489 |
|  | 32131 | 32433 | 32621 | 32702 | 32779 | 34474 |  |
|  | 32139 | 32434 | 32626 | 32706 | 32791 | 34475 |  |
| Group 3 | 32004 | 32404 | 32713 | 32765 | 32821 | 33538 | 34461 |
| 143 Zips | 32082 | 32409 | 32714 | 32766 | 32822 | 33585 | 34464 |
|  | 32084 | 32439 | 32715 | 32771 | 32825 | 33597 | 34465 |
|  | 32085 | 32444 | 32716 | 32772 | 32826 | 33849 | 34487 |
|  | 32086 | 32530 | 32718 | 32773 | 32828 | 33897 | 34491 |
|  | 32128 | 32533 | 32719 | 32776 | 32829 | 34420 | 34492 |
|  | 32133 | 32560 | 32725 | 32784 | 32831 | 34421 | 34601 |
|  | 32158 | 32570 | 32728 | 32789 | 32833 | 34423 | 34613 |
|  | 32159 | 32571 | 32730 | 32790 | 32835 | 34428 | 34614 |
|  | 32162 | 32572 | 32732 | 32792 | 32837 | 34429 | 34636 |
|  | 32163 | 32577 | 32733 | 32793 | 32860 | 34436 | 34661 |
|  | 32174 | 32583 | 32738 | 32794 | 32861 | 34441 | 34713 |
|  | 32195 | 32648 | 32739 | 32795 | 32867 | 34442 | 34714 |
|  | 32227 | 32701 | 32745 | 32799 | 32868 | 34446 | 34731 |
|  | 32228 | 32703 | 32746 | 32810 | 32872 | 34447 | 34747 |
|  | 32233 | 32704 | 32747 | 32812 | 32877 | 34448 | 34762 |
|  | 32240 | 32707 | 32750 | 32814 | 32878 | 34450 | 34785 |
|  | 32250 | 32708 | 32751 | 32816 | 32887 | 34451 |  |
|  | 32266 | 32709 | 32753 | 32817 | 33513 | 34452 |  |
|  | 32346 | 32710 | 32762 | 32818 | 33514 | 34453 |  |
|  | 32403 | 32712 | 32764 | 32820 | 33521 | 34460 |  |

Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund
2016 Ratemaking Formula Report
2016 Rating Group Definitions by Group

| Group 4 | 33637 | 33858 | 33809 | 33687 | 33890 | 33811 | 33689 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 165 Zips | 33647 | 33868 | 33810 | 33688 | 33896 | 33812 | 33694 |
|  | 33687 | 33890 | 33811 | 33689 | 34498 | 33813 | 33801 |
|  | 33688 | 33896 | 33812 | 33694 | 34602 | 33815 | 33802 |
|  | 33689 | 34498 | 33813 | 33801 | 34603 | 33836 | 33803 |
|  | 33694 | 34602 | 33815 | 33802 | 34604 | 33837 | 33804 |
|  | 33801 | 34603 | 33836 | 33803 | 34605 | 33840 | 33805 |
|  | 33802 | 34604 | 33837 | 33804 | 34606 | 33846 | 33806 |
|  | 33803 | 34605 | 33840 | 33805 | 34608 | 33848 | 33807 |
|  | 33804 | 34606 | 33846 | 33806 | 33637 | 33858 | 33809 |
|  | 33805 | 34608 | 33848 | 33807 | 33647 | 33868 | 33810 |
|  | 33806 | 33637 | 33858 | 33809 | 33687 | 33890 | 33811 |
|  | 33807 | 33647 | 33868 | 33810 | 33688 | 33896 | 33812 |
|  | 33809 | 33687 | 33890 | 33811 | 33689 | 34498 | 33813 |
|  | 33810 | 33688 | 33896 | 33812 | 33694 | 34602 | 33815 |
|  | 33811 | 33689 | 34498 | 33813 | 33801 | 34603 | 33836 |
|  | 33812 | 33694 | 34602 | 33815 | 33802 | 34604 | 33837 |
|  | 33813 | 33801 | 34603 | 33836 | 33803 | 34605 | 33840 |
|  | 33815 | 33802 | 34604 | 33837 | 33804 | 34606 | 33846 |
|  | 33836 | 33803 | 34605 | 33840 | 33805 | 34608 | 33848 |
|  | 33837 | 33804 | 34606 | 33846 | 33806 | 33637 | 33858 |
|  | 33840 | 33805 | 34608 | 33848 | 33807 | 33647 |  |
|  | 33846 | 33806 | 33637 | 33858 | 33809 | 33687 |  |
|  | 33848 | 33807 | 33647 | 33868 | 33810 | 33688 |  |
| Group 5 <br> 107 Zips | 32080 | 32406 | 33539 | 33682 | 33845 | 33877 | 34677 |
|  | 32114 | 32514 | 33541 | 33782 | 33847 | 33880 | 34684 |
|  | 32116 | 32578 | 33542 | 33820 | 33850 | 33881 | 34729 |
|  | 32117 | 32580 | 33545 | 33823 | 33851 | 33882 | 34739 |
|  | 32119 | 32588 | 33547 | 33825 | 33853 | 33883 | 34744 |
|  | 32120 | 32625 | 33568 | 33826 | 33854 | 33884 | 34756 |
|  | 32121 | 32759 | 33569 | 33827 | 33855 | 33885 | 34769 |
|  | 32122 | 32780 | 33571 | 33830 | 33856 | 33888 | 34770 |
|  | 32123 | 32781 | 33573 | 33831 | 33859 | 33898 | 34771 |
|  | 32125 | 32783 | 33578 | 33834 | 33860 | 34201 | 34772 |
|  | 32127 | 32796 | 33579 | 33835 | 33863 | 34211 | 34773 |
|  | 32132 | 32815 | 33598 | 33838 | 33865 | 34251 |  |
|  | 32141 | 32926 | 33604 | 33839 | 33867 | 34286 |  |
|  | 32173 | 32927 | 33610 | 33841 | 33872 | 34289 |  |
|  | 32175 | 32959 | 33612 | 33843 | 33873 | 34653 |  |
|  | 32198 | 33503 | 33674 | 33844 | 33875 | 34656 |  |

Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund
2016 Ratemaking Formula Report
2016 Rating Group Definitions by Group

| Group 6 | 32135 | 32512 | 33602 | 33761 | 34202 | 34266 | 34679 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92 Zips | 32136 | 32516 | 33603 | 33763 | 34203 | 34267 | 34680 |
|  | 32142 | 32542 | 33614 | 33764 | 34204 | 34268 | 34690 |
|  | 32143 | 32547 | 33619 | 33766 | 34208 | 34269 | 34692 |
|  | 32328 | 32904 | 33633 | 33780 | 34212 | 34270 | 34740 |
|  | 32401 | 32907 | 33635 | 33781 | 34219 | 34287 | 34760 |
|  | 32402 | 32908 | 33646 | 33870 | 34222 | 34288 | 34777 |
|  | 32410 | 32909 | 33655 | 33871 | 34232 | 34290 | 34778 |
|  | 32412 | 32910 | 33660 | 33876 | 34233 | 34291 |  |
|  | 32456 | 32934 | 33661 | 33938 | 34235 | 34607 |  |
|  | 32457 | 32955 | 33662 | 33954 | 34240 | 34667 |  |
|  | 32505 | 32956 | 33672 | 33960 | 34241 | 34668 |  |
|  | 32506 | 33534 | 33673 | 33982 | 34243 | 34673 |  |
|  | 32511 | 33601 | 33680 | 33983 | 34265 | 34674 |  |
| Group 7 | 32115 | 32579 | 33605 | 33732 | 33862 | 33920 | 33975 |
| 84 Zips | 32126 | 32899 | 33607 | 33742 | 33903 | 33927 | 33980 |
|  | 32170 | 32922 | 33615 | 33758 | 33905 | 33930 | 33990 |
|  | 32176 | 32923 | 33630 | 33759 | 33906 | 33935 | 33994 |
|  | 32320 | 32924 | 33634 | 33760 | 33909 | 33948 | 34117 |
|  | 32329 | 32948 | 33675 | 33765 | 33910 | 33949 | 34119 |
|  | 32407 | 32953 | 33677 | 33769 | 33912 | 33950 | 34221 |
|  | 32413 | 32954 | 33684 | 33771 | 33913 | 33951 | 34292 |
|  | 32417 | 33570 | 33685 | 33773 | 33915 | 33952 | 34652 |
|  | 32437 | 33572 | 33702 | 33777 | 33916 | 33953 | 34682 |
|  | 32459 | 33575 | 33714 | 33852 | 33917 | 33955 | 34683 |
|  | 32504 | 33586 | 33716 | 33857 | 33918 | 33966 | 34695 |
| Group 8 | 32118 | 33471 | 33713 | 33907 | 33972 | 34143 | 34681 |
| 66 Zips | 32169 | 33606 | 33729 | 33911 | 33973 | 34205 | 34689 |
|  | 32501 | 33609 | 33730 | 33919 | 33974 | 34206 | 34691 |
|  | 32503 | 33622 | 33733 | 33928 | 33976 | 34220 | 34697 |
|  | 32513 | 33623 | 33762 | 33936 | 33981 | 34234 | 34698 |
|  | 32523 | 33631 | 33778 | 33944 | 33991 | 34237 | 34972 |
|  | 32524 | 33650 | 33784 | 33965 | 33993 | 34238 |  |
|  | 32566 | 33663 | 33901 | 33967 | 34116 | 34250 |  |
|  | 32591 | 33679 | 33902 | 33970 | 34120 | 34278 |  |
|  | 32940 | 33709 | 33904 | 33971 | 34142 | 34660 |  |
| Group 9 | 32461 | 32912 | 33478 | 33743 | 33908 | 34207 | 34282 |
| 41 Zips | 32507 | 32935 | 33608 | 33755 | 33914 | 34224 | 34293 |
|  | 32508 | 32936 | 33611 | 33756 | 33929 | 34239 | 34945 |
|  | 32905 | 32966 | 33629 | 33757 | 33947 | 34260 | 34973 |
|  | 32906 | 32968 | 33681 | 33770 | 34114 | 34264 | 34986 |
|  | 32911 | 32969 | 33710 | 33779 | 34135 | 34281 |  |

Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund
2016 Ratemaking Formula Report
2016 Rating Group Definitions by Group

| Group 10 | 32408 | 32548 | 32941 | 33414 | 33774 | 34209 | 34280 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 Zips | 32411 | 32549 | 32950 | 33440 | 33775 | 34210 | 34953 |
|  | 32520 | 32569 | 32952 | 33703 | 34104 | 34229 | 34983 |
|  | 32540 | 32901 | 32967 | 33704 | 34109 | 34272 | 34984 |
|  | 32541 | 32902 | 32970 | 33734 | 34110 | 34274 | 34987 |
|  | 32544 | 32919 | 33412 | 33772 | 34133 | 34275 | 34988 |
| Group 11 | 32502 | 33068 | 33321 | 33421 | 33731 | 34139 | 34951 |
| 78 Zips | 32521 | 33071 | 33322 | 33430 | 33737 | 34141 | 34954 |
|  | 32522 | 33073 | 33324 | 33449 | 33747 | 34223 | 34956 |
|  | 32550 | 33075 | 33329 | 33467 | 33767 | 34230 | 34974 |
|  | 32562 | 33076 | 33337 | 33470 | 33776 | 34231 | 34981 |
|  | 32563 | 33077 | 33338 | 33472 | 33785 | 34236 | 34990 |
|  | 32925 | 33093 | 33351 | 33616 | 33786 | 34242 |  |
|  | 33016 | 33097 | 33388 | 33621 | 34105 | 34276 |  |
|  | 33063 | 33317 | 33411 | 33701 | 34112 | 34277 |  |
|  | 33065 | 33318 | 33413 | 33707 | 34113 | 34284 |  |
|  | 33066 | 33319 | 33415 | 33711 | 34137 | 34285 |  |
|  | 33067 | 33320 | 33418 | 33712 | 34138 | 34295 |  |
| Group 12 | 32937 | 32978 | 33313 | 33359 | 33446 | 33488 | 33740 |
| 40 Zips | 32949 | 33025 | 33323 | 33417 | 33448 | 33493 | 34134 |
|  | 32957 | 33028 | 33325 | 33428 | 33454 | 33496 | 34136 |
|  | 32958 | 33055 | 33328 | 33433 | 33459 | 33497 | 34947 |
|  | 32962 | 33082 | 33345 | 33434 | 33463 | 33498 |  |
|  | 32965 | 33175 | 33355 | 33437 | 33473 | 33705 |  |
| Group 13 | 32561 | 33010 | 33026 | 33172 | 33283 | 33482 | 33922 |
| 63 Zips | 32903 | 33011 | 33027 | 33174 | 33314 | 33484 | 33945 |
|  | 32920 | 33012 | 33029 | 33178 | 33326 | 33706 | 33946 |
|  | 32960 | 33013 | 33056 | 33183 | 33327 | 33708 | 34228 |
|  | 32961 | 33014 | 33069 | 33184 | 33330 | 33715 | 34946 |
|  | 32964 | 33015 | 33072 | 33188 | 33331 | 33736 | 34952 |
|  | 32971 | 33017 | 33084 | 33199 | 33332 | 33738 | 34985 |
|  | 32976 | 33018 | 33166 | 33266 | 33442 | 33741 | 34991 |
|  | 33002 | 33024 | 33169 | 33269 | 33458 | 33744 | 34997 |
| Group 14 | 32931 | 33102 | 33165 | 33193 | 33311 | 33476 | 34994 |
| 44 Zips | 32932 | 33112 | 33167 | 33222 | 33312 | 33956 | 34995 |
|  | 33021 | 33122 | 33173 | 33247 | 33336 | 34108 |  |
|  | 33023 | 33126 | 33177 | 33265 | 33340 | 34215 |  |
|  | 33054 | 33144 | 33182 | 33299 | 33409 | 34216 |  |
|  | 33081 | 33147 | 33185 | 33309 | 33436 | 34218 |  |
|  | 33083 | 33152 | 33186 | 33310 | 33438 | 34982 |  |

Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2016 Rating Group Definitions by Group

| Group 15 | 32951 | 33116 | 33176 | 33242 | 33420 | 34217 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 Zips | 33030 | 33134 | 33187 | 33255 | 33422 | 34948 |  |
|  | 33034 | 33142 | 33194 | 33406 | 33445 | 34950 |  |
|  | 33090 | 33155 | 33196 | 33410 | 33957 | 34979 |  |
|  | 33114 | 33168 | 33234 | 33416 | 34103 | 34992 |  |
| Group 16 | 32963 | 33033 | 33092 | 33179 | 33466 | 33932 | 34106 |
| 20 Zips | 33031 | 33035 | 33150 | 33238 | 33921 | 34101 | 34107 |
|  | 33032 | 33039 | 33170 | 33461 | 33931 | 34102 |  |
| Group 17 | 33064 | 33307 | 33407 | 33426 | 33474 | 33924 |  |
| 17 Zips | 33074 | 33334 | 33424 | 33427 | 33475 | 34140 |  |
|  | 33125 | 33335 | 33425 | 33455 | 33486 |  |  |
| Group 18 | 33042 | 33136 | 33161 | 33197 | 33403 | 33481 | 34958 |
| 32 Zips | 33043 | 33143 | 33162 | 33243 | 33404 | 34145 | 34996 |
|  | 33060 | 33146 | 33164 | 33257 | 33419 | 34146 |  |
|  | 33127 | 33156 | 33189 | 33261 | 33462 | 34949 |  |
|  | 33135 | 33157 | 33190 | 33315 | 33465 | 34957 |  |
| Group 19 | 33020 | 33138 | 33245 | 33305 | 33408 | 33443 | 33477 |
| 19 Zips | 33022 | 33145 | 33301 | 33394 | 33431 | 33468 |  |
|  | 33137 | 33153 | 33303 | 33401 | 33441 | 33469 |  |
| Group 20 | 33004 | 33045 | 33124 | 33133 | 33233 | 33302 | 33487 |
| 20 Zips | 33040 | 33061 | 33128 | 33158 | 33256 | 33304 | 33499 |
|  | 33041 | 33101 | 33132 | 33181 | 33296 | 33444 |  |
| Group 21 | 33008 | 33051 | 33130 | 33280 | 33316 | 33348 | 33435 |
| 20 Zips | 33009 | 33052 | 33131 | 33306 | 33339 | 33402 | 33480 |
|  | 33050 | 33111 | 33231 | 33308 | 33346 | 33405 |  |
| Group 22 | 33062 | 33163 | 33429 | 33460 | 33483 |  |  |
| 9 Zips | 33129 | 33180 | 33432 | 33464 |  |  |  |

# Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2016 Rating Group Definitions by Group 

| Group 23 | 33019 | 33106 | 33154 | 33160 | 33206 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 Zips |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group 24 | 33001 | 33037 | 33139 | 33141 |  |
| 8 Zips | 33036 | 33119 | 33140 | 33239 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group 25 33070 33109 33149 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Zips |  |  |  |  |  |

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2016 Rating Group Definitions by ZIP Code

|  | ZIP Code | Group | ZIP Code | Group | ZIP Code | Group | ZIP Code |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Group

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2016 Rating Group Definitions by ZIP Code

|  | 2016 |  | 2016 |  | 2016 |  | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZIP Code | Group | ZIP Code | Group | ZIP Code | Group | ZIP Code | Group |
| 32359 | 1 | 32465 | 1 | 32583 | 3 | 32697 | 1 |
| 32360 | 1 | 32466 | 2 | 32588 | 5 | 32701 | 3 |
| 32361 | 1 | 32501 | 8 | 32591 | 8 | 32702 | 2 |
| 32362 | 1 | 32502 | 11 | 32601 | 1 | 32703 | 3 |
| 32395 | 1 | 32503 | 8 | 32602 | 1 | 32704 | 3 |
| 32399 | 1 | 32504 | 7 | 32603 | 1 | 32706 | 2 |
| 32401 | 6 | 32505 | 6 | 32604 | 1 | 32707 | 3 |
| 32402 | 6 | 32506 | 6 | 32605 | 1 | 32708 | 3 |
| 32403 | 3 | 32507 | 9 | 32606 | 1 | 32709 | 3 |
| 32404 | 3 | 32508 | 9 | 32607 | 1 | 32710 | 3 |
| 32405 | 4 | 32509 | 4 | 32608 | 1 | 32712 | 3 |
| 32406 | 5 | 32511 | 6 | 32609 | 1 | 32713 | 3 |
| 32407 | 7 | 32512 | 6 | 32610 | 1 | 32714 | 3 |
| 32408 | 10 | 32513 | 8 | 32611 | 1 | 32715 | 3 |
| 32409 | 3 | 32514 | 5 | 32612 | 1 | 32716 | 3 |
| 32410 | 6 | 32516 | 6 | 32614 | 1 | 32718 | 3 |
| 32411 | 10 | 32520 | 10 | 32615 | 1 | 32719 | 3 |
| 32412 | 6 | 32521 | 11 | 32616 | 1 | 32720 | 2 |
| 32413 | 7 | 32522 | 11 | 32617 | 2 | 32721 | 2 |
| 32417 | 7 | 32523 | 8 | 32618 | 1 | 32722 | 2 |
| 32420 | 1 | 32524 | 8 | 32619 | 1 | 32723 | 2 |
| 32421 | 1 | 32526 | 4 | 32621 | 2 | 32724 | 2 |
| 32422 | 1 | 32530 | 3 | 32622 | 1 | 32725 | 3 |
| 32423 | 1 | 32531 | 2 | 32625 | 5 | 32726 | 4 |
| 32424 | 1 | 32533 | 3 | 32626 | 2 | 32727 | 4 |
| 32425 | 1 | 32534 | 4 | 32627 | 1 | 32728 | 3 |
| 32426 | 1 | 32535 | 1 | 32628 | 1 | 32730 | 3 |
| 32427 | 1 | 32536 | 2 | 32631 | 1 | 32732 | 3 |
| 32428 | 1 | 32537 | 2 | 32633 | 2 | 32733 | 3 |
| 32430 | 1 | 32538 | 1 | 32634 | 2 | 32735 | 4 |
| 32431 | 1 | 32539 | 2 | 32635 | 1 | 32736 | 2 |
| 32432 | 1 | 32540 | 10 | 32639 | 2 | 32738 | 3 |
| 32433 | 2 | 32541 | 10 | 32640 | 1 | 32739 | 3 |
| 32434 | 2 | 32542 | 6 | 32641 | 1 | 32744 | 2 |
| 32435 | 2 | 32544 | 10 | 32643 | 1 | 32745 | 3 |
| 32437 | 7 | 32547 | 6 | 32644 | 2 | 32746 | 3 |
| 32438 | 1 | 32548 | 10 | 32648 | 3 | 32747 | 3 |
| 32439 | 3 | 32549 | 10 | 32653 | 1 | 32750 | 3 |
| 32440 | 1 | 32550 | 11 | 32654 | 1 | 32751 | 3 |
| 32442 | 1 | 32559 | 4 | 32655 | 1 | 32752 | 2 |
| 32443 | 1 | 32560 | 3 | 32656 | 1 | 32753 | 3 |
| 32444 | 3 | 32561 | 13 | 32658 | 1 | 32754 | 4 |
| 32445 | 1 | 32562 | 11 | 32662 | 1 | 32756 | 4 |
| 32446 | 1 | 32563 | 11 | 32663 | 2 | 32757 | 4 |
| 32447 | 1 | 32564 | 2 | 32664 | 2 | 32759 | 5 |
| 32448 | 1 | 32565 | 2 | 32666 | 1 | 32762 | 3 |
| 32449 | 1 | 32566 | 8 | 32667 | 1 | 32763 | 2 |
| 32452 | 1 | 32567 | 1 | 32668 | 2 | 32764 | 3 |
| 32455 | 1 | 32568 | 2 | 32669 | 1 | 32765 | 3 |
| 32456 | 6 | 32569 | 10 | 32680 | 1 | 32766 | 3 |
| 32457 | 6 | 32570 | 3 | 32681 | 2 | 32767 | 2 |
| 32459 | 7 | 32571 | 3 | 32683 | 2 | 32768 | 4 |
| 32460 | 1 | 32572 | 3 | 32686 | 2 | 32771 | 3 |
| 32461 | 9 | 32577 | 3 | 32692 | 4 | 32772 | 3 |
| 32462 | 2 | 32578 | 5 | 32693 | 1 | 32773 | 3 |
| 32463 | 1 | 32579 | 7 | 32694 | 1 | 32774 | 2 |
| 32464 | 1 | 32580 | 5 | 32696 | 2 | 32775 | 4 |

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2016 Rating Group Definitions by ZIP Code

|  | 2016 |  | 2016 |  | 2016 |  | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZIP Code | Group | ZIP Code | Group | ZIP Code | Group | ZIP Code | Group |
| 32776 | 3 | 32857 | 4 | 32958 | 12 | 33052 | 21 |
| 32777 | 4 | 32858 | 4 | 32959 | 5 | 33054 | 14 |
| 32778 | 4 | 32859 | 4 | 32960 | 13 | 33055 | 12 |
| 32779 | 2 | 32860 | 3 | 32961 | 13 | 33056 | 13 |
| 32780 | 5 | 32861 | 3 | 32962 | 12 | 33060 | 18 |
| 32781 | 5 | 32862 | 4 | 32963 | 16 | 33061 | 20 |
| 32783 | 5 | 32867 | 3 | 32964 | 13 | 33062 | 22 |
| 32784 | 3 | 32868 | 3 | 32965 | 12 | 33063 | 11 |
| 32789 | 3 | 32869 | 4 | 32966 | 9 | 33064 | 17 |
| 32790 | 3 | 32872 | 3 | 32967 | 10 | 33065 | 11 |
| 32791 | 2 | 32877 | 3 | 32968 | 9 | 33066 | 11 |
| 32792 | 3 | 32878 | 3 | 32969 | 9 | 33067 | 11 |
| 32793 | 3 | 32885 | 4 | 32970 | 10 | 33068 | 11 |
| 32794 | 3 | 32886 | 4 | 32971 | 13 | 33069 | 13 |
| 32795 | 3 | 32887 | 3 | 32976 | 13 | 33070 | 25 |
| 32796 | 5 | 32891 | 4 | 32978 | 12 | 33071 | 11 |
| 32798 | 4 | 32896 | 4 | 33001 | 24 | 33072 | 13 |
| 32799 | 3 | 32897 | 4 | 33002 | 13 | 33073 | 11 |
| 32801 | 4 | 32899 | 7 | 33004 | 20 | 33074 | 17 |
| 32802 | 4 | 32901 | 10 | 33008 | 21 | 33075 | 11 |
| 32803 | 4 | 32902 | 10 | 33009 | 21 | 33076 | 11 |
| 32804 | 4 | 32903 | 13 | 33010 | 13 | 33077 | 11 |
| 32805 | 4 | 32904 | 6 | 33011 | 13 | 33081 | 14 |
| 32806 | 4 | 32905 | 9 | 33012 | 13 | 33082 | 12 |
| 32807 | 4 | 32906 | 9 | 33013 | 13 | 33083 | 14 |
| 32808 | 4 | 32907 | 6 | 33014 | 13 | 33084 | 13 |
| 32809 | 4 | 32908 | 6 | 33015 | 13 | 33090 | 15 |
| 32810 | 3 | 32909 | 6 | 33016 | 11 | 33092 | 16 |
| 32811 | 4 | 32910 | 6 | 33017 | 13 | 33093 | 11 |
| 32812 | 3 | 32911 | 9 | 33018 | 13 | 33097 | 11 |
| 32814 | 3 | 32912 | 9 | 33019 | 23 | 33101 | 20 |
| 32815 | 5 | 32919 | 10 | 33020 | 19 | 33102 | 14 |
| 32816 | 3 | 32920 | 13 | 33021 | 14 | 33106 | 23 |
| 32817 | 3 | 32922 | 7 | 33022 | 19 | 33109 | 25 |
| 32818 | 3 | 32923 | 7 | 33023 | 14 | 33111 | 21 |
| 32819 | 4 | 32924 | 7 | 33024 | 13 | 33112 | 14 |
| 32820 | 3 | 32925 | 11 | 33025 | 12 | 33114 | 15 |
| 32821 | 3 | 32926 | 5 | 33026 | 13 | 33116 | 15 |
| 32822 | 3 | 32927 | 5 | 33027 | 13 | 33119 | 24 |
| 32824 | 4 | 32931 | 14 | 33028 | 12 | 33122 | 14 |
| 32825 | 3 | 32932 | 14 | 33029 | 13 | 33124 | 20 |
| 32826 | 3 | 32934 | 6 | 33030 | 15 | 33125 | 17 |
| 32827 | 4 | 32935 | 9 | 33031 | 16 | 33126 | 14 |
| 32828 | 3 | 32936 | 9 | 33032 | 16 | 33127 | 18 |
| 32829 | 3 | 32937 | 12 | 33033 | 16 | 33128 | 20 |
| 32830 | 4 | 32940 | 8 | 33034 | 15 | 33129 | 22 |
| 32831 | 3 | 32941 | 10 | 33035 | 16 | 33130 | 21 |
| 32832 | 4 | 32948 | 7 | 33036 | 24 | 33131 | 21 |
| 32833 | 3 | 32949 | 12 | 33037 | 24 | 33132 | 20 |
| 32835 | 3 | 32950 | 10 | 33039 | 16 | 33133 | 20 |
| 32836 | 4 | 32951 | 15 | 33040 | 20 | 33134 | 15 |
| 32837 | 3 | 32952 | 10 | 33041 | 20 | 33135 | 18 |
| 32839 | 4 | 32953 | 7 | 33042 | 18 | 33136 | 18 |
| 32853 | 4 | 32954 | 7 | 33043 | 18 | 33137 | 19 |
| 32854 | 4 | 32955 | 6 | 33045 | 20 | 33138 | 19 |
| 32855 | 4 | 32956 | 6 | 33050 | 21 | 33139 | 24 |
| 32856 | 4 | 32957 | 12 | 33051 | 21 | 33140 | 24 |

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2016 Rating Group Definitions by ZIP Code

|  | 2016 |  |  |  | 2016 |  | ZIP Code |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Group

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2016 Rating Group Definitions by ZIP Code

|  | 2016 |  |  |  | 2016 |  | ZIP Code |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Group

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report <br> 2016 Rating Group Definitions by ZIP Code

|  | 2016 |  | 2016 |  | 2016 |  | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZIP Code | Group | ZIP Code | Group | ZIP Code | Group | ZIP Code | Group |
| 33911 | 8 | 34103 | 15 | 34235 | 6 | 34450 | 3 |
| 33912 | 7 | 34104 | 10 | 34236 | 11 | 34451 | 3 |
| 33913 | 7 | 34105 | 11 | 34237 | 8 | 34452 | 3 |
| 33914 | 9 | 34106 | 16 | 34238 | 8 | 34453 | 3 |
| 33915 | 7 | 34107 | 16 | 34239 | 9 | 34460 | 3 |
| 33916 | 7 | 34108 | 14 | 34240 | 6 | 34461 | 3 |
| 33917 | 7 | 34109 | 10 | 34241 | 6 | 34464 | 3 |
| 33918 | 7 | 34110 | 10 | 34242 | 11 | 34465 | 3 |
| 33919 | 8 | 34112 | 11 | 34243 | 6 | 34470 | 2 |
| 33920 | 7 | 34113 | 11 | 34250 | 8 | 34471 | 2 |
| 33921 | 16 | 34114 | 9 | 34251 | 5 | 34472 | 2 |
| 33922 | 13 | 34116 | 8 | 34260 | 9 | 34473 | 2 |
| 33924 | 17 | 34117 | 7 | 34264 | 9 | 34474 | 2 |
| 33927 | 7 | 34119 | 7 | 34265 | 6 | 34475 | 2 |
| 33928 | 8 | 34120 | 8 | 34266 | 6 | 34476 | 2 |
| 33929 | 9 | 34133 | 10 | 34267 | 6 | 34477 | 2 |
| 33930 | 7 | 34134 | 12 | 34268 | 6 | 34478 | 2 |
| 33931 | 16 | 34135 | 9 | 34269 | 6 | 34479 | 2 |
| 33932 | 16 | 34136 | 12 | 34270 | 6 | 34480 | 2 |
| 33935 | 7 | 34137 | 11 | 34272 | 10 | 34481 | 2 |
| 33936 | 8 | 34138 | 11 | 34274 | 10 | 34482 | 2 |
| 33938 | 6 | 34139 | 11 | 34275 | 10 | 34483 | 2 |
| 33944 | 8 | 34140 | 17 | 34276 | 11 | 34484 | 2 |
| 33945 | 13 | 34141 | 11 | 34277 | 11 | 34487 | 3 |
| 33946 | 13 | 34142 | 8 | 34278 | 8 | 34488 | 2 |
| 33947 | 9 | 34143 | 8 | 34280 | 10 | 34489 | 2 |
| 33948 | 7 | 34145 | 18 | 34281 | 9 | 34491 | 3 |
| 33949 | 7 | 34146 | 18 | 34282 | 9 | 34492 | 3 |
| 33950 | 7 | 34201 | 5 | 34284 | 11 | 34498 | 4 |
| 33951 | 7 | 34202 | 6 | 34285 | 11 | 34601 | 3 |
| 33952 | 7 | 34203 | 6 | 34286 | 5 | 34602 | 4 |
| 33953 | 7 | 34204 | 6 | 34287 | 6 | 34603 | 4 |
| 33954 | 6 | 34205 | 8 | 34288 | 6 | 34604 | 4 |
| 33955 | 7 | 34206 | 8 | 34289 | 5 | 34605 | 4 |
| 33956 | 14 | 34207 | 9 | 34290 | 6 | 34606 | 4 |
| 33957 | 15 | 34208 | 6 | 34291 | 6 | 34607 | 6 |
| 33960 | 6 | 34209 | 10 | 34292 | 7 | 34608 | 4 |
| 33965 | 8 | 34210 | 10 | 34293 | 9 | 34609 | 4 |
| 33966 | 7 | 34211 | 5 | 34295 | 11 | 34610 | 4 |
| 33967 | 8 | 34212 | 6 | 34420 | 3 | 34611 | 4 |
| 33970 | 8 | 34215 | 14 | 34421 | 3 | 34613 | 3 |
| 33971 | 8 | 34216 | 14 | 34423 | 3 | 34614 | 3 |
| 33972 | 8 | 34217 | 15 | 34428 | 3 | 34636 | 3 |
| 33973 | 8 | 34218 | 14 | 34429 | 3 | 34637 | 4 |
| 33974 | 8 | 34219 | 6 | 34430 | 2 | 34638 | 4 |
| 33975 | 7 | 34220 | 8 | 34431 | 2 | 34639 | 4 |
| 33976 | 8 | 34221 | 7 | 34432 | 2 | 34652 | 7 |
| 33980 | 7 | 34222 | 6 | 34433 | 2 | 34653 | 5 |
| 33981 | 8 | 34223 | 11 | 34434 | 2 | 34654 | 4 |
| 33982 | 6 | 34224 | 9 | 34436 | 3 | 34655 | 4 |
| 33983 | 6 | 34228 | 13 | 34441 | 3 | 34656 | 5 |
| 33990 | 7 | 34229 | 10 | 34442 | 3 | 34660 | 8 |
| 33991 | 8 | 34230 | 11 | 34445 | 2 | 34661 | 3 |
| 33993 | 8 | 34231 | 11 | 34446 | 3 | 34667 | 6 |
| 33994 | 7 | 34232 | 6 | 34447 | 3 | 34668 | 6 |
| 34101 | 16 | 34233 | 6 | 34448 | 3 | 34669 | 4 |
| 34102 | 16 | 34234 | 8 | 34449 | 2 | 34673 | 6 |

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund <br> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2016 Rating Group Definitions by ZIP Code

|  | 2016 |  | ZIP Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Group |
| :---: |

## EXHIBIT

## XIV

PROPOSED FHCF 2016 Commercial Rates (Not Yet Approved by FHCF Trustees for Use)
Rates are Dollars per $\$ 1000$ of Exposure
Coverage Level:
90\%
Deductible: 3\%

| ZIP Code Group | Frame | Masonry Veneer | Masonry | Masonry with Reinforced Concrete Roof Deck | Superior | Superior with Reinforced Concrete Roof Deck | Non-MH Default and Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1544 | 0.1430 | 0.1088 | 0.0580 | 0.0587 | 0.0401 | 0.1119 |
| 2 | 0.2614 | 0.2421 | 0.1841 | 0.0981 | 0.0994 | 0.0678 | 0.1895 |
| 3 | 0.3677 | 0.3405 | 0.2590 | 0.1380 | 0.1398 | 0.0954 | 0.2665 |
| 4 | 0.4824 | 0.4468 | 0.3398 | 0.1810 | 0.1834 | 0.1252 | 0.3497 |
| 5 | 0.6059 | 0.5611 | 0.4268 | 0.2274 | 0.2304 | 0.1572 | 0.4392 |
| 6 | 0.7384 | 0.6839 | 0.5202 | 0.2771 | 0.2808 | 0.1916 | 0.5353 |
| 7 | 0.8804 | 0.8153 | 0.6202 | 0.3304 | 0.3347 | 0.2284 | 0.6382 |
| 8 | 1.0320 | 0.9558 | 0.7270 | 0.3873 | 0.3924 | 0.2678 | 0.7481 |
| 9 | 1.1939 | 1.1057 | 0.8411 | 0.4481 | 0.4540 | 0.3098 | 0.8655 |
| 10 | 1.3664 | 1.2654 | 0.9626 | 0.5128 | 0.5196 | 0.3545 | 0.9905 |
| 11 | 1.5500 | 1.4355 | 1.0920 | 0.5817 | 0.5894 | 0.4022 | 1.1236 |
| 12 | 1.7454 | 1.6164 | 1.2296 | 0.6550 | 0.6637 | 0.4529 | 1.2653 |
| 13 | 1.9531 | 1.8088 | 1.3759 | 0.7330 | 0.7426 | 0.5068 | 1.4158 |
| 14 | 2.1738 | 2.0132 | 1.5314 | 0.8158 | 0.8265 | 0.5640 | 1.5758 |
| 15 | 2.4082 | 2.2303 | 1.6965 | 0.9038 | 0.9157 | 0.6249 | 1.7457 |
| 16 | 2.6572 | 2.4609 | 1.8719 | 0.9972 | 1.0104 | 0.6895 | 1.9262 |
| 17 | 2.9216 | 2.7057 | 2.0582 | 1.0964 | 1.1109 | 0.7581 | 2.1179 |
| 18 | 3.2023 | 2.9657 | 2.2559 | 1.2018 | 1.2176 | 0.8309 | 2.3214 |
| 19 | 3.5004 | 3.2418 | 2.4659 | 1.3137 | 1.3310 | 0.9083 | 2.5375 |
| 20 | 3.8169 | 3.5349 | 2.6889 | 1.4324 | 1.4513 | 0.9904 | 2.7669 |
| 21 | 4.1530 | 3.8461 | 2.9256 | 1.5586 | 1.5791 | 1.0776 | 3.0105 |
| 22 | 4.5097 | 4.1765 | 3.1770 | 1.6925 | 1.7148 | 1.1702 | 3.2692 |
| 23 | 4.8884 | 4.5272 | 3.4437 | 1.8346 | 1.8588 | 1.2684 | 3.5437 |
| 24 | 5.2902 | 4.8994 | 3.7268 | 1.9854 | 2.0116 | 1.3727 | 3.8350 |
| 25 | 5.7164 | 5.2941 | 4.0271 | 2.1453 | 2.1736 | 1.4833 | 4.1439 |

## PROPOSED FHCF 2016 Commercial Rates (Not Yet Approved by FHCF Trustees for Use)

Rates are Dollars per $\$ 1000$ of Exposure
Coverage Level:

## Deductible: 3\%

| ZIP Code Group |  | Masonry Veneer |  Masonry with <br> Reinforced Concrete <br> Roof Deck <br> Masonry $\underline{0.030}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frame |  |  |  | Superior |
| 1 | 0.1287 | 0.1192 | 0.0907 | 0.0483 | 0.0489 |
| 2 | 0.2178 | 0.2017 | 0.1534 | 0.0817 | 0.0828 |
| 3 | 0.3064 | 0.2837 | 0.2158 | 0.1150 | 0.1165 |
| 4 | 0.4020 | 0.3723 | 0.2832 | 0.1509 | 0.1529 |
| 5 | 0.5049 | 0.4676 | 0.3557 | 0.1895 | 0.1920 |
| 6 | 0.6154 | 0.5699 | 0.4335 | 0.2309 | 0.2340 |
| 7 | 0.7336 | 0.6794 | 0.5168 | 0.2753 | 0.2790 |
| 8 | 0.8600 | 0.7965 | 0.6059 | 0.3228 | 0.3270 |
| 9 | 0.9949 | 0.9214 | 0.7009 | 0.3734 | 0.3783 |
| 10 | 1.1387 | 1.0545 | 0.8021 | 0.4273 | 0.4330 |
| 11 | 1.2917 | 1.1963 | 0.9100 | 0.4848 | 0.4912 |
| 12 | 1.4545 | 1.3470 | 1.0246 | 0.5459 | 0.5531 |
| 13 | 1.6276 | 1.5073 | 1.1466 | 0.6108 | 0.6189 |
| 14 | 1.8115 | 1.6776 | 1.2761 | 0.6798 | 0.6888 |
| 15 | 2.0068 | 1.8586 | 1.4138 | 0.7531 | 0.7631 |
| 16 | 2.2143 | 2.0507 | 1.5599 | 0.8310 | 0.8420 |
| 17 | 2.4346 | 2.2548 | 1.7151 | 0.9137 | 0.9257 |
| 18 | 2.6686 | 2.4714 | 1.8799 | 1.0015 | 1.0147 |
| 19 | 2.9170 | 2.7015 | 2.0549 | 1.0947 | 1.1092 |
| 20 | 3.1808 | 2.9458 | 2.2408 | 1.1937 | 1.2094 |
| 21 | 3.4608 | 3.2051 | 2.4380 | 1.2988 | 1.3159 |
| 22 | 3.7581 | 3.4804 | 2.6475 | 1.4104 | 1.4290 |
| 23 | 4.0737 | 3.7727 | 2.8698 | 1.5288 | 1.5490 |
| 24 | 4.4085 | 4.0828 | 3.1057 | 1.6545 | 1.6763 |
| 25 | 4.7637 | 4.4118 | 3.3559 | 1.7878 | 1.8113 |


| Superior with <br> Reinforced Concrete <br> Roof Deck | Non-MH Default <br> and Unknown |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.0334 | 0.0933 |
| 0.0565 | 0.1579 |
| 0.0795 | 0.2221 |
| 0.1043 | 0.2914 |
| 0.1310 | 0.3660 |
| 0.1597 | 0.4461 |
| 0.1904 | 0.5318 |
| 0.2232 | 0.6234 |
| 0.2582 | 0.7212 |
| 0.2955 | 0.8254 |
| 0.3352 | 0.9364 |
| 0.3774 | 1.0544 |
| 0.4223 | 1.1798 |
| 0.4700 | 1.3132 |
| 0.5207 | 1.4548 |
| 0.5746 | 1.6052 |
| 0.6317 | 1.7649 |
| 0.6924 | 1.9345 |
| 0.7569 | 2.1146 |
| 0.8253 | 2.3058 |
| 0.8980 | 2.5088 |
| 0.9751 | 2.7243 |
| 1.0570 | 2.9531 |
| 1.1439 | 3.1958 |
| 1.2361 | 3.4533 |

PROPOSED FHCF 2016 Commercial Rates (Not Yet Approved by FHCF Trustees for Use)
Rates are Dollars per $\$ 1000$ of Exposure
Coverage Level: 45\%
Deductible: 3\%

| ZIP Code Group | Frame | Masonry Veneer | Masonry | Masonry with Reinforced Concrete Roof Deck | Superior | Superior with Reinforced Concrete Roof Deck | Non-MH Default and Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0772 | 0.0715 | 0.0544 | 0.0290 | 0.0294 | 0.0200 | 0.0560 |
| 2 | 0.1307 | 0.1210 | 0.0921 | 0.0490 | 0.0497 | 0.0339 | 0.0947 |
| 3 | 0.1838 | 0.1702 | 0.1295 | 0.0690 | 0.0699 | 0.0477 | 0.1333 |
| 4 | 0.2412 | 0.2234 | 0.1699 | 0.0905 | 0.0917 | 0.0626 | 0.1749 |
| 5 | 0.3029 | 0.2806 | 0.2134 | 0.1137 | 0.1152 | 0.0786 | 0.2196 |
| 6 | 0.3692 | 0.3419 | 0.2601 | 0.1386 | 0.1404 | 0.0958 | 0.2677 |
| 7 | 0.4402 | 0.4077 | 0.3101 | 0.1652 | 0.1674 | 0.1142 | 0.3191 |
| 8 | 0.5160 | 0.4779 | 0.3635 | 0.1937 | 0.1962 | 0.1339 | 0.3741 |
| 9 | 0.5969 | 0.5528 | 0.4205 | 0.2240 | 0.2270 | 0.1549 | 0.4327 |
| 10 | 0.6832 | 0.6327 | 0.4813 | 0.2564 | 0.2598 | 0.1773 | 0.4953 |
| 11 | 0.7750 | 0.7178 | 0.5460 | 0.2909 | 0.2947 | 0.2011 | 0.5618 |
| 12 | 0.8727 | 0.8082 | 0.6148 | 0.3275 | 0.3318 | 0.2264 | 0.6326 |
| 13 | 0.9765 | 0.9044 | 0.6879 | 0.3665 | 0.3713 | 0.2534 | 0.7079 |
| 14 | 1.0869 | 1.0066 | 0.7657 | 0.4079 | 0.4133 | 0.2820 | 0.7879 |
| 15 | 1.2041 | 1.1151 | 0.8483 | 0.4519 | 0.4578 | 0.3124 | 0.8729 |
| 16 | 1.3286 | 1.2304 | 0.9359 | 0.4986 | 0.5052 | 0.3447 | 0.9631 |
| 17 | 1.4608 | 1.3529 | 1.0291 | 0.5482 | 0.5554 | 0.3790 | 1.0589 |
| 18 | 1.6012 | 1.4829 | 1.1280 | 0.6009 | 0.6088 | 0.4155 | 1.1607 |
| 19 | 1.7502 | 1.6209 | 1.2330 | 0.6568 | 0.6655 | 0.4541 | 1.2687 |
| 20 | 1.9085 | 1.7675 | 1.3445 | 0.7162 | 0.7257 | 0.4952 | 1.3835 |
| 21 | 2.0765 | 1.9231 | 1.4628 | 0.7793 | 0.7896 | 0.5388 | 1.5053 |
| 22 | 2.2549 | 2.0883 | 1.5885 | 0.8462 | 0.8574 | 0.5851 | 1.6346 |
| 23 | 2.4442 | 2.2636 | 1.7219 | 0.9173 | 0.9294 | 0.6342 | 1.7718 |
| 24 | 2.6451 | 2.4497 | 1.8634 | 0.9927 | 1.0058 | 0.6863 | 1.9175 |
| 25 | 2.8582 | 2.6471 | 2.0135 | 1.0727 | 1.0868 | 0.7416 | 2.0720 |

PROPOSED FHCF 2016 Residential Rates (Not Yet Approved by FHCF Trustees for Use) Rates are Dollars per \$1000 of Exposure

Coverage Level:
90\%
Deductible: 2\%

| ZIP Code <br> Group | Frame | Masonry Veneer | Non-MH Default <br> Unknown |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1081 | 0.1003 | 0.0820 | 0.1058 |
| 2 | 0.1830 | 0.1698 | 0.1387 | 0.1790 |
| 3 | 0.2574 | 0.2388 | 0.1951 | 0.2518 |
| 4 | 0.3377 | 0.3134 | 0.2560 | 0.3304 |
| 5 | 0.4241 | 0.3936 | 0.3215 | 0.4149 |
| 6 | 0.5169 | 0.4797 | 0.3919 | 0.5057 |
| 7 | 0.6162 | 0.5719 | 0.4672 | 0.6029 |
| 8 | 0.7224 | 0.6704 | 0.5477 | 0.7067 |
| 9 | 0.8357 | 0.7755 | 0.6336 | 0.9176 |
| 10 | 0.9565 | 0.8876 | 0.7251 | 1.0615 |
| 11 | 1.0850 | 1.0069 | 0.8226 | 1.1953 |
| 12 | 1.2218 | 1.1338 | 1.9262 | 1.3375 |
| 13 | 1.3671 | 1.2687 | 1.1536 | 1.4886 |
| 14 | 1.5216 | 1.5644 | 1.2780 | 1.6492 |
| 15 | 1.6857 | 1.7261 | 1.4101 | 1.8197 |
| 16 | 1.8600 | 1.8978 | 1.5504 | 2.0007 |
| 17 | 2.0451 | 2.0802 | 1.6994 | 2.1930 |
| 18 | 2.2416 | 2.2739 | 1.8576 | 2.3971 |
| 19 | 2.4503 | 2.4795 | 2.0255 | 2.6139 |
| 20 | 2.6718 | 2.6978 | 2.2039 | 2.8440 |
| 21 | 2.9070 | 2.9295 | 2.3932 | 3.0883 |
| 22 | 3.1568 | 3.1755 | 2.5942 | 3.3476 |
| 23 | 3.4219 | 3.4365 | 3.0336 | 3.6228 |
| 25 | 4.0015 |  |  | 3.9147 |

PROPOSED FHCF 2016 Residential Rates (Not Yet Approved by FHCF Trustees for Use) Rates are Dollars per \$1000 of Exposure

Coverage Level:
75\%
Deductible: 2\%

| ZIP Code <br> Group | Frame | Masonry Veneer | Non-MH Default <br> Unknown |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0901 | 0.0836 | 0.0683 | 0.0881 |
| 2 | 0.1525 | 0.1415 | 0.1156 | 0.1492 |
| 3 | 0.2145 | 0.1990 | 0.1626 | 0.2098 |
| 4 | 0.2814 | 0.2611 | 0.2133 | 0.2753 |
| 5 | 0.3534 | 0.3280 | 0.2679 | 0.3458 |
| 6 | 0.4307 | 0.3997 | 0.3266 | 0.4214 |
| 7 | 0.5135 | 0.4766 | 0.3893 | 0.5024 |
| 8 | 0.6020 | 0.5587 | 0.4564 | 0.5890 |
| 9 | 0.6964 | 0.6463 | 0.5280 | 0.7798 |
| 10 | 0.7971 | 0.7397 | 0.6043 | 0.8846 |
| 11 | 0.9042 | 0.8391 | 0.6855 | 0.9960 |
| 12 | 1.0181 | 0.9448 | 0.7719 | 1.1146 |
| 13 | 1.1393 | 1.0573 | 0.8637 | 1.2405 |
| 14 | 1.2680 | 1.1767 | 1.0650 | 1.3743 |
| 15 | 1.4048 | 1.3036 | 1.1751 | 1.5164 |
| 16 | 1.5500 | 1.4384 | 1.2920 | 1.6673 |
| 17 | 1.7042 | 1.5815 | 1.4161 | 1.8275 |
| 18 | 1.8680 | 1.7335 | 1.5480 | 1.9976 |
| 19 | 2.0419 | 1.8949 | 1.6879 | 2.1782 |
| 20 | 2.2265 | 2.0662 | 1.8366 | 2.3700 |
| 21 | 2.4225 | 2.2481 | 2.9943 | 2.5736 |
| 22 | 2.6306 | 2.4413 | 2.3395 | 2.7897 |
| 23 | 2.8515 | 2.6463 | 2.5280 | 3.0190 |
| 24 | 3.3346 | 3.0945 |  | 3.2622 |

PROPOSED FHCF 2016 Residential Rates (Not Yet Approved by FHCF Trustees for Use) Rates are Dollars per \$1000 of Exposure

Coverage Level:
45\%
Deductible: 2\%

| ZIP Code <br> Group | Frame | Masonry Veneer | Non-MH Default <br> Unknown |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0541 | 0.0502 | 0.0410 | 0.0529 |
| 2 | 0.0915 | 0.0849 | 0.0694 | 0.0895 |
| 3 | 0.1287 | 0.1194 | 0.0976 | 0.1259 |
| 4 | 0.1688 | 0.1567 | 0.1280 | 0.1652 |
| 5 | 0.2121 | 0.1968 | 0.1608 | 0.2075 |
| 6 | 0.2584 | 0.2398 | 0.1959 | 0.2528 |
| 7 | 0.3081 | 0.2859 | 0.2336 | 0.3014 |
| 8 | 0.3612 | 0.3352 | 0.2738 | 0.3534 |
| 9 | 0.4179 | 0.3878 | 0.3168 | 0.4088 |
| 10 | 0.4782 | 0.4438 | 0.3626 | 0.4679 |
| 11 | 0.5425 | 0.5034 | 0.4113 | 0.5307 |
| 12 | 0.6109 | 0.5669 | 0.4631 | 0.5976 |
| 13 | 0.6836 | 0.6344 | 0.5182 | 0.6687 |
| 14 | 0.7608 | 0.7060 | 0.6390 | 0.7443 |
| 15 | 0.8429 | 0.7822 | 0.7050 | 0.9098 |
| 16 | 0.9300 | 0.8630 | 0.7752 | 1.0004 |
| 17 | 1.0225 | 1.0489 | 0.8497 | 1.0965 |
| 18 | 1.1208 | 1.1369 | 0.9288 | 1.1986 |
| 19 | 1.2251 | 1.2397 | 1.0128 | 1.3069 |
| 20 | 1.3359 | 1.3489 | 1.1019 | 1.4220 |
| 21 | 1.4535 | 1.4648 | 1.1966 | 1.5442 |
| 22 | 1.5784 | 1.5878 | 1.4037 | 1.6738 |
| 23 | 1.7109 | 1.8567 | 1.8114 |  |
| 25 | 2.0007 |  |  | 1.9573 |

PROPOSED FHCF 2016 Mobile Home Rates (Not Yet Approved by FHCF Trustees for Use)
Rates are Dollars per \$1000 of Exposure
Coverage Level: 90\%
Deductible: \$251-\$500

| ZIP Code <br> Group | Fully Tied Down -- Manufactured <br> Prior to 7/13/94 | On or After 7/13/94 | Other than Fully Tied <br> Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{0 . 4 1 0 3}$ | 0.3597 | 0.5666 |  |
| 2 | 0.6944 | 0.6089 | 0.9590 |
| 3 | 0.9767 | 0.8564 | 1.3489 |
| 4 | 1.2815 | 1.1237 | 1.7699 |
| 5 | 1.6096 | 1.4114 | 2.2229 |
| 6 | 1.9617 | 1.7201 | 2.7092 |
| 7 | 2.3387 | 2.0507 | 3.2299 |
| 8 | 2.7416 | 2.4040 | 3.7864 |
| 9 | 3.1716 | 2.7810 | 4.3802 |
| 10 | 3.6298 | 3.1828 | 5.0131 |
| 11 | 4.1177 | 3.6106 | 5.6868 |
| 12 | 4.6367 | 4.0657 | 6.4036 |
| 13 | 5.1884 | 4.5494 | 7.1655 |
| 14 | 5.7747 | 5.0635 | 7.9752 |
| 15 | 6.3974 | 5.6096 | 8.8353 |
| 16 | 7.0588 | 6.1896 | 9.7487 |
| 17 | 7.7612 | 6.8054 | 10.7188 |
| 18 | 8.5070 | 7.4594 | 11.7487 |
| 19 | 9.2989 | 8.1538 | 12.8424 |
| 20 | 10.1397 | 8.8910 | 14.0036 |
| 21 | 11.0324 | 9.6738 | 15.2366 |
| 22 | 11.9802 | 10.5049 | 16.5455 |
| 23 | 12.9862 | 11.3870 | 17.9348 |
| 24 | 14.0537 | 12.3230 | 19.4091 |
| 25 | 15.1859 | 13.3158 | 20.9727 |

PROPOSED FHCF 2016 Mobile Home Rates (Not Yet Approved by FHCF Trustees for Use)
Rates are Dollars per $\$ 1000$ of Exposure
Coverage Level: 75\%
Deductible: \$251-\$500

| ZIP Code <br> Group | Fully Tied Down -- Manufactured <br> Orior to 7/13/94 | Or After 7/13/94 | Other than Fully Tied <br> Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.3419 | 0.2998 | 0.4722 |
| 2 | 0.5786 | 0.5074 | 0.7991 |
| 3 | 0.8139 | 0.7137 | 1.1241 |
| 4 | 1.0679 | 0.9364 | 1.4749 |
| 5 | 1.3413 | 1.1761 | 1.8525 |
| 6 | 1.6347 | 1.4334 | 2.2577 |
| 7 | 1.9489 | 1.7089 | 2.6916 |
| 8 | 2.2847 | 2.0033 | 3.1553 |
| 9 | 2.6430 | 2.3175 | 3.6502 |
| 10 | 3.0249 | 2.6524 | 4.1775 |
| 11 | 3.4314 | 3.0088 | 4.7390 |
| 12 | 3.8639 | 3.3881 | 5.3363 |
| 13 | 4.3237 | 3.7912 | 5.9713 |
| 14 | 4.8122 | 4.2196 | 6.6460 |
| 15 | 5.3312 | 4.6747 | 7.3627 |
| 16 | 5.8824 | 5.1580 | 8.1239 |
| 17 | 6.4677 | 5.6712 | 8.9323 |
| 18 | 7.0892 | 6.2162 | 9.7906 |
| 19 | 7.7491 | 6.7948 | 10.7020 |
| 20 | 8.4498 | 7.4092 | 11.6697 |
| 21 | 9.1937 | 8.0615 | 12.6971 |
| 22 | 9.9835 | 8.7540 | 13.7879 |
| 23 | 10.8218 | 9.4891 | 14.9457 |
| 24 | 11.7114 | 10.2692 | 16.1742 |
| 25 | 12.6549 | 11.0965 | 17.4773 |

PROPOSED FHCF 2016 Mobile Home Rates (Not Yet Approved by FHCF Trustees for Use)
Rates are Dollars per \$1000 of Exposure
Coverage Level: 45\%
Deductible: \$251-\$500

| ZIP Code <br> Group | Fully Tied Down -- Manufactured <br> Prior to 7/13/94 | On or After 7/13/94 | Other than Fully Tied <br> Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{0 . 2 0 5 1}$ | 0.1799 | 0.2833 |  |
| 2 | 0.3472 | 0.3044 | 0.4795 |
| 3 | 0.4883 | 0.4282 | 0.6744 |
| 4 | 0.6408 | 0.5619 | 0.8849 |
| 5 | 0.8048 | 0.7057 | 1.1115 |
| 6 | 0.9808 | 0.8600 | 1.3546 |
| 7 | 1.1693 | 1.0253 | 1.6149 |
| 8 | 1.3708 | 1.2020 | 1.8932 |
| 9 | 1.5858 | 1.3905 | 2.1901 |
| 10 | 1.8149 | 1.5914 | 2.5065 |
| 11 | 2.0588 | 1.8053 | 2.8434 |
| 12 | 2.3183 | 2.0328 | 3.2018 |
| 13 | 2.5942 | 2.2747 | 3.5828 |
| 14 | 2.8873 | 2.5318 | 3.9876 |
| 15 | 3.1987 | 2.8048 | 4.4176 |
| 16 | 3.5294 | 3.0948 | 4.8744 |
| 17 | 3.8806 | 3.4027 | 5.3594 |
| 18 | 4.2535 | 3.7297 | 5.8744 |
| 19 | 4.6494 | 4.0769 | 6.4212 |
| 20 | 5.0699 | 4.4455 | 7.0018 |
| 21 | 5.5162 | 4.8369 | 7.6183 |
| 22 | 5.9901 | 5.2524 | 8.2727 |
| 23 | 6.4931 | 5.6935 | 8.9674 |
| 24 | 7.0268 | 6.1615 | 9.7045 |
| 25 | 7.5929 | 6.6579 | 10.4864 |
|  |  |  |  |

PROPOSED FHCF 2016 Tenants Rates (Not Yet Approved by FHCF Trustees for Use)

## Rates are Dollars per \$1000 of Exposure

## Coverage Level:

90\%
Deductible: \$1-\$500

| ZIP Code Group | Frame | Masonry Veneer | Masonry | Masonry with Reinforced Concrete Roof Deck | Superior | Superior with Reinforced Concrete Roof Deck | Non-MH Default and Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0709 | 0.0674 | 0.0499 | 0.0354 | 0.0326 | 0.0205 | 0.0499 |
| 2 | 0.1200 | 0.1141 | 0.0845 | 0.0600 | 0.0553 | 0.0347 | 0.0844 |
| 3 | 0.1687 | 0.1605 | 0.1189 | 0.0843 | 0.0777 | 0.0487 | 0.1188 |
| 4 | 0.2214 | 0.2106 | 0.1560 | 0.1107 | 0.1020 | 0.0640 | 0.1558 |
| 5 | 0.2781 | 0.2644 | 0.1959 | 0.1390 | 0.1281 | 0.0803 | 0.1957 |
| 6 | 0.3389 | 0.3223 | 0.2388 | 0.1694 | 0.1561 | 0.0979 | 0.2386 |
| 7 | 0.4040 | 0.3842 | 0.2846 | 0.2020 | 0.1861 | 0.1167 | 0.2844 |
| 8 | 0.4736 | 0.4504 | 0.3337 | 0.2368 | 0.2182 | 0.1368 | 0.3334 |
| 9 | 0.5479 | 0.5211 | 0.3860 | 0.2739 | 0.2524 | 0.1583 | 0.3857 |
| 10 | 0.6271 | 0.5964 | 0.4418 | 0.3135 | 0.2889 | 0.1812 | 0.4414 |
| 11 | 0.7114 | 0.6765 | 0.5012 | 0.3556 | 0.3277 | 0.2055 | 0.5008 |
| 12 | 0.8010 | 0.7618 | 0.5643 | 0.4004 | 0.3690 | 0.2314 | 0.5639 |
| 13 | 0.8963 | 0.8524 | 0.6315 | 0.4481 | 0.4129 | 0.2589 | 0.6310 |
| 14 | 0.9976 | 0.9488 | 0.7028 | 0.4987 | 0.4596 | 0.2882 | 0.7023 |
| 15 | 1.1052 | 1.0511 | 0.7786 | 0.5525 | 0.5091 | 0.3193 | 0.7780 |
| 16 | 1.2195 | 1.1597 | 0.8591 | 0.6096 | 0.5618 | 0.3523 | 0.8584 |
| 17 | 1.3408 | 1.2751 | 0.9446 | 0.6703 | 0.6176 | 0.3873 | 0.9439 |
| 18 | 1.4696 | 1.3977 | 1.0354 | 0.7347 | 0.6770 | 0.4246 | 1.0346 |
| 19 | 1.6064 | 1.5278 | 1.1318 | 0.8031 | 0.7400 | 0.4641 | 1.1309 |
| 20 | 1.7517 | 1.6659 | 1.2341 | 0.8757 | 0.8069 | 0.5061 | 1.2331 |
| 21 | 1.9059 | 1.8126 | 1.3428 | 0.9528 | 0.8780 | 0.5506 | 1.3417 |
| 22 | 2.0697 | 1.9683 | 1.4581 | 1.0346 | 0.9534 | 0.5979 | 1.4569 |
| 23 | 2.2435 | 2.1336 | 1.5806 | 1.1215 | 1.0335 | 0.6481 | 1.5793 |
| 24 | 2.4279 | 2.3090 | 1.7105 | 1.2137 | 1.1184 | 0.7014 | 1.7091 |
| 25 | 2.6235 | 2.4950 | 1.8483 | 1.3115 | 1.2085 | 0.7579 | 1.8468 |

PROPOSED FHCF 2016 Tenants Rates (Not Yet Approved by FHCF Trustees for Use)

## Rates are Dollars per \$1000 of Exposure

## Coverage Level:

75\%
Deductible: \$1-\$500

| ZIP Code <br> Group | Frame | Masonry Veneer |  | Masonry with <br> Reinforced Concrete | Sasonry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

PROPOSED FHCF 2016 Tenants Rates (Not Yet Approved by FHCF Trustees for Use)
Rates are Dollars per \$1000 of Exposure
Coverage Level:
45\%
Deductible: \$1-\$500

| ZIP Code Group | Frame | Masonry Veneer | Masonry | Masonry with Reinforced Concrete Roof Deck | Superior | Superior with Reinforced Concrete Roof Deck | Non-MH Default and Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0354 | 0.0337 | 0.0250 | 0.0177 | 0.0163 | 0.0102 | 0.0249 |
| 2 | 0.0600 | 0.0570 | 0.0423 | 0.0300 | 0.0276 | 0.0173 | 0.0422 |
| 3 | 0.0844 | 0.0802 | 0.0594 | 0.0422 | 0.0389 | 0.0244 | 0.0594 |
| 4 | 0.1107 | 0.1053 | 0.0780 | 0.0553 | 0.0510 | 0.0320 | 0.0779 |
| 5 | 0.1390 | 0.1322 | 0.0980 | 0.0695 | 0.0640 | 0.0402 | 0.0979 |
| 6 | 0.1694 | 0.1611 | 0.1194 | 0.0847 | 0.0781 | 0.0490 | 0.1193 |
| 7 | 0.2020 | 0.1921 | 0.1423 | 0.1010 | 0.0931 | 0.0584 | 0.1422 |
| 8 | 0.2368 | 0.2252 | 0.1668 | 0.1184 | 0.1091 | 0.0684 | 0.1667 |
| 9 | 0.2740 | 0.2605 | 0.1930 | 0.1369 | 0.1262 | 0.0791 | 0.1929 |
| 10 | 0.3135 | 0.2982 | 0.2209 | 0.1567 | 0.1444 | 0.0906 | 0.2207 |
| 11 | 0.3557 | 0.3383 | 0.2506 | 0.1778 | 0.1638 | 0.1028 | 0.2504 |
| 12 | 0.4005 | 0.3809 | 0.2822 | 0.2002 | 0.1845 | 0.1157 | 0.2819 |
| 13 | 0.4482 | 0.4262 | 0.3157 | 0.2240 | 0.2064 | 0.1295 | 0.3155 |
| 14 | 0.4988 | 0.4744 | 0.3514 | 0.2493 | 0.2298 | 0.1441 | 0.3511 |
| 15 | 0.5526 | 0.5255 | 0.3893 | 0.2762 | 0.2546 | 0.1596 | 0.3890 |
| 16 | 0.6097 | 0.5799 | 0.4296 | 0.3048 | 0.2809 | 0.1761 | 0.4292 |
| 17 | 0.6704 | 0.6376 | 0.4723 | 0.3351 | 0.3088 | 0.1937 | 0.4719 |
| 18 | 0.7348 | 0.6988 | 0.5177 | 0.3673 | 0.3385 | 0.2123 | 0.5173 |
| 19 | 0.8032 | 0.7639 | 0.5659 | 0.4015 | 0.3700 | 0.2320 | 0.5654 |
| 20 | 0.8759 | 0.8330 | 0.6171 | 0.4378 | 0.4035 | 0.2530 | 0.6166 |
| 21 | 0.9530 | 0.9063 | 0.6714 | 0.4764 | 0.4390 | 0.2753 | 0.6708 |
| 22 | 1.0348 | 0.9842 | 0.7291 | 0.5173 | 0.4767 | 0.2990 | 0.7285 |
| 23 | 1.1217 | 1.0668 | 0.7903 | 0.5607 | 0.5167 | 0.3241 | 0.7896 |
| 24 | 1.2139 | 1.1545 | 0.8552 | 0.6068 | 0.5592 | 0.3507 | 0.8545 |
| 25 | 1.3117 | 1.2475 | 0.9241 | 0.6557 | 0.6043 | 0.3789 | 0.9234 |

PROPOSED FHCF 2016 Condominium Unit Owners Rates (Not Yet Approved by FHCF Trustees for Use)
Rates are Dollars per \$1000 of Exposure
Coverage Level:
Deductible: \$1-\$500

| ZIP Code Group | Frame | Masonry Veneer | Masonry | Masonry with Reinforced Concrete Roof Deck | Superior | Superior with Reinforced Concrete Roof Deck | Non-MH Default and Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1294 | 0.1107 | 0.0877 | 0.0521 | 0.0550 | 0.0314 | 0.0864 |
| 2 | 0.2189 | 0.1873 | 0.1485 | 0.0881 | 0.0931 | 0.0532 | 0.1462 |
| 3 | 0.3080 | 0.2635 | 0.2089 | 0.1239 | 0.1310 | 0.0748 | 0.2056 |
| 4 | 0.4041 | 0.3458 | 0.2740 | 0.1626 | 0.1719 | 0.0981 | 0.2698 |
| 5 | 0.5075 | 0.4343 | 0.3442 | 0.2042 | 0.2159 | 0.1233 | 0.3388 |
| 6 | 0.6186 | 0.5293 | 0.4195 | 0.2489 | 0.2632 | 0.1502 | 0.4130 |
| 7 | 0.7374 | 0.6310 | 0.5001 | 0.2968 | 0.3137 | 0.1791 | 0.4923 |
| 8 | 0.8645 | 0.7397 | 0.5863 | 0.3479 | 0.3678 | 0.2099 | 0.5771 |
| 9 | 1.0001 | 0.8557 | 0.6782 | 0.4024 | 0.4255 | 0.2429 | 0.6677 |
| 10 | 1.1446 | 0.9793 | 0.7762 | 0.4606 | 0.4869 | 0.2780 | 0.7641 |
| 11 | 1.2984 | 1.1110 | 0.8805 | 0.5225 | 0.5524 | 0.3153 | 0.8668 |
| 12 | 1.4620 | 1.2510 | 0.9915 | 0.5883 | 0.6220 | 0.3551 | 0.9761 |
| 13 | 1.6360 | 1.3998 | 1.1095 | 0.6583 | 0.6960 | 0.3973 | 1.0922 |
| 14 | 1.8209 | 1.5580 | 1.2348 | 0.7327 | 0.7747 | 0.4422 | 1.2156 |
| 15 | 2.0172 | 1.7261 | 1.3680 | 0.8118 | 0.8582 | 0.4899 | 1.3467 |
| 16 | 2.2258 | 1.9045 | 1.5094 | 0.8957 | 0.9469 | 0.5405 | 1.4860 |
| 17 | 2.4473 | 2.0940 | 1.6596 | 0.9848 | 1.0411 | 0.5943 | 1.6338 |
| 18 | 2.6824 | 2.2952 | 1.8191 | 1.0794 | 1.1412 | 0.6514 | 1.7908 |
| 19 | 2.9321 | 2.5089 | 1.9885 | 1.1799 | 1.2474 | 0.7121 | 1.9575 |
| 20 | 3.1973 | 2.7357 | 2.1683 | 1.2866 | 1.3602 | 0.7765 | 2.1345 |
| 21 | 3.4788 | 2.9766 | 2.3592 | 1.3999 | 1.4800 | 0.8448 | 2.3225 |
| 22 | 3.7776 | 3.2323 | 2.5618 | 1.5201 | 1.6071 | 0.9174 | 2.5220 |
| 23 | 4.0948 | 3.5037 | 2.7769 | 1.6478 | 1.7421 | 0.9944 | 2.7337 |
| 24 | 4.4314 | 3.7917 | 3.0052 | 1.7832 | 1.8853 | 1.0762 | 2.9585 |
| 25 | 4.7884 | 4.0972 | 3.2473 | 1.9269 | 2.0371 | 1.1629 | 3.1968 |

PROPOSED FHCF 2016 Condominium Unit Owners Rates (Not Yet Approved by FHCF Trustees for Use)
Rates are Dollars per $\$ 1000$ of Exposure
Coverage Level:
75\%
Deductible: \$1-\$500

| ZIP Code Group | Frame | Masonry Veneer | Masonry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1078 | 0.0922 | 0.0731 |
| 2 | 0.1825 | 0.1561 | 0.1237 |
| 3 | 0.2566 | 0.2196 | 0.1740 |
| 4 | 0.3367 | 0.2881 | 0.2284 |
| 5 | 0.4229 | 0.3619 | 0.2868 |
| 6 | 0.5155 | 0.4411 | 0.3496 |
| 7 | 0.6145 | 0.5258 | 0.4167 |
| 8 | 0.7204 | 0.6164 | 0.4886 |
| 9 | 0.8334 | 0.7131 | 0.5652 |
| 10 | 0.9538 | 0.8161 | 0.6468 |
| 11 | 1.0820 | 0.9258 | 0.7338 |
| 12 | 1.2184 | 1.0425 | 0.8262 |
| 13 | 1.3633 | 1.1665 | 0.9246 |
| 14 | 1.5174 | 1.2984 | 1.0290 |
| 15 | 1.6810 | 1.4384 | 1.1400 |
| 16 | 1.8548 | 1.5871 | 1.2579 |
| 17 | 2.0394 | 1.7450 | 1.3830 |
| 18 | 2.2354 | 1.9127 | 1.5159 |
| 19 | 2.4435 | 2.0907 | 1.6570 |
| 20 | 2.6644 | 2.2798 | 1.8069 |
| 21 | 2.8990 | 2.4805 | 1.9660 |
| 22 | 3.1480 | 2.6936 | 2.1348 |
| 23 | 3.4124 | 2.9198 | 2.3141 |
| 24 | 3.6929 | 3.1598 | 2.5043 |
| 25 | 3.9904 | 3.4143 | 2.7061 |


| Masonry with <br> Reinforced Concrete <br> Roof Deck | Superior |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.0434 | 0.0459 |
| 0.0734 | 0.0776 |
| 0.1033 | 0.1092 |
| 0.1355 | 0.1433 |
| 0.1702 | 0.1799 |
| 0.2074 | 0.2193 |
| 0.2473 | 0.2614 |
| 0.2899 | 0.3065 |
| 0.3354 | 0.3546 |
| 0.3838 | 0.4058 |
| 0.4354 | 0.4603 |
| 0.4903 | 0.5183 |
| 0.5486 | 0.5800 |
| 0.6106 | 0.6455 |
| 0.6765 | 0.7152 |
| 0.7464 | 0.7891 |
| 0.8207 | 0.8676 |
| 0.8995 | 0.9510 |
| 0.9833 | 1.0395 |
| 1.0722 | 1.1335 |
| 1.1666 | 1.2333 |
| 1.2668 | 1.3393 |
| 1.3732 | 1.4517 |
| 1.4860 | 1.5711 |
| 1.6058 | 1.6976 |

Superior with
Reinforced Concrete Non-MH Default

## Roof Deck

| 0.0262 | 0.0720 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.0443 | 0.1218 |
| 0.0623 | 0.1713 |
| 0.0818 | 0.2248 |
| 0.1027 | 0.2824 |
| 0.1252 | 0.3441 |
| 0.1492 | 0.4103 |
| 0.1750 | 0.4810 |
| 0.2024 | 0.5564 |
| 0.2316 | 0.6368 |
| 0.2628 | 0.7224 |
| 0.2959 | 0.8134 |
| 0.3311 | 0.9102 |
| 0.3685 | 1.0130 |
| 0.4082 | 1.1223 |
| 0.4504 | 1.2383 |
| 0.4953 | 1.3615 |
| 0.5429 | 1.4923 |
| 0.5934 | 1.6313 |
| 0.6470 | 1.7788 |
| 0.7040 | 1.9354 |
| 0.7645 | 2.1016 |
| 0.8287 | 2.2781 |
| 0.8968 | 2.4654 |
| 0.9691 | 2.6640 |

PROPOSED FHCF 2016 Condominium Unit Owners Rates (Not Yet Approved by FHCF Trustees for Use)
Rates are Dollars per $\$ 1000$ of Exposure
Coverage Level:
45\%
Deductible: \$1-\$500
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccccc}\begin{array}{c}\text { ZIP Code } \\ \text { Group }\end{array} & \text { Frame } & \text { Masonry Veneer } & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Masonry with } \\ \text { Reinforced Concrete } \\ \text { Roof Deck }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Superior with } \\ \text { Reinforced Concrete }\end{array} \\ \text { Roof Deck }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Non-MH Default } \\ \text { and Unknown }\end{array}\right]$

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund

2016 Ratemaking Formula Report
Windstorm Mitigation Construction Rating Classification Factors

```
To Calculate the Final FHCF Rate for a risk:
Preliminary factor = (year built factor) x (roof shape factor) x (opening protection factor)
Capped factor* = Preliminary Factor
Final rate =(Base rate) }\times\mathrm{ (Capped factor) }\times\mathrm{ (On balance factor)
*Capped factor = 100% of Preliminary Factor (i.e. no cap in current factors)
```



[^1]
## EXHIBIT

## XV

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2015 FHCF Exposure and Risks as of $2 / 1 / 16$

Total Exposure

| 2016 FHCF <br> Rating Region | Commercial | Residential | Mobile Home | Tenants | CondominiumOwners | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3,866,368,093 | 193,155,323,170 | 4,665,005,437 | 2,731,846,412 | 2,498,410,516 | 206,916,953,628 |
| 2 | 910,757,201 | 70,789,304,788 | 1,820,852,237 | 680,494,198 | 1,228,291,198 | 75,429,699,622 |
| 3 | 5,840,688,957 | 225,775,868,801 | 3,773,810,139 | 3,027,556,098 | 3,837,513,548 | 242,255,437,543 |
| 4 | 6,249,394,164 | 253,415,989,965 | 4,010,171,745 | 3,174,233,471 | 3,508,375,752 | 270,358,165,097 |
| 5 | 4,095,066,957 | 108,965,347,198 | 4,049,253,583 | 1,173,048,160 | 2,512,314,393 | 120,795,030,291 |
| 6 | 5,158,187,929 | 97,351,607,596 | 1,676,674,346 | 1,175,005,367 | 3,152,173,051 | 108,513,648,289 |
| 7 | 8,061,665,935 | 94,878,798,666 | 2,064,043,649 | 1,142,920,916 | 4,956,296,499 | 111,103,725,665 |
| 8 | 7,996,058,821 | 79,105,345,123 | 528,656,253 | 943,605,262 | 5,190,246,354 | 93,763,911,813 |
| 9 | 6,628,073,613 | 64,962,961,161 | 695,717,553 | 846,466,679 | 4,333,928,135 | 77,467,147,141 |
| 10 | 5,890,199,010 | 71,585,566,599 | 301,694,562 | 812,275,916 | 4,444,943,620 | 83,034,679,707 |
| 11 | 20,390,134,949 | 118,231,931,084 | 771,691,793 | 1,610,593,113 | 9,947,787,754 | 150,952,138,693 |
| 12 | 10,695,435,224 | 73,585,815,996 | 230,628,313 | 935,772,716 | 4,972,483,795 | 90,420,136,044 |
| 13 | 17,223,086,595 | 84,000,348,180 | 631,121,771 | 866,657,019 | 6,215,662,908 | 108,936,876,473 |
| 14 | 8,078,413,759 | 46,351,297,201 | 257,361,083 | 425,859,118 | 3,619,614,417 | 58,732,545,578 |
| 15 | 4,815,393,369 | 33,513,072,183 | 75,799,041 | 386,585,626 | 2,739,097,291 | 41,529,947,510 |
| 16 | 3,763,371,393 | 21,893,025,064 | 76,939,164 | 198,697,003 | 1,854,579,910 | 27,786,612,534 |
| 17 | 2,212,730,737 | 14,600,945,773 | 69,885,582 | 159,615,124 | 552,240,473 | 17,595,417,689 |
| 18 | 7,001,123,921 | 38,155,768,262 | 80,179,466 | 416,324,676 | 3,251,613,687 | 48,905,010,012 |
| 19 | 5,712,292,461 | 18,486,678,871 | 8,693,453 | 397,483,746 | 3,057,067,115 | 27,662,215,646 |
| 20 | 4,819,706,782 | 11,046,626,255 | 13,911,773 | 336,639,390 | 2,299,233,452 | 18,516,117,652 |
| 21 | 9,194,823,261 | 15,174,699,017 | 52,847,978 | 374,211,801 | 4,252,268,277 | 29,048,850,334 |
| 22 | 7,375,734,879 | 8,165,579,020 | - | 311,644,859 | 3,750,584,163 | 19,603,542,921 |
| 23 | 5,603,869,479 | 3,461,615,034 | - | 153,842,559 | 2,485,285,801 | 11,704,612,873 |
| 24 | 5,790,812,191 | 6,861,010,660 | 26,679,858 | 179,529,633 | 2,267,260,356 | 15,125,292,698 |
| 25 | 2,377,677,278 | 1,884,153,773 | 6,851,247 | 47,298,675 | 1,191,301,369 | 5,507,282,342 |
| Total | \$169,751,066,958 | \$1,755,398,679,440 | \$25,888,470,026 | \$22,508,207,537 | \$88,118,573,834 | \$2,061,664,997,795 |
| 1-5 | \$20,962,275,372 | \$852,101,833,922 | \$18,319,093,141 | \$10,787,178,339 | \$13,584,905,407 | \$915,755,286,181 |
| 6-10 | \$33,734,185,308 | \$407,884,279,145 | \$5,266,786,363 | \$4,920,274,140 | \$22,077,587,659 | \$473,883,112,615 |
| 11-15 | \$61,202,463,896 | \$355,682,464,644 | \$1,966,602,001 | \$4,225,467,592 | \$27,494,646,165 | \$450,571,644,298 |
| 16-20 | \$23,509,225,294 | \$104,183,044,225 | \$249,609,438 | \$1,508,759,939 | \$11,014,734,637 | \$140,465,373,533 |
| 21-25 | \$30,342,917,088 | \$35,547,057,504 | \$86,379,083 | \$1,066,527,527 | \$13,946,699,966 | \$80,989,581,168 |
| \% of Total within Type of Business |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-5 | 12.3\% | 48.5\% | 70.8\% | 47.9\% | 15.4\% | 44.4\% |
| 6-10 | 19.9\% | 23.2\% | 20.3\% | 21.9\% | 25.1\% | 23.0\% |
| 11-15 | 36.1\% | 20.3\% | 7.6\% | 18.8\% | 31.2\% | 21.9\% |
| 16-20 | 13.8\% | 5.9\% | 1.0\% | 6.7\% | 12.5\% | 6.8\% |
| 21-25 | 17.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.3\% | 4.7\% | 15.8\% | 3.9\% |
| \% of Total within Territory |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 8.2\% | 85.1\% | 1.3\% | 1.1\% | 4.3\% | 100.0\% |
| 1-5 | 2.3\% | 93.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.5\% | 100.0\% |
| 6-10 | 7.1\% | 86.1\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 4.7\% | 100.0\% |
| 11-15 | 13.6\% | 78.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 6.1\% | 100.0\% |
| 16-20 | 16.7\% | 74.2\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 7.8\% | 100.0\% |
| 21-25 | 37.5\% | 43.9\% | 0.1\% | 1.3\% | 17.2\% | 100.0\% |

> Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund
> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2015 FHCF Exposure and Risks as of 2/1/16

|  | Total Risks |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 FHCF |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating |  |  | Mobile |  | Condominium- |  |
| Region | Commercial | Residential | Home | Tenants | Owners | Total |
| 1 | 4,192 | 494,806 | 60,583 | 112,462 | 24,084 | 696,127 |
| 2 | 1,321 | 180,433 | 24,132 | 23,392 | 9,890 | 239,168 |
| 3 | 6,799 | 551,308 | 46,562 | 120,947 | 37,079 | 762,695 |
| 4 | 8,548 | 614,635 | 51,075 | 129,840 | 35,401 | 839,499 |
| 5 | 7,786 | 308,200 | 50,089 | 47,749 | 27,126 | 440,950 |
| 6 | 8,328 | 262,814 | 24,266 | 49,075 | 33,606 | 378,089 |
| 7 | 10,169 | 244,800 | 28,193 | 49,895 | 49,767 | 382,824 |
| 8 | 10,462 | 210,953 | 9,104 | 36,940 | 53,723 | 321,182 |
| 9 | 9,061 | 161,455 | 11,454 | 32,294 | 38,291 | 252,555 |
| 10 | 8,031 | 160,930 | 5,025 | 30,320 | 39,893 | 244,199 |
| 11 | 20,494 | 259,099 | 10,398 | 62,831 | 108,523 | 461,345 |
| 12 | 10,428 | 159,989 | 3,242 | 34,508 | 54,940 | 263,107 |
| 13 | 14,595 | 210,817 | 8,125 | 36,301 | 73,405 | 343,243 |
| 14 | 8,322 | 145,597 | 4,138 | 17,812 | 31,831 | 207,700 |
| 15 | 5,430 | 83,173 | 1,391 | 12,847 | 19,434 | 122,275 |
| 16 | 3,692 | 42,692 | 1,293 | 4,827 | 14,730 | 67,234 |
| 17 | 2,777 | 37,074 | 1,164 | 7,027 | 7,329 | 55,371 |
| 18 | 5,758 | 84,607 | 1,604 | 13,312 | 28,761 | 134,042 |
| 19 | 5,155 | 35,500 | 139 | 12,288 | 24,670 | 77,752 |
| 20 | 3,088 | 23,550 | 416 | 9,818 | 15,071 | 51,943 |
| 21 | 4,026 | 20,442 | 1,014 | 8,713 | 30,042 | 64,237 |
| 22 | 2,987 | 13,789 | - | 7,322 | 23,257 | 47,355 |
| 23 | 1,209 | 4,306 | - | 3,417 | 13,376 | 22,308 |
| 24 | 2,656 | 8,110 | 684 | 4,055 | 12,637 | 28,142 |
| 25 | 341 | 2,405 | 164 | 594 | 2,562 | 6,066 |
| Total | 165,655 | 4,321,484 | 344,255 | 868,586 | 809,428 | 6,509,408 |
| 1-5 | 28,646 | 2,149,382 | 232,441 | 434,390 | 133,580 | 2,978,439 |
| 6-10 | 46,051 | 1,040,952 | 78,042 | 198,524 | 215,280 | 1,578,849 |
| 11-15 | 59,269 | 858,675 | 27,294 | 164,299 | 288,133 | 1,397,670 |
| 16-20 | 20,470 | 223,423 | 4,616 | 47,272 | 90,561 | 386,342 |
| 21-25 | 11,219 | 49,052 | 1,862 | 24,101 | 81,874 | 168,108 |
| \% of Total within Type of Business |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-5 | 17.3\% | 49.7\% | 67.5\% | 50.0\% | 16.5\% | 45.8\% |
| 6-10 | 27.8\% | 24.1\% | 22.7\% | 22.9\% | 26.6\% | 24.3\% |
| 11-15 | 35.8\% | 19.9\% | 7.9\% | 18.9\% | 35.6\% | 21.5\% |
| 16-20 | 12.4\% | 5.2\% | 1.3\% | 5.4\% | 11.2\% | 5.9\% |
| 21-25 | 6.8\% | 1.1\% | 0.5\% | 2.8\% | 10.1\% | 2.6\% |
| \% of Total within Territory |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2.5\% | 66.4\% | 5.3\% | 13.3\% | 12.4\% | 100.0\% |
| 1-5 | 1.0\% | 72.2\% | 7.8\% | 14.6\% | 4.5\% | 100.0\% |
| 6-10 | 2.9\% | 65.9\% | 4.9\% | 12.6\% | 13.6\% | 100.0\% |
| 11-15 | 4.2\% | 61.4\% | 2.0\% | 11.8\% | 20.6\% | 100.0\% |
| 16-20 | 5.3\% | 57.8\% | 1.2\% | 12.2\% | 23.4\% | 100.0\% |
| 21-25 | 6.7\% | 29.2\% | 1.1\% | 14.3\% | 48.7\% | 100.0\% |

> Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund
> 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2015 FHCF Exposure and Risks as of 2/1/16

|  | Averages |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 FHCF <br> Rating <br> Region | Commercial | Residential | Mobile Home | Tenants | Condominium- Owners |
| 1 | 922,321 | 390,366 | 77,002 | 24,291 | 103,737 |
| 2 | 689,445 | 392,330 | 75,454 | 29,091 | 124,195 |
| 3 | 859,051 | 409,528 | 81,049 | 25,032 | 103,496 |
| 4 | 731,094 | 412,303 | 78,515 | 24,447 | 99,104 |
| 5 | 525,953 | 353,554 | 80,841 | 24,567 | 92,616 |
| 6 | 619,379 | 370,420 | 69,096 | 23,943 | 93,798 |
| 7 | 792,769 | 387,577 | 73,211 | 22,907 | 99,590 |
| 8 | 764,295 | 374,990 | 58,069 | 25,544 | 96,611 |
| 9 | 731,495 | 402,360 | 60,740 | 26,211 | 113,184 |
| 10 | 733,433 | 444,824 | 60,039 | 26,790 | 111,422 |
| 11 | 994,932 | 456,320 | 74,215 | 25,634 | 91,665 |
| 12 | 1,025,646 | 459,943 | 71,138 | 27,118 | 90,508 |
| 13 | 1,180,068 | 398,451 | 77,677 | 23,874 | 84,676 |
| 14 | 970,730 | 318,353 | 62,195 | 23,909 | 113,713 |
| 15 | 886,813 | 402,932 | 54,492 | 30,092 | 140,944 |
| 16 | 1,019,331 | 512,813 | 59,504 | 41,164 | 125,905 |
| 17 | 796,806 | 393,832 | 60,039 | 22,715 | 75,350 |
| 18 | 1,215,895 | 450,976 | 49,987 | 31,274 | 113,056 |
| 19 | 1,108,107 | 520,752 | 62,543 | 32,347 | 123,918 |
| 20 | 1,560,786 | 469,071 | 33,442 | 34,288 | 152,560 |
| 21 | 2,283,861 | 742,329 | 52,118 | 42,949 | 141,544 |
| 22 | 2,469,278 | 592,181 |  | 42,563 | 161,267 |
| 23 | 4,635,128 | 803,905 | - | 45,023 | 185,802 |
| 24 | 2,180,276 | 845,994 | 39,006 | 44,274 | 179,414 |
| 25 | 6,972,661 | 783,432 | 41,776 | 79,627 | 464,989 |
| Total | \$1,024,726 | \$406,203 | \$75,201 | \$25,914 | \$108,865 |
| 1-5 | \$731,770 | \$396,440 | \$78,812 | \$24,833 | \$101,699 |
| 6-10 | \$732,540 | \$391,838 | \$67,487 | \$24,784 | \$102,553 |
| 11-15 | \$1,032,622 | \$414,222 | \$72,053 | \$25,718 | \$95,423 |
| 16-20 | \$1,148,472 | \$466,304 | \$54,075 | \$31,917 | \$121,628 |
| 21-25 | \$2,704,601 | \$724,681 | \$46,390 | \$44,252 | \$170,343 |

## EXHIBIT

XVI

Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report 2016 Residential Masonry Base Premium (2\% Deductible) Comparison Prior to Application of Premium Credits/Surcharges

\% Change in Rates

|  | Maximum Decrease Maximum Increase |  | $\begin{gathered} -40.21 \% \\ 71.27 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Threshold | Count of ZIP Codes | Percentage of Zip Codes in Group | Residential Exposure (in 000's) | Percentage of Res Exposure in Group | Residential Exposure Risk Counts (Houses) | Percentage of Risk Counts in Group |
| Greater Than -25\% | 27 | 1.85\% | 25,196,458 | 1.62\% | 56,518 | 1.51\% |
| -25\% -20\% | 56 | 3.83\% | 76,366,159 | 4.92\% | 179,242 | 4.78\% |
| -20\% -10\% | 470 | 32.17\% | 555,572,653 | 35.80\% | 1,354,597 | 36.15\% |
| -10\% 0\% | 190 | 13.00\% | 172,923,876 | 11.14\% | 392,875 | 10.49\% |
| 0\% 5\% | 660 | 45.17\% | 691,630,005 | 44.56\% | 1,697,958 | 45.32\% |
| 5\% 10\% | 1 | 0.07\% | 1,189,347 | 0.08\% | 2,008 | 0.05\% |
| 10\% 20\% | 36 | 2.46\% | 14,729,086 | 0.95\% | 28,733 | 0.77\% |
| Greater Than 20\% | 21 | 1.44\% | 14,436,960 | 0.93\% | 34,721 | 0.93\% |
| New ZIP Codes in 2016 | 1,461 | 100.00\% | 1,552,044,544 | 100.00\% | 3,746,652 | 100.00\% |
|  | 0 | 0.00\% | - - | 0.00\% | - | 0.00\% |
|  | 1,461 | 100.00\% | 1,552,044,544 | 100.00\% | 3,746,652 | 100.00\% |

## \$ Change in Rates

| Maximum Decrease Maximum Increase |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & (\$ 78.93) \\ & \$ 100.96 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $$ | Count of ZIP Codes | Percentage of Zip Codes in Group | Residential Exposure (in 000's) | Percentage of Res Exposure in Group | Residential Exposure Risk Counts (Houses) | Percentage of Risk Counts in Group |
| -\$80 -\$60 | 40 | 2.74\% | 19,729,786 | 1.27\% | 32,275 | 0.86\% |
| -\$60 -\$40 | 150 | 10.27\% | 153,194,090 | 9.87\% | 360,600 | 9.62\% |
| -\$40 -\$20 | 553 | 37.85\% | 657,135,270 | 42.34\% | 1,590,357 | 42.45\% |
| -\$20 \$0 | 0 | 0.00\% | - | 0.00\% | - | 0.00\% |
| \$0 \$5 | 550 | 37.65\% | 583,820,607 | 37.62\% | 1,431,584 | 38.21\% |
| \$5 \$10 | 99 | 6.78\% | 102,440,267 | 6.60\% | 258,217 | 6.89\% |
| \$10 \$50 | 49 | 3.35\% | 28,652,441 | 1.85\% | 61,426 | 1.64\% |
| \$50 \$105 | 20 | 1.37\% | 7,072,083 | 0.46\% | 12,193 | 0.33\% |
| New ZIP Codes in 2016 | 1,461 | 100.00\% | 1,552,044,544 | 100.00\% | 3,746,652 | 100.00\% |
|  | 0 | 0.00\% | - | 0.00\% | - | 0.00\% |
|  | 1,461 | 100.00\% | 1,552,044,544 | 100.00\% | 3,746,652 | 100.00\% |

*Exposure Assumptions

| Coverages: | $\$$ | 244 | Building Value |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| (in thousands) | $\$$ | 24 | Appurtenant Structures |
|  | $\$$ | 122 | Contents |
|  | $\$$ | 24 | Additional Living Expense |
|  | $\$$ | 414 | FHCF Exposure |

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund

 Proposed 2016 Percentage Rate Change by 5-Digit ZIP Code Entire State

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund

 Proposed 2016 Dollar Rate Change by 5-Digit ZIP Code Entire State

## EXHIBIT

XVII

# Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund 2016 Ratemaking Premium Formula Report Exhibit XVII - Risk Transfer Options Formula 

The rates presented in this report do not include a loading for the cost of risk transfer. Should the FHCF enter into a risk transfer arrangement, the impact of the cost shall be determined, and the 2016-2017 FHCF premium rates and factors would be accordingly adjusted, by using the formula specified in this Exhibit.

The estimates for FHCF loss credits are based on the average of the AIR and RMS data distributions in Exhibit VIII. Exhibit XVII is based on the same loss severity distribution and displays probability of exceedance for specific FHCF layers with the adjustments to the FHCF loss layer level prior to fixed expenses. These values are used to illustrate a range of potential risk transfer structures and costs on page 3 of this Exhibit. Revised factors are presented on pages $4-5$ of this Exhibit.

To adjust the FHCF premium/rates to account for the impact of a future risk transfer arrangement, if any, the rates presented in this 2016 Ratemaking Formula Report would be adjusted by a Risk Transfer Adjustment Factor (RTAF):

$$
\text { Amended FHCF Rate }=\text { Original FHCF Rate } \times \text { RTAF }
$$

The details of the formula calculation are provided below.

## Definitions

1. Amended FHCF Rate: Original FHCF Rate $\times$ RTAF
2. Amended FHCF Rate Change: FHCF Current Rate Change $\times$ RTAF
3. Amended FHCF Projected Payout Multiple: FHCF Current Projected Payout Multiple/RTAF
4. Amended FHCF Retention Multiple: FHCF Current Retention Multiple/RTAF
5. $\mathrm{AP}=$ Amended FHCF Premium: OP $\times$ RTAF
6. CBF: Cash Build-up Factor [ $25 \%$ or .25 for the 2016 Contract Year]
7. ELC: Expected Loss Credit
8. NRCP: Net Risk Transfer Cost Premium = (RTC-(ELC $\times(1+C B F))$
9. OP= Original FHCF Premium: $\$ 1,124,515,497$ for the 2016 Contract Year [Exhibit II, line 73]
10. $\mathrm{RTAF}=(\mathrm{OP}+\mathrm{NRCP}) / \mathrm{OP}$
11. RTC: Risk Transfer Costs

## Calculation of the Expected Loss Credit (ELC)

The ELC is calculated, based on the Modeled Adjusted Loss Severity Distributions in Exhibit XVII, as ELC = ((P(LA)+P(LE)/2))x(LE - LA))x TUP, whereas:

1. LA : Layer Attachment
2. LE: Layer Exhaustion
3. $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{LA})$ : probability of exceedance for Layer Attachment
4. $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{LE})$ : probability of exceedance for Layer Exhaustion
5. TUP : True Up Factor = FHCF Losses Prior to expenses (Exhibit II, Line 23) / Exhibit XVII expected Losses $=837,789,110 / 772,139,714=1.085022691$

## Example of RTAF Calculation

Risk Transfer of \$500 Million excess of \$12.500 Billion purchased for 5\% Rate on Line (\$25 million)

- $\quad$ RTC $=25,000,000$
- Layer Attachment: $\$ 12,500,000,000, \mathrm{P}(L A)=2.08825 \%$
- Layer Exhaustion: \$13,000,000,000, P(LE) $=1.92400 \%$
- $\quad$ ELC $=((.0208825+.0192400) / 2) \times(13,000,000,000-12,500,000,000)) \times 1.085022691=$ 10,883,456
- $\quad$ NRCP $=25,000,000-(10,883,456 \times 1.25)=\$ 11,395,680$

RTAF $=(1,124,515,497+11,395,680) / 1,124,515,497=1.010133858$

## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund

2016 Ratemaking Formula Report
Expected Loss and Premium by Layer
Based on Avg (AIR,RMS) Trended 2015 Zip Code Loss Data and 2016 Per Company Estimated Limits and Retentions

| Aggregate |  |  | Expected Loss |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FHCF Loss Level | Return Time | $\operatorname{Prob}($ Exceed $)$ | Company Ret, Lim | Adjust loss prior to Fixed expense (Expected Loss Credits) |
| 0 | 3.4 | 29.16850\% | 2,495,637 | 2,707,823 |
| 10,000,000 | 4.8 | 20.74425\% | 17,073,112 | 18,524,714 |
| 100,000,000 | 5.8 | 17.19600\% | 24,289,500 | 26,354,659 |
| 250,000,000 | 6.6 | 15.19000\% | 35,512,188 | 38,531,529 |
| 500,000,000 | 7.6 | 13.21975\% | 60,586,250 | 65,737,456 |
| 1,000,000,000 | 9.1 | 11.01475\% | 98,310,000 | 106,668,581 |
| 2,000,000,000 | 11.6 | 8.64725\% | 79,535,000 | 86,297,280 |
| 3,000,000,000 | 13.8 | 7.25975\% | 67,742,500 | 73,502,150 |
| 4,000,000,000 | 15.9 | 6.28875\% | 59,202,500 | 64,236,056 |
| 5,000,000,000 | 18.0 | 5.55175\% | 51,973,750 | 56,392,698 |
| 6,000,000,000 | 20.6 | 4.84300\% | 45,945,000 | 49,851,368 |
| 7,000,000,000 | 23.0 | 4.34600\% | 40,765,000 | 44,230,950 |
| 8,000,000,000 | 26.3 | 3.80700\% | 35,781,250 | 38,823,468 |
| 9,000,000,000 | 29.9 | 3.34925\% | 31,567,500 | 34,251,454 |
| 10,000,000,000 | 33.7 | 2.96425\% | 27,868,750 | 30,238,226 |
| 11,000,000,000 | 38.3 | 2.60950\% | 12,563,750 | 13,631,954 |
| 11,500,000,000 | 41.4 | 2.41600\% | 11,673,750 | 12,666,284 |
| 12,000,000,000 | 44.4 | 2.25350\% | 10,854,375 | 11,777,243 |
| 12,500,000,000 | 47.9 | 2.08825\% | 10,030,625 | 10,883,456 |
| 13,000,000,000 | 52.0 | 1.92400\% | 9,173,750 | 9,953,727 |
| 13,500,000,000 | 57.3 | 1.74550\% | 8,319,375 | 9,026,711 |
| 14,000,000,000 | 63.2 | 1.58225\% | 7,499,375 | 8,136,992 |
| 14,500,000,000 | 70.5 | 1.41750\% | 6,670,625 | 7,237,779 |
| 15,000,000,000 | 80.0 | 1.25075\% | 5,798,125 | 6,291,097 |
| 15,500,000,000 | 93.6 | 1.06850\% | 4,841,875 | 5,253,544 |
| 16,000,000,000 | 115.2 | 0.86825\% | 2,036,875 | 2,210,056 |
| 16,250,000,000 | 131.4 | 0.76125\% | 1,784,063 | 1,935,748 |
| 16,500,000,000 | 150.2 | 0.66600\% | 1,485,000 | 1,611,259 |
| 16,750,000,000 | 191.6 | 0.52200\% | 759,761 | 824,358 |
| 16,999,000,000 | 1,133.1 | 0.08825\% | 453 | 491 |
| 17,000,000,000 | 44,444.4 | 0.00225\% |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 772,139,714 | 837,789,110 |
| True Up Factor |  |  |  | 1.08502269091 |
| Average AIR,RMS special study expected Loss |  |  | 768,208,577 |  |
|  |  |  | 100.51\% |  |

Based on Average of AIR \& RMS 2015 Trended Zip Code Loss Data, 2016 Coverage, and 2016 Per Company Estimated Limits and Retentions
Aggregate

| Reinsurance Attachment |  | \$11,500,000,000 |  | Limit | \$17,000,000,000 |  | Projected Payout | ut Multiple | 15.1176 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FHCF Premium with Cash Build Up |  | \$1,124,515,497 |  | Retention | \$6,966,000,000 |  | Retention Multiple 100\% |  | 4.7271 |  |  |
| Cash Build Up Factor |  | , ${ }^{25 \%}$ |  | Coverage \% | 76.309\% |  | Retention Multiple | le $90 \%$ | 5.2523 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Retention Multiple | e 75\% | 6.302810.5046 |  |  |
| Rate Change |  |  | -9.07\% |  |  |  |  |  | Retention Multiple | le $45 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  | Risk Transfer Rate on Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\text { Risk Transer Premiums Gross }}{\text { Lit }}$ |  | Expected Loss Credit | 4.00\% | 4.25\% | 4.50\% | 4.75\% | 5.00\% | 5.25\% | 5.50\% | 5.75\% | 6.00\% |
|  | \$500,000,000 | \$12,666,284 | \$20,000,000 | \$21,250,000 | \$22,500,000 | \$23,750,000 | \$25,000,000 | \$26,250,000 | \$27,500,000 | \$28,750,000 | \$30,000,000 |
|  | \$1,000,000,000 | \$24,443,527 | \$40,000,000 | \$42,500,000 | \$45,000,000 | \$47,500,000 | \$50,000,000 | \$52,500,000 | \$55,000,000 | \$57,500,000 | \$60,000,000 |
|  | \$1,500,000,000 | \$35,326,983 | \$60,000,000 | \$63,750,000 | \$67,500,000 | \$71,250,000 | \$75,000,000 | \$78,750,000 | \$82,500,000 | \$86,250,000 | \$90,000,000 |
|  | \$2,000,000,000 | \$45,280,709 | \$80,000,000 | \$85,000,000 | \$90,000,000 | \$95,000,000 | \$100,000,000 | \$105,000,000 | \$110,000,000 | \$115,000,000 | \$120,000,000 |
| Risk Transfer Dollar Impact on Premiums Limit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Net Risk Transfer Cost Premium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Expected Loss Credit | 4.00\% | 4.25\% | 4.50\% | 4.75\% | 5.00\% | 5.25\% | 5.50\% | 5.75\% | 6.00\% |
|  | \$500,000,000 | \$12,666,284 | \$4,167,145 | \$5,417,145 | \$6,667,145 | \$7,917,145 | \$9,167,145 | \$10,417,145 | \$11,667,145 | \$12,917,145 | \$14,167,145 |
|  | \$1,000,000,000 | \$24,443,527 | \$9,445,591 | \$11,945,591 | \$14,445,591 | \$16,945,591 | \$19,445,591 | \$21,945,591 | \$24,445,591 | \$26,945,591 | \$29,445,591 |
|  | \$1,500,000,000 | \$35,326,983 | \$15,841,272 | \$19,591,272 | \$23,341,272 | \$27,091,272 | \$30,841,272 | \$34,591,272 | \$38,341,272 | \$42,091,272 | \$45,841,272 |
|  | \$2,000,000,000 | \$45,280,709 | \$23,399,113 | \$28,399,113 | \$33,399,113 | \$38,399,113 | \$43,399,113 | \$48,399,113 | \$53,399,113 | \$58,399,113 | \$63,399,113 |
| Risk Transfer \% Impact on Rates Limit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | FHCF Rate Impact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Expected Loss Credit | 4.00\% | 4.25\% | 4.50\% | 4.75\% | 5.00\% | 5.25\% | 5.50\% | 5.75\% | 6.00\% |
|  | \$500,000,000 | \$12,666,284 | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% |
|  | \$1,000,000,000 | \$24,443,527 | 0.8\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 1.5\% | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 2.2\% | 2.396\% | 2.6\% |
|  | \$1,500,000,000 | \$35,326,983 | 1.4\% | 1.7\% | 2.1\% | 2.4\% | 2.7\% | 3.1\% | 3.4\% | 3.7\% | 4.1\% |
|  | \$2,000,000,000 | \$45,280,709 | 2.1\% | 2.5\% | 3.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.9\% | 4.3\% | 4.7\% | 5.2\% | 5.6\% |
| Risk Transfer: Revised Rate Change Limit |  | FHCF Revised Rate Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Expected Loss Credit | 4.00\% | 4.25\% | 4.50\% | 4.75\% | 5.00\% | 5.25\% | 5.50\% | 5.75\% | 6.00\% |
|  | \$500,000,000 |  |  |  | \$12,666,284 | -8.73\% | -8.63\% | -8.53\% | -8.43\% | -8.33\% | -8.23\% | -8.13\% | -8.02\% | -7.92\% |
|  | \$1,000,000,000 | \$24,443,527 | -8.30\% | -8.10\% | -7.90\% | -7.70\% | -7.50\% | -7.29\% | -7.09\% | -6.89\% | -6.69\% |
|  | \$1,500,000,000 | \$35,326,983 | -7.79\% | -7.48\% | -7.18\% | -6.88\% | -6.57\% | -6.27\% | -5.97\% | -5.66\% | -5.36\% |
|  | \$2,000,000,000 | \$45,280,709 | -7.18\% | -6.77\% | -6.37\% | -5.96\% | -5.56\% | -5.15\% | -4.75\% | -4.35\% | -3.94\% |
| Projected Payout Multiple Limit |  | Revised Payout Mutiples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4.00\% | 4.25\% | 4.50\% | 4.75\% | 5.00\% | 5.25\% | 5.50\% | 5.75\% | 6.00\% |
| $\$ 500,000,000$$\$ 1,000,000,000$ |  |  | 15.0618 | 15.0451 | 15.0285 | 15.0119 | 14.9954 | 14.9789 | 14.9624 | 14.9459 | 14.9295 |
|  |  |  | 14.9917 | 14.9587 | 14.9259 | 14.8932 | 14.8606 | 14.8282 | 14.7960 | 14.7639 | 14.7319 |
| \$1,500,000,000 |  |  | 14.9076 | 14.8588 | 14.8102 | 14.7620 | 14.7141 | 14.6665 | 14.6192 | 14.5722 | 14.5255 |
|  |  |  | 14.8095 | 14.7452 | 14.6816 | 14.6184 | 14.5559 | 14.4938 | 14.4323 | 14.3713 | 14.3108 |
| Rimit | 90\% | Revised Retention Multiple 90\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4.00\% | 4.25\% | 4.50\% | 4.75\% | 5.00\% | 5.25\% | 5.50\% | 5.75\% | 6.00\% |
|  | \$500,000,000 |  | 5.2329 | 5.2271 | 5.2213 | 5.2156 | 5.2098 | 5.2041 | 5.1984 | 5.1927 | 5.1870 |
|  | \$1,000,000,000 |  | 5.2086 | 5.1971 | 5.1857 | 5.1743 | 5.1630 | 5.1518 | 5.1406 | 5.1294 | 5.1183 |
|  | \$1,500,000,000 |  | 5.1793 | 5.1624 | 5.1455 | 5.1287 | 5.1121 | 5.0956 | 5.0791 | 5.0628 | 5.0466 |
|  | \$2,000,000,000 |  | 5.1452 | 5.1229 | 5.1008 | 5.0789 | 5.0571 | 5.0356 | 5.0142 | 4.9930 | 4.9720 |
| Retention Multiple Limit | 75\% | Revised Retention Multiple 75\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4.00\% | 4.25\% | 4.50\% | 4.75\% | 5.00\% | 5.25\% | 5.50\% | 5.75\% | 6.00\% |
|  | \$500,000,000 |  | 6.2795 | 6.2725 | 6.2656 | 6.2587 | 6.2518 | 6.2449 | 6.2380 | 6.2312 | 6.2243 |
|  | \$1,000,000,000 |  | 6.2503 | 6.2365 | 6.2228 | 6.2092 | 6.1956 | 6.1821 | 6.1687 | 6.1553 | 6.1419 |
|  | \$1,500,000,000 |  | 6.2152 | 6.1948 | 6.1746 | 6.1545 | 6.1345 | 6.1147 | 6.0950 | 6.0754 | 6.0559 |
|  | \$2,000,000,000 |  | 6.1743 | 6.1475 | 6.1210 | 6.0946 | 6.0686 | 6.0427 | 6.0170 | 5.9916 | 5.9664 |
| Retention Multiple Limit | 45\% | Revised Retention Multiple 45\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4.50\% | 4.75\% | 5.00\% | 5.25\% | 5.50\% | 5.75\% | 6.00\% |
|  | \$500,000,000 |  | 10.4658 | 10.4542 | 10.4427 | 10.4312 | 10.4197 | 10.4082 | 10.3967 | 10.3853 | 10.3739 |
|  | \$1,000,000,000 |  | 10.4171 | 10.3942 | 10.3714 | 10.3487 | 10.3260 | 10.3035 | 10.2811 | 10.2588 | 10.2366 |
|  | \$1,500,000,000 |  | 10.3587 | 10.3247 | 10.2910 | 10.2575 | 10.2242 | 10.1911 | 10.1583 | 10.1256 | 10.0932 |
|  | \$2,000,000,000 |  | 10.2905 | 10.2459 | 10.2016 | 10.1577 | 10.1143 | 10.0711 | 10.0284 | 9.9860 | 9.9440 |

Based on Average of AIR \& RMS 2015 Trended Zip Code Loss Data, 2016 Coverage, and 2016 Per Company Estimated Limits and Retentions
Aggregate


Risk Transfer Estimated Cost and Rate Impact Based on Average of AIR \& RMS 2015 Trended Zip Code Loss Data, 2016 Coverage, and 2016 Per Company Estimated Limits and Retentions
Aggregate

| Reinsurance Attachment |  | \$12,500,000,000 |  | Limit | \$17,000,000,000 |  | Projected Payout | Multiple | 15.1176 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FHCF Premium with Cash Build Up |  | \$1,124,515,497 |  | Retention | \$6,966,000,000 |  | Retention Multiple $100 \%$ |  | 4.7271 |  |  |
| Cash Build Up Factor |  | 25\% |  | Coverage \% | 76.309\% |  | Retention Multiple | e $90 \%$ | 5.2523 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Retention Multiple | e $75 \%$ | 6.3028 |  |  |
| Rate Change |  |  | -9.07\% |  |  |  |  | Retention Multiple | e $45 \%$ | 10.5046 |  |  |
|  |  | Risk Transfer Rate on Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Risk Transfer Premiums GrossLimit |  | Expected Loss Credit | 4.00\% | 4.25\% | 4.50\% | 4.75\% | 5.00\% | 5.25\% | 5.50\% | 5.75\% | 6.00\% |
|  | \$500,000,000 | \$10,883,456 | \$20,000,000 | \$21,250,000 | \$22,500,000 | \$23,750,000 | \$25,000,000 | \$26,250,000 | \$27,500,000 | \$28,750,000 | \$30,000,000 |
|  | \$1,000,000,000 | \$20,837,183 | \$40,000,000 | \$42,500,000 | \$45,000,000 | \$47,500,000 | \$50,000,000 | \$52,500,000 | \$55,000,000 | \$57,500,000 | \$60,000,000 |
|  | \$1,500,000,000 | \$29,863,893 | \$60,000,000 | \$63,750,000 | \$67,500,000 | \$71,250,000 | \$75,000,000 | \$78,750,000 | \$82,500,000 | \$86,250,000 | \$90,000,000 |
|  | \$2,000,000,000 | \$38,000,885 | \$80,000,000 | \$85,000,000 | \$90,000,000 | \$95,000,000 | \$100,000,000 | \$105,000,000 | \$110,000,000 | \$115,000,000 | \$120,000,000 |
| Risk Transfer Dollar Impact on Premiums Limit |  | Net Risk Transfer Cost Premium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Expected Loss Credit | 4.00\% | 4.25\% | 4.50\% | 4.75\% | 5.00\% | 5.25\% | 5.50\% | 5.75\% | 6.00\% |
|  | \$500,000,000 |  |  |  | \$10,883,456 | \$6,395,680 | \$7,645,680 | \$8,895,680 | \$10,145,680 | \$11,395,680 | \$12,645,680 | \$13,895,680 | \$15,145,680 | \$16,395,680 |
|  | \$1,000,000,000 | \$20,837,183 | \$13,953,522 | \$16,453,522 | \$18,953,522 | \$21,453,522 | \$23,953,522 | \$26,453,522 | \$28,953,522 | \$31,453,522 | \$33,953,522 |
|  | \$1,500,000,000 | \$29,863,893 | \$22,670,133 | \$26,420,133 | \$30,170,133 | \$33,920,133 | \$37,670,133 | \$41,420,133 | \$45,170,133 | \$48,920,133 | \$52,670,133 |
|  | \$2,000,000,000 | \$38,000,885 | \$32,498,893 | \$37,498,893 | \$42,498,893 | \$47,498,893 | \$52,498,893 | \$57,498,893 | \$62,498,893 | \$67,498,893 | \$72,498,893 |
| Risk Transfer \% Impact on Rates Limit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | FHCF Rate Impact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4.25\% | 4.50\% | 4.75\% | 5.00\% | 5.25\% | 5.50\% | 5.75\% | 6.00\% |
|  | \$500,000,000 |  |  | \$10,883,456 | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 1.3\% | 1.5\% |
|  | \$1,000,000,000 | \$20,837,183 | 1.2\% | 1.5\% | 1.7\% | 1.9\% | 2.1\% | 2.4\% | 2.6\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
|  | \$1,500,000,000 | \$29,863,893 | 2.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.7\% | 4.0\% | 4.4\% | 4.7\% |
|  | \$2,000,000,000 | \$38,000,885 | 2.9\% | 3.3\% | 3.8\% | 4.2\% | 4.7\% | 5.1\% | 5.6\% | 6.0\% | 6.4\% |
| Risk Transfer: Revised Rate Change Limit |  | FHCF Revised Rate Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Expected Loss Credit | 4.00\% | 4.25\% | 4.50\% | 4.75\% | 5.00\% | 5.25\% | 5.50\% | 5.75\% | 6.00\% |
|  | \$500,000,000 |  |  |  | \$10,883,456 | -8.55\% | -8.45\% | -8.35\% | -8.25\% | -8.15\% | -8.05\% | -7.94\% | -7.84\% | -7.74\% |
|  | \$1,000,000,000 | \$20,837,183 | -7.94\% | -7.74\% | -7.54\% | -7.33\% | -7.13\% | -6.93\% | -6.73\% | -6.53\% | -6.32\% |
|  | \$1,500,000,000 | \$29,863,893 | -7.24\% | -6.93\% | -6.63\% | -6.33\% | -6.02\% | -5.72\% | -5.42\% | -5.11\% | -4.81\% |
|  | \$2,000,000,000 | \$38,000,885 | -6.44\% | -6.04\% | -5.63\% | $-5.23 \%$ | -4.82\% | -4.42\% | -4.01\% | -3.61\% | -3.21\% |
| Projected Payout M Limit | Multiple | Revised Payout Mutiples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4.00\% | 4.25\% | 4.50\% | 4.75\% | 5.00\% | 5.25\% | 5.50\% | 5.75\% | 6.00\% |
|  | \$500,000,000 |  | 15.0321 | 15.0155 | 14.9990 | 14.9824 | 14.9660 | 14.9495 | 14.9331 | 14.9167 | 14.9004 |
|  | \$1,000,000,000 |  | 14.9323 | 14.8996 | 14.8670 | 14.8346 | 14.8023 | 14.7702 | 14.7382 | 14.7063 | 14.6745 |
|  | \$1,500,000,000 |  | 14.8189 | 14.7706 | 14.7226 | 14.6750 | 14.6276 | 14.5806 | 14.5338 | 14.4874 | 14.4412 |
|  | \$2,000,000,000 |  | 14.6930 | 14.6298 | 14.5671 | 14.5049 | 14.4433 | 14.3822 | 14.3216 | 14.2616 | 14.2020 |
| Retention Multiple Limit | 90\% | Revised Retention Multiple 90\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4.00\% | 4.25\% | 4.50\% | 4.75\% | 5.00\% | 5.25\% | 5.50\% | 5.75\% | 6.00\% |
|  | \$500,000,000 |  | 5.2226 | 5.2168 | 5.2111 | 5.2053 | 5.1996 | 5.1939 | 5.1882 | 5.1825 | 5.1768 |
|  | \$1,000,000,000 |  | 5.1879 | 5.1766 | 5.1652 | 5.1540 | 5.1428 | 5.1316 | 5.1205 | 5.1094 | 5.0984 |
|  | \$1,500,000,000 |  | 5.1485 | 5.1317 | 5.1151 | 5.0985 | 5.0821 | 5.0657 | 5.0495 | 5.0333 | 5.0173 |
|  | \$2,000,000,000 |  | 5.1048 | 5.0828 | 5.0610 | 5.0394 | 5.0180 | 4.9968 | 4.9758 | 4.9549 | 4.9342 |
| Retention Multiple Limit | 75\% | Revised Retention Multiple $75 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4.00\% | 4.25\% | 4.50\% | 4.75\% | 5.00\% | 5.25\% | 5.50\% | 5.75\% | 6.00\% |
|  | \$500,000,000 |  | 6.2671 | 6.2602 | 6.2533 | 6.2464 | 6.2395 | 6.2327 | 6.2258 | 6.2190 | 6.2122 |
|  | \$1,000,000,000 |  | 6.2255 | 6.2119 | 6.1983 | 6.1848 | 6.1713 | 6.1579 | 6.1446 | 6.1313 | 6.1180 |
|  | \$1,500,000,000 |  | 6.1782 | 6.1581 | 6.1381 | 6.1182 | 6.0985 | 6.0789 | 6.0594 | 6.0400 | 6.0208 |
|  | \$2,000,000,000 |  | 6.1257 | 6.0994 | 6.0732 | 6.0473 | 6.0216 | 5.9962 | 5.9709 | 5.9459 | 5.9210 |
| Retention Multiple Limit | 45\% | Revised Retention Multiple 45\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4.50\% | 4.75\% | 5.00\% | 5.25\% | 5.50\% | 5.75\% | 6.00\% |
|  | \$500,000,000 |  | 10.4452 | 10.4337 | 10.4222 | 10.4107 | 10.3992 | 10.3878 | 10.3764 | 10.3650 | 10.3536 |
|  | \$1,000,000,000 |  | 10.3759 | 10.3531 | 10.3305 | 10.3080 | 10.2855 | 10.2632 | 10.2409 | 10.2188 | 10.1967 |
|  | \$1,500,000,000 |  | 10.2970 | 10.2635 | 10.2301 | 10.1970 | 10.1641 | 10.1314 | 10.0989 | 10.0667 | 10.0346 |
|  | \$2,000,000,000 |  | 10.2095 | 10.1656 | 10.1221 | 10.0789 | 10.0361 | 9.9936 | 9.9515 | 9.9098 | 9.8684 |
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## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund
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## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund

Proposed 2016 Rating Territories by 5-Digit ZIP Code
Entire State - Change From 2014 Territories


Proposed 2016 Rating Territories



Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund Proposed 2016 Rating Territories by 5-Digit ZIP Code Fort Myers and Surrounding Areas


## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund

 Proposed 2016 Rating Territories by 5-Digit ZIP Code Tampa/Saint Petersburg and Surrounding AreasProposed 2016 Rating Territories



## Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund

 Proposed 2016 Rating Territories by 5-Digit ZIP Code Florida Keys


[^0]:    Paragon Strategic Solutions Inc. 5600 West 83rd Street, Suite 1100 | 8200 Tower | Minneapolis, MN 55437
    $\mathrm{t}:+1.952 .886 .8000 \mid \mathrm{f}:+1.952 .886 .8001$
    w: www.paragon.aonbenfield.com

[^1]:    **Structure Opening Protection Credit requires that primary policy has structure opening protection credit.

